BLM LIBRARY
88008825

STUDIES FOR WILDLIFE ON ENERGY AREAS

by

Richard W. Baumann, Robert N. Wingett,
William H. Behle, William F. Sigler,
Gar W. Workman and Michael L. Perry

UTAH STATE DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
Division of Wildlife Resources
John E. Phelps, Director

Prepared for

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT
Utah State Office
Paul L. Howard, State Director

Under Contract No. 52500-CT4-450

1975

ID: 88008825

BLM Library
D-553A, Building 50
Denver Federal Center
P. O. Box 25047
Denver, CO 80225-0047

SK
453
.S78
1975

STUDIES FOR WILDLIFE

ON ENERGY AREAS

by

Richard W. Baumann, Robert N. Winget,
William H. Behle, William F. Sigler,
Gar W. Workman and Michael L. Perry

UTAH STATE DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
Division of Wildlife Resources
John E. Phelps, Director

Prepared for

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT
Utah State Office
Paul L. Howard, State Director

Under Contract No. 52500-CT4-450

1975

PROPERTY OF
Bureau of Land Management
BLM LIBRARY

BLM Library
D-SBSA, Building 50
Denver Federal Center
P. O. Box 25047
Denver, CO 80225-0047



Map showing oil shale study area in Uintah County and least chub study area in Juab and Millard counties.

SELECTED WATER RESOURCES ABSTRACTS
INPUT TRANSACTION FORM

1. Report No.

3. Accession No.
W

4. Title
STUDIES FOR WILDLIFE ON ENERGY AREAS

5. Report Date

6.

8. Performing Organization Report No.

7. Author(s) Baumann, R. W.; Winget, R. N.; Behle, W. H.; Sigler, W. F.; Workman, G. W.; Perry, M. L.

10. Project No.

9. Organization Brigham Young University, Provo, Utah
University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah
Utah State University, Logan, Utah
Natural History State Museum, Vernal, Utah

11. Contract/Grant No.
52500-CT4-450-BLM

13. Type of Report and Period Covered

12. Sponsoring Organization

15. Supplementary Notes
This report contains four reports of various lengths prepared for Utah Division of Wildlife Resources-1596 West North Temple, Salt Lake City, Utah 84116

16. Abstract
This is a four study report concerning studies of wildlife on energy areas. Three reports are on the oil shale area of northeastern Utah and are entitled "Aquatic Macroinvertebrate, Water Quality, and Fish Population Characterization of the White River, Uintah County, Utah", "Raptor Study of Utah Oil Shale Area", and "Nongame Mammal Inventory of the Utah Oil Shale Area". The fourth is a study of the Least Chub in west central Utah entitled "Studies on the Least Chub (Iotichthys Phlegethontis - Cope) in Geothermal Activities Area of Snake and Tule Valleys, Utah".

17a. Descriptors
*Aquatic invertebrates, *fishes, *water quality, *Birds of Prey, Raptors, Hawks, Eagles, Falcons, Owls, Ecology, Food Relations, Nesting, Population density, Trapping, tagging, Endangered, growth, predators, *aquatic habitat, *nongame mammals, distribution, growth.

17b. Identifiers
*White River, *Utah, Uintah County, Uinta Basin, Bonanza (Utah), Ouray (Utah) *Oil Shale Area, *Geothermal area, Juab and Millard County, *Least Chub, Snake Valley, Tule Valley, spring water

17c. COWRR Field & Group 06G, 05C

18. Availability

19. Security Class. (Report)

21. No. of Pages

20. Security Class. (Page)

22. Price

Send To:
WATER RESOURCES SCIENTIFIC INFORMATION CENTER
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20240

Abstractor Earl A. Sparks

Institution Utah Division of Wildlife Resources

WRSIC 102 (REV. JUNE 1971)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Pages
Aquatic Macroinvertebrates, Water Quality, and Fish Population Characterization of the White River, Uintah County, Utah . . .	1-55
Raptor Study of Utah Oil Shale Area	1-149
Nongame Mammal Inventory of the Utah Oil Shale Area	1-50
Studies on the Least Chub (IOTICHTHYS PHLEGETHONTIS - Cope) In Geothermal Activities Area of Snake and Tule Valleys, Utah	1-23

Appropriations No. 01-59-07

Archives Approval No. 7500297

AQUATIC MACROINVERTEBRATE, WATER QUALITY, AND FISH POPULATION CHARACTERIZATION OF THE WHITE RIVER, UINTAH COUNTY, UTAH

December 8, 1975

Prepared for

Utah Division of Wildlife Resources

by

Richard W. Baumann, Ph. D.
Robert N. Winget, Ph. D.

Brigham Young University
Provo, Utah 84602

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
LIST OF TABLES	ii
LIST OF FIGURES	iii
INTRODUCTION	1
AQUATIC MACROINVERTEBRATES AND WATER QUALITY	3
Equipment and Methods	3
Study Sites	7
Station 1, White River Near Ouray	7
Station 2, White River at Mountain Fuel Bridge	7
Station 3, White River at Bonanza Bridge	11
Station 4, White River at Hells Hole Canyon	11
Station EC, Evacuation Creek	17
Results and Discussion	17
Water Quality	23
FISHERIES POPULATION STUDIES	29
Equipment and Methods	29
Results and Discussion	31
TABLES	34
REFERENCES CITED	55

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1.	Systematic list of aquatic invertebrates collected during the White River study (1974-75) with reference to dominant food habits of each taxa	35
2.	Distributional systematic list of aquatic invertebrates collected during the White River Study (1974-75)	39
3.	Density of aquatic invertebrates collected from the White River on 12 August 1975 (Baumann, BYU)	43
4.	Numbers of aquatic invertebrates collected from the White River on July 2-4, 1974 by B. P. Stark (Gaufin, University of Utah)	45
5.	Numbers of aquatic invertebrates collected from the White River and tributary on October 9-13, 1974 by Stark and Wolff (Gaufin, University of Utah)	47
6.	Numbers of aquatic invertebrates collected from the White River and tributary on November 20-21, 1974 by R. W. Clubb (Gaufin, University of Utah)	49
7.	Summary of community structure of aquatic invertebrates of the White River at four sites on 11-12 August 1975	51
8.	White River chemical analysis, August 11 and 12, 1975	52
9.	Relative abundance of fishes collected during the White River Study (1974)	53
10.	Relative abundance of fishes collected during the White River Study (1975)	54

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1.	Map of White River Drainage, Uintah County, Utah showing 1974 and 1975 macroinvertebrate collection sites	4
2.	White River at bridge near Ouray, downstream	8
3.	White River at Mountain Fuel Bridge near Ouray, downstream	9
4.	Mountain Fuel Bridge over White River, upstream	10
5.	White River at bridge near Bonanza, downstream	12
6.	Bonanza Bridge over White River, upstream	13
7.	White River near Bonanza Bridge, upstream	14
8.	White River at Hells Hole Canyon, downstream	15
9.	White River at Hells Hole Canyon, upstream	16
10.	Evacuation Creek, near Duck Rock, upstream	18
11.	Evacuation Creek, near Duck Rock, downstream	19
12.	Comparison of macroinvertebrate standing crop (biomass) at four sites on the White River on August 11-12, 1975	22
13.	Example of USGS surface water records for Utah for 1964-65	25
14.	Example of USGS surface water records for Utah for 1969-70	26

Figure | Page

15. Example of USGS surface water records for Utah for 1969-70 27

16. Map of White River drainage, Uintah County, Utah showing fish collection sites 30

SELECTED WATER RESOURCES ABSTRACTS
INPUT TRANSACTION FORM

3. Accession No.
W

4. Title AQUATIC MACROINVERTEBRATE, WATER QUALITY, AND FISH POPULATION CHARACTERIZATION OF THE WHITE RIVER, UINTAH COUNTY, UTAH

7. Author(s)
Baumann, R. W., and R. N. Winget

9. Organization
Brigham Young University, Provo, Utah 84602

10. Project No.

11. Contract/Grant No.
52500-CT4-450-BLM

15. Supplementary Notes Project conducted for: The State of Utah Division of Wildlife Resources
1596 West North Temple, Salt Lake City, UT 84116

16. Abstract

To provide environmental evaluation of proposed oil shale developments along the White River in Uintah County, Utah, macroinvertebrate, fish, and water quality samples were taken. Macroinvertebrate populations are analyzed both qualitatively and quantitatively. A list of fishes collected is given and habitat evaluation summarized. Physical and chemical data collected on August 11 and 12 are provided and analyzed according to water quality requirements. Basic recommendations are given concerning the ecological stability of the White River with reference to possible water uses.

17a. Descriptors

*Macroinvertebrates, *Fishes, *water quality, *fisheries, *aquatic invertebrates aquatic habitat

17b. Identifiers

*White River (Utah), *Uintah County (Utah), Bonanza (Utah), Ouray (Utah). *Oil Shale Area

17c. COWRR Field & Group 06G, 05C

18. Availability

Send To:
WATER RESOURCES SCIENTIFIC INFORMATION CENTER
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20240

Abstractor R. W. Baumann | Institution Brigham Young University

INTRODUCTION

Aquatic environmental assessments can be made from almost any viewpoint--water chemistry, geology of the basin, physical characteristics of the waters, phycology, benthic macroinvertebrate community dynamics, fisheries, and land and water use to name a few. Each of these views has numerous approaches and tools which can be used. The types of analyses therefore depend on: the workers' experience; scope of knowledge; scope of support; data; availability of resources such as time, funding, and equipment; accessibility to study area; plus many other factors too numerous to list here.

This evaluation began originally under the direction of Dr. Arden R. Gaufin of the University of Utah, but due to illness, he was unable to comply with the schedule or methods originally agreed upon. In an attempt to salvage the study, an agreement was reached between Dr. Gaufin and Drs. Richard W. Baumann and Robert N. Winget of the Brigham Young University wherein: The BYU team would complete one sampling of the benthos of the White River for both quantitative and qualitative analysis; and using these samples, plus previous samples collected by Dr. Gaufin's team in 1974 and the fish collections made by the Utah Division of Wildlife Resources personnel, prepare an environmental analysis of the macrobenthos and fishes of the White River. Also included in the systematic list are a few invertebrate species (e.g. Oemopteryx fosketti and Capnia vernalis) collected in the spring season by Charles F. Ray

of VTN Consolidated, Inc. which were sent to us for determination. Samples collected include basic water samples, qualitative benthic and fish samples, and one set of quantitative benthic samples.

The short time limit has set severe restrictions upon the scope of assessment thus requiring it to be defined as a preliminary assessment.

AQUATIC MACROINVERTEBRATES AND WATER QUALITY

Equipment and Methods

Qualitative samples were taken at four station on the White River and one station on Evacuation Creek (Figure 1) on August 11 and 12, 1975. Collections were made with a fiberglass handscreen measuring one meter square, supported by hardwood poles 1.5 meters in length. Samples were collected by the kick and drift method. Qualitative collections were made of adult insects in August, 1975 by sweeping riverside vegetation and with an ultraviolet light trap near the Bonanza bridge on the night of August 11, 1975. Information obtained is included in Tables 1 and 2 which give the total combined macroinvertebrate data for this study.

Collections of macroinvertebrates were made at three different times in 1974: July 2-4, October 9-13 and November 20-21 by graduate students for Dr. Arden R. Gaufin. Because of the relatively small numbers of organisms collected and the inadequate records kept concerning which samples were quantitative and how they were collected, these specimens were included only in the qualitative portion of this report (Tables 1, 2, 4-6). One invertebrate collection was obtained from the White River at Meeker, Colorado for comparative purposes, but a valid comparison could not be made with only one small qualitative sample, so the species collected are simply listed in Table 4.



Figure 1. Map of White River Drainage, Uintah County, Utah showing 1974 and 1975 macroinvertebrate collection sites.
Scale: 1 inch = 4 miles

Quantitative samples were collected from the four stations on the White River on August 11-12, 1975, using a modified Surber sampler as described by Reichert (1975). Specimens were collected in a mixture of 10 percent formalin and 70 percent alcohol. All specimens were sorted to taxonomic group, counted, and preserved in 70 percent alcohol. It was not possible to obtain reliable quantitative samples from Evacuation Creek at this time. No specimens were collected from the Bitter Creek drainage in August, 1975 because thunderstorms made the dirt roads impassible

A stratified random method was used in sampling benthic macroinvertebrates. Three quantitative samples were "randomly" taken from preselected habitat zones for each area being sampled. Preferred habitat was gravel-rubble riffles when available; but in some areas there was no rubble, so sand-gravel or straight sand had to be sampled. The gravel-rubble was sought out because aquatic invertebrates are found in higher diversity in these substrates than in most other bottom types. The sampler used was a modifed Surber sampler designed to prevent loss of organisms due to backwash out of the net. Invertebrates were separated, identified, and counted.

An often used measure of the well being of aquatic communities is the diversity index. The diversity indices are highest (most desirable) when the number of species is high and the number of individuals is evenly distributed over several species. With fewer species or when one or two species account for most of the total number, the diversity indices are low (undesirable). For example, when a stream receives a heavy load of sewage effluent,

most mayflies, stoneflies, and caddisflies are eliminated; but the numbers of midge larvae and sewage worms become extremely high. In such a condition, the diversity index would be low. In clean, cold mountain streams, there are usually numerous species of aquatic insects with moderate numbers of individuals for several species, resulting in high diversity indices. Diversity ($\bar{d}$) values in this report are computed using the formula, $\bar{d} = -\Sigma[(n_i/n) \ln (n_i/n)]$, where $\bar{d}$ = dominance diversity index, n_i = number of individuals in the i'th species, and n = number of individuals in all species. This formula is based upon information theory as discussed by Margalef (1951).

Biomass was determined by drying the organisms from two of four samples collected at each site and calculating the grams per square meter for the respective sampling site. Samples were dried for a 24-hour period at 80° C and weighed to the nearest 0.1 mg on a Mettler H10T balance.

Photographs of the stations were taken using a Retina Reflex-S, 35 mm camera. Color photographs were made from the slides obtained and added directly to the report.

Water samples were collected in August, 1975 and sent to the BYU Environmental Analysis Laboratories for analysis. Some water chemical data were supposedly obtained in 1974 but none could be found for inclusion in this report.

Study Sites

Station 1, White River Near Ouray

Location: 0.4 km (0.25 mi.) upstream from junction with Green River (Figure 2). Stream gradient is less than 1 percent as evidenced by the slow meandering river flowing in a flat plain. Bottom substrate is composed of fairly stable fine, sandy clay. Occasional arms of large rocks extend into the River.

Banks were high and relatively stable for this type of river. Riverside vegetation consisted mainly of willows, tamarisk, and occasional cottonwood trees.

Mean stream width was approximately 36 meters (115 ft.), and mean depth was 0.6 meter (2.5 ft.). Water had a high turbidity due to colloidal particles in suspension. Water temperature was warm due to solar heating resulting from a sparcity of cover in relation to surface area and absorption of heat by suspended particles.

Station 2, White River at Mountain Fuel Bridge

Location: 16 km (12 mi.) southeast of Ouray (Figures 3 and 4). Gradient was less than 1 percent and flowing through a broad valley. Bottom substrate was composed of fine, sandy clay with no evident rock outcrops.

Channel banks were high and fairly stable but active river margin was composed of erodible clay that is quite unstable. Riverside vegetation consisted of dense stands of willows, tamarisk, and low flowering plants.



Figure 2. White River at Bridge near Ouray, downstream.

Figure 3. White River at Mountain Fuel Bridge near Ouray, downstream.



Figure 4. Mountain Fuel Bridge over White River, upstream.

Mean width was 34 m (105 ft.), with a mean depth of 0.5 m (1.8 ft.). Water was turbid due to a high load of suspended colloidal particles.

Station 3, White River at Bonanza Bridge

Location: on Highway 45, 5 km (3 mi.) south of Bonanza near site of Ignatio Stage Stop (Figures 5 to 7). River flows through a fairly narrow valley with a gradient of nearly 1 percent. Bottom substrate varied from rubble to clay with some large riffle areas.

Channel banks were fairly stable but active river margin was quite unstable. Riverside vegetation consisted of willows, tamarisk, and large cottonwood trees.

Mean width was 20 m (65 ft.), and mean depth was 0.8 m (3 ft.). Water was turbid, carrying a large amount of suspended colloidal particles. Water temperature was naturally warm.

Station 4, White River at Hells Hole Canyon

Location: 7 km (4 mi.) west of Colorado border (Figures 8 and 9). Stream gradient was nearly 1 percent with flow velocity increasing through a fairly narrow canyon. Bottom substrate varied from rubble to clay with large riffle areas present.

Channel banks were fairly stable but active river bank stability was variable, influenced by a small island. Riverside vegetation was comprised mainly of willows, tamarisk, and heavy grasses.

Figure 5. White River at Bridge near Bonanza, downstream.



Figure 6. Bonanza Bridge over White River, upstream.



Figure 7. White River near Bonanza Bridge, upstream.



Figure 8. White River at Hells Hole Canyon, downstream.



Figure 9. White River at Hells Hole Canyon, upstream.

Mean width was 16 m (50 ft.) and mean depth was 1.0 m (3.5 ft.). Water was turbid from a heavy load of suspended colloidal particles. Water temperature was fairly warm.

Station EC, Evacuation Creek

Location: 3 km (2 mi.) south of Ignatio Stage stop near Duck Rock (Figures 10 and 11). Gradient was less than 1 percent and flow intermittent except in small pools under overhanging ledges. The stream was flowing through a small narrow valley. Bottom substrate was mostly clay with small rocks interspersed.

Channel banks were high and undercut. Stream channel was mostly very narrow. Streamside vegetation was sparse and composed of tamarisk and sagebrush.

Mean width was 1 m (39 in.) and mean depth was 7.5 cm (3 in.). Water was turbid and with a high specific conductance. Water temperature was warm due to the exposed stream and low water flow.

Results and Discussion

The White River is one of the few large rivers (USGS records show mean discharge of 711 cfs) in Utah, and as such is of prime importance to the energy developments presently planned. The use of the White River waters is imperative but should be planned so as to coincide with the requirements of the aquatic communities in the White River which are unique to the Western large rivers.

Most of the species of aquatic invertebrates collected are highly specialized for this particular habitat; and most of them (Table 1) (e.g. *Oemopteryx fosketti*, *Lachlania saskatchewanensis*, *Ephoron album*, and *Paracloedes* sp.) are probably geographically



Figure 10. Evacuation Creek, near Duck Rock, upstream.



Figure 11. Evacuation Creek, near Duck Rock, downstream.



isolated populations which would be unable to move to a new stream if the White River was rendered unsuitable for them. These species are not fragile--tolerant to only a narrow range of ecological change--but rather, are relatively tolerant, having evolved in a rapidly changing environment. Several environmental factors rapidly and often drastically fluctuate in the White River, including discharge, suspended solids load, and temperature. This indicates that these organisms are adapted to survive sensible use of the water resource, but care should be taken as to the extent of habitat modification undertaken.

Factors of concern would be: chemical additions including oil, grease, salts, detergents, heavy metals, and other toxicants; thermal effluents; and flows below minimal requirements. From Table 3 it is evident that aquatic organisms in the White River are highly gregarious with clumped distribution. Most of the clumping coincides with distribution of particular habitats, especially substrate materials within the river bed. Some species (e.g. caddisflies: Brachycentrus sp., Glossosomatinae, and Hydroptilidae) require a solid substrate for attachment of themselves and one of their major food sources, periphyton (attached algae). Minimum flows would have to be adequate to cover the limited solid substrates in the White River.

From Tables 2 and 3, it is obvious that distribution and density of most species relates directly to availability of these hard, stable substrates. Site S-2 was largely sand-silt with no obvious gravel or larger rock substrate which is reflected in the low density ($245/ft^2$) and evident dominance by one species,

Traverella albertana, a mayfly especially adapted to sandy substrates. This dominancy by one mayfly is reflected in the low SCI_T and $\bar{d}$ values of 4.2 and 1.51, respectively (Table 7). Site S-1a, also all sand, showed extremely low density ($13/ft^2$) with SCI_T and $\bar{d}$ extremely low at 3.6 and 1.12, respectively (Table 7). The density and dominance diversity values at Sites S-1b, S-3, and S-4 are low compared to a cold water mountain stream, but are significantly higher than the strictly sand-silt areas of S-1a and S-2. The difference in these community measurements is directly related to the presence and amount of rocky substrates at Sites S-1b, S-3, and S-4 (Tables 1, 2, 3, and 7).

The biomass data shown in Figure 12 indicates that the White River is fairly productive at Stations 3 and 4 but drops off sharply toward the mouth. This is due primarily to the high habitat diversity and presence of stable rocky substrates at the upper stations while the substrate near the mouth is mostly sand and silt.

The differences in total biomass between Stations 3 and 4 are probably due to the limited accessibility of the habitat at the time of sampling.

The five dominant groups of invertebrates (mayflies: Dactylobaetis cepheus, Traverella albertana, and Tricoythodes sp.; caddisflies: Hydropsyche sp. A and sp. B; and the black flies, family Simuliidae) are all detritivores and herbivores except for simuliids which are mainly herbivorous. Not one of the dominant organisms is predatory. This indicates that the White River in the areas studied is largely heterotrophic, meaning the major source of energy for the aquatic communities is from outside the stream in the



Figure 12. Comparison of macroinvertebrate standing crop (biomass) at four sites on the White River on August 11-12, 1975.

form of leaves and pollen from streamside vegetation. Algal growth on substrate materials in the White River is limited by the high turbidity of the water which restricts light penetration. Even with adequate nutrients, the algal growth would be highly limited.

Thus the macroinvertebrate community in the White River is highly specialized for life in a clean, large river and could be drastically altered by pollution from the sources mentioned above, but some of its water could be used for industrial purposes if reasonable care is exercised.

The best information for predicting how alterations in the White River habitat would effect the total ecosystem could be obtained by detailed studies of the ecology and life histories of a few of the highly specialized macroinvertebrates in the orders Plecoptera: Oemopteryx fosketti, Capnia vernalis, and Acroneuria abnormis; Ephemeroptera: Traverella albertana, Lachlania saskatchewanensis, and Ametropus albrighti; Trichoptera: Hydropsyche guttata, Hydropsyche occidentalis, and Nectopsyche albida.

Water Quality

Table 8 contains some selected water quality measurements from August 11 and 12, 1975.

The White River from Hells Hole Canyon downstream to the Green River (the area of this study) is a wide valley meandering stream with high turbidities and water temperatures. The waters are hard (263-299 mg/l) and bicarbonate buffered (214-223 mg/l) with no appreciable free CO_2 (0 to 4 mg/l) or dissolved carbonate (2 to 4 mg/l). The waters are slightly basic (pH 7.9 to 8.5).

Dissolved oxygen does not appear to be a limiting factor to aquatic organisms with 7 to 9 mg/l of O_2 on August 11 and 12 and water temperatures of 21 to 24° C.

Probably the greatest physical and chemical stresses on aquatic organisms come from the high total dissolved solids (366 to 600 mg/l); high turbidities; and extreme fluctuations in total suspended solids and stream discharge.

From the USGS surface water records for Utah, the discharges of the White River 1 mile below Evacuation Creek have varied from 8,160 cfs on 15 July 1929 to 52 cfs on 19 July 1934. More recent extremes are exemplified by a yearly maximum of 6,830 cfs on 25 July 1965 and a minimum discharge of only 78 cfs on 11 July 1966, nearly a 100-fold variation within a one-year period. According to USGS surface water records, the White River was carrying 5,130 tons per day of total dissolved solids on 25 July 1965 and only 243 tons per day on 11 July 1966.

Specific conductance of the White river waters has a seasonal fluctuation with lows during May through August and highs from December to March (Examples of USGS records are attached as Figures 13, 14, and 15). Several parameters follow this same fluctuation pattern.

Water quality is marginal for many aquatic organisms but this may be beneficial to species present because it limits the intrusion of competing species. Water quality is also marginal for most municipal and industrial uses, making at least primary treatment necessary, and more likely secondary or even tertiary will be required. Waters are of adequate quality for most agricultural uses without

GREEN RIVER BASIN

9-3065. White River near Watson, Utah

Location.--Lat 39°59', long 109°11', in sec.2, T.19 S., R.24 E., Salt Lake meridian, on right bank 350 ft downstream from bridge on State Highway 45, 1 mile downstream from Evacuation Creek, and 7 miles north of Watson.

Drainage area.--4,020 sq mi, approximately.

Records available.--April 1906 to October 1906 (no winter records), May to November 1910, April 1923 to September 1965. Monthly discharge only for some periods, published in WSP 1313. Published as "near Dragon" 1906 and as "near Rangely, Colo." 1904-5, 1918.

Gage.--Water-stage recorder. Datum of gage is 4,946.78 ft above mean sea level, datum of 1929. Apr. 15, 1904, to Oct. 31, 1906, and May 19 to Nov. 23, 1910, staff gage at site 25 miles upstream (half a mile northeast of Rangely, Colo.) at different datum. Apr. 1 to Oct. 31, 1906, staff or chain gages at site 350 ft upstream at different datum. Apr. 1, 1923, to Oct. 3, 1938, water-stage recorder at site 350 ft upstream at datum 4.48 ft higher; Oct. 4, 1938, to Apr. 27, 1939, at site 1,450 ft upstream at different datum; Apr. 28, 1939, to Sept. 6, 1956, at site 100 ft upstream at datum 3.00 ft higher; Sept. 7, 1956, to Oct. 26, 1959, at site 250 ft upstream at datum 3.00 ft higher.

Average discharge.--42 years (1923-65), 711 cfs (514,700 acre-ft per year).

Extremes.--Maximum discharge during year, 6,820 cfs July 25 (gage height, 9.22 ft); minimum daily, 220 cfs Dec. 9, 10.
1904-6, 1910, 1923-65: Maximum daily discharge, 8,160 cfs July 15, 1929; maximum gage height, 13.1 ft Feb. 11, 1962, from floodmark in well (backwater from ice); minimum discharge, 52 cfs July 19, 20, 1934; minimum daily, 53 cfs July 19, 1934.

Remarks.--Records good except those for periods of ice effect or no gage-height record, which are poor. Diversions for irrigation of about 30,500 acres above station. Records of chemical analyses and water temperatures for the water year 1965 are published in Part 2 of this report.

Rating table, except periods of ice effect (gage height, in feet, and discharge, in cubic feet per second)
(Shifting-control method used Mar. 29 to Apr. 12, May 4 to June 6)

2.5	310	4.0	995
2.8	365	5.0	1,[illegible]0
3.0	390	6.0	3,[illegible]70
3.5	570	7.0	4,190

Discharge, in cubic feet per second, water year October 1964 to September 1965

Day	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.
1	* 312	345	* 350	360	410	400	1,250	693	1,060	1,750	731	400
2	304	350	350	330	400	* 420	1,160	965	2,180	1,600	696	412
3	304	345	340	360	380	400	1,090	1,020	2,140	1,590	* 745	430
4	304	355	330	400	360	370	815	1,310	2,160	1,530	709	514
5	304	* 350	310	** 300	400	350	661	* 1,290	2,160	1,460	675	563
6	308	330	300	390	390	360	599	1,340	2130	1,310	598	612
7	300	335	292	410	380	390	563	1,200	2,080	1,200	577	668
8	316	340	248	400	420	400	528	1,060	2,310	1,060	570	724
9	325	340	220	400	400	400	514	1,030	* 2,490	1,010	563	738
10	330	330	220	400	390	400	507	946	2,670	970	563	640
11	330	330	290	380	390	400	479	914	2,780	922	556	570
12	335	340	300	400	390	400	451	866	2,900	878	521	535
13	330	360	360	410	350	390	410	906	3,300	1,010	430	500
14	330	350	300	** 400	350	380	406	1,030	3,240	946	370	479
15	330	365	250	390	380	400	400	1,410	3,600	* 866	412	430
16	325	345	280	400	420	410	382	1,290	3,720	809	633	370
17	320	365	350	380	440	430	382	1,260	3,570	766	556	400
18	320	360	380	370	390	430	400	* 1,530	3,350	699	529	400
19	325	376	350	360	410	410	400	* 1,850	3,290	752	493	465
20	325	350	280	350	440	390	394	1,900	3,250	892	479	465
21	330	350	250	360	450	390	* 437	2,310	3,160	1,000	444	535
22	325	300	400	380	460	390	535	2,720	3,080	745	430	535
23	325	250	360	400	470	420	612	3,000	* 3,780	669	420	605
24	340	260	400	380	*490	520	647	3,240	2,550	970	410	605
25	355	340	450	360	440	510	661	3,700	2,480	4,010	410	668
26	350	360	550	400	360	500	675	3,400	2,420	1,420	400	661
27	340	400	600	390	370	700	633	2,290	2,300	954	390	654
28	340	390	500	360	390	1,190	* 590	1,970	1,970	787	380	612
29	340	340	400	350	--------	1,420	542	1,700	1,800	734	420	591
30	345	340	400	360	--------	* 1,360	556	1,610	1,760	745	437	612
31	340	--------	380	380	--------	1,260	--------	1,640	--------	850	* 444	--------
Total	10,115	10,061	10,060	11,770	11,290	16,870	17,694	43,433	79,500	34,980	16,049	16,393
Mean	326	342	350	380	403	544	590	1,401	2,650	1,128	518	546
Ac-ft	20,060	20,350	21,540	23,350	22,390	33,460	35,100	86,150	157,700	69,390	31,910	32,520

Calendar year 1964: Max 2,400 Min 192 Mean 762 Ac-ft 551,900
Water year 1964-65: Max 6,820 Min 220 Mean 702 Ac-ft 509,000

Peak discharge (base, 2,900 cfs)

Date	Time	Gage height	Discharge	Date	Time	Gage height	Discharge
5-25	0800	6.07	3,890	7-25	0530	9.22	6,820
6-16	1500	6.75	3,[illegible]00				

* Discharge measurement made on this day.
** Field estimate made on this day.
Note.--Stage-discharge relation affected by ice Nov. 24 to Feb. 6, Dec. 11 to Mar. 17. No gage-height record Dec. 9, 10, Mar. 18-28 (stage-discharge relation affected by ice during most of periods), Aug. 22-29.

Figure 13. Example of USGS surface water records for Utah for 1964-65.

GREEN RIVER BASIN

09306500 WHITE RIVER NEAR WATSON, UTAH

LOCATION.--Lat 39°59', long 109°11', in sec.2, T.10 S., R.24 E., Uintah County, at bridge on State Highway 45, 350 ft upstream from gaging station, about 1 mile downstream from Evacuation Creek, and 7 miles north of Watson.
DRAINAGE AREA.--4,020 sq mi, approximately.
PERIOD OF RECORD.--Chemical analyses: December 1950 to September 1970.
Water temperatures: December 1950 to September 1970.
EXTREMES, 1969-70.--Specific conductance: Maximum daily, 1,440 micromhos Oct. 19; minimum daily, 318 micromhos May 20.
Water temperatures: Maximum, 20.0°C Aug. 17; minimum, freezing point on many days during February and March.
EXTREMES, 1950-70.--Specific conductance: Maximum daily, 4,450 micromhos Aug. 4, 1955; minimum daily, 318 micromhos May 29, 1970.
Water temperatures: Maximum, 31.0°C Aug. 8, 1954; minimum, freezing point on many days during winter months each year.

CHEMICAL ANALYSES, WATER YEAR OCTOBER 1969 TO SEPTEMBER 1970

DATE	TIME	DIS-CHARGE (CFS)	SILICA (SIO2) (MG/L)	DIS-SOLVED CAL-CIUM (CA) (MG/L)	DIS-SOLVED MAG-NE-SIUM (MG) (MG/L)	SODIUM (NA) (MG/L)	PO-TAS-SIUM (K) (MG/L)	BICAR-BONATE (HCO3) (MG/L)	CAR-BONATE (CO3) (MG/L)	SULFATE (SO4) (MG/L)	CHLO-RIDE (CL) (MG/L)	DIS-SOLVED FLUO-RIDE (F) (MG/L)
OCT.												
13...	1100	511	15	60	23	73	1.7	245	0	134	56	0.4
28...	1100	497	9.1	60	24	70	1.8	219	0	130	55	--
NOV.												
25...	1020	405	--	72	24	52	1.7	237	0	144	30	--
DEC.												
11...	1030	490	--	71	30	60	1.7	265	0	169	36	--
JAN.												
12...	0955	1470	16	89	31	72	2.1	300	0	185	31	.3
FEB.												
02...	0900	410	13	68	25	61	2.2	231	0	171	35	.3
MAR.												
11...	1050	384	11	79	31	76	2.0	320	0	197	34	.3
APR.												
16...	1055	419	16	72	20	64	1.9	253	5	185	27	.4
MAY												
12...	1040	1520	12	56	20	31	1.0	221	0	90	14	.3
JUNE												
11...	1330	3940	9.3	45	20	46	2.6	159	0	103	35	.3
JULY												
14...	0855	840	13	59	18	29	1.9	201	0	100	16	.3
AUG.												
17...	0910	451	14	63	24	47	1.8	226	4	138	24	.3
SEP.												
15...	1040	518	11	67	22	52	2.2	208	12	140	22	.4

DATE	NITRATE (NO3) (MG/L)	DIS-SOLVED BORON (B) (UG/L)	DIS-SOLVED SOLIDS (RESI-DUE AT 180 C) (MG/L)	DIS-SOLVED SOLIDS (SUM OF CONSTI-TUENTS) (MG/L)	DIS-SOLVED SOLIDS (TONS PER AC-FT)	DIS-SOLVED SOLIDS (TONS PER DAY)	HARD-NESS (CA,MG) (MG/L)	NON-CAR-BONATE HARD-NESS (MG/L)	SODIUM AD-SORP-TION RATIO	SPECI-FIC CONDUCTANCE (MICRO-MHOS)	PH (UNITS)
OCT.											
13...	--	20	517	503	0.70	711	245	82	2.0	812	7.6
28...	--	--	501	486	.69	683	267	87	1.9	796	8.2
NOV.											
25...	--	--	407	441	.66	513	277	83	1.4	739	8.1
DEC.											
11...	--	--	517	504	.73	710	300	83	1.5	812	7.7
JAN.											
12...	--	80	592	570	.81	2350	351	105	1.7	862	7.7
FEB.											
02...	--	130	496	491	.67	549	272	81	1.6	752	8.1
MAR.											
11...	--	80	568	586	.77	589	324	62	1.8	837	8.2
APR.											
16...	--	60	519	524	.71	587	294	70	1.6	765	8.4
MAY											
12...	--	40	329	375	.44	1310	223	0	.9	581	8.1
JUNE											
11...	--	60	352	341	.48	3750	194	65	1.4	575	7.8
JULY											
14...	0.00	40	360	336	.49	824	222	57	.8	519	7.8
AUG.											
17...	.05	50	434	427	.59	520	254	62	1.3	660	8.4
SEP.											
15...	.20	40	457	440	.62	639	258	68	1.4	681	8.6

Figure 14. Example of USGS surface water records for Utah for 1969-70.

GREEN RIVER BASIN

09306500 WHITE RIVER NEAR WATSON, UTAH--Continued

SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE (MICROMHOS AT 25°C), WATER YEAR OCTOBER 1969 TO SEPTEMBER 1970

DAY	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP
1	689	699	858	832	---	773	713	608	339	378	---	737
2	671	711	833	871	910	797	851	650	338	388	---	743
3	675	709	830	---	919	783	827	690	360	422	669	746
4	651	732	746	---	860	799	825	698	359	436	698	810
5	710	724	719	873	831	797	827	693	372	452	722	737
6	672	761	---	839	836	819	806	656	341	466	696	727
7	652	805	---	787	---	817	838	598	332	505	672	889
8	651	776	665	826	781	837	900	548	323	515	741	744
9	648	767	736	847	773	847	851	494	350	494	607	676
10	655	701	785	852	736	866	831	470	352	532	681	651
11	766	667	813	796	775	844	822	490	609	673	658	659
12	767	719	811	858	782	823	807	520	505	640	667	664
13	817	744	869	782	780	817	768	514	497	515	665	666
14	791	737	795	713	719	835	765	468	---	543	675	677
15	771	733	702	687	---	974	785	432	420	532	720	708
16	856	733	702	683	---	846	770	436	390	536	681	664
17	832	749	682	---	746	878	790	432	366	588	675	644
18	927	668	769	---	832	878	779	443	374	591	723	642
19	1460	670	748	703	884	854	948	417	353	594	811	646
20	970	681	717	703	780	871	795	379	336	604	703	651
21	857	624	705	714	724	857	795	375	334	611	707	640
22	812	719	693	640	777	837	819	366	344	601	704	640
23	817	759	719	600	811	826	817	342	340	646	672	645
24	811	696	930	735	745	821	826	325	356	735	674	640
25	798	710	751	758	704	816	828	326	374	660	674	655
26	791	---	779	718	741	856	867	333	374	649	681	657
27	807	734	---	671	730	868	882	331	372	657	772	647
28	771	743	---	756	782	846	856	322	355	680	714	638
29	746	745	957	791	---	856	704	310	363	688	750	636
30	699	798	935	762	---	819	629	---	377	641	739	630
31	676	---	926	---	---	832	---	327	---	725	732	---
MONTH	781	725	781	766	790	839	811	467	378	572	710	685

TEMPERATURE (°C) OF WATER, WATER YEAR OCTOBER 1969 TO SEPTEMBER 1970

DAY	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP
1	12.0	5.0	3.0	3.0	---	0.0	3.0	7.0	7.0	12.5	---	13.5
2	11.0	2.0	2.5	4.5	0.0	1.0	3.5	9.0	7.5	12.5	---	13.5
3	10.0	2.0	2.0	---	0.0	0.0	3.5	9.0	8.5	13.5	13.5	13.5
4	9.0	2.0	3.0	---	0.0	4.0	3.0	10.0	9.0	---	13.5	13.5
5	8.0	2.0	2.5	4.0	0.0	4.0	2.0	12.5	10.0	---	13.5	13.5
6	6.0	2.0	---	4.0	0.0	0.5	4.5	9.0	11.0	13.5	13.5	12.5
7	6.0	2.0	---	3.0	---	1.0	4.5	10.0	9.0	13.5	13.5	13.5
8	6.0	2.0	4.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	4.5	10.0	10.0	13.5	13.5	13.0
9	7.0	1.0	4.0	3.0	0.0	1.0	9.0	9.5	9.5	13.5	12.0	12.0
10	7.0	1.0	3.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	7.0	9.0	---	13.5	13.5	15.0
11	5.0	1.0	2.5	3.0	0.0	1.0	7.0	9.0	7.0	13.0	13.5	15.5
12	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	---	0.0	6.5	10.5	9.0	13.0	13.5	14.5
13	2.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	---	0.0	7.0	10.0	12.0	13.5	13.5	13.5
14	2.0	1.0	3.0	3.0	0.0	2.5	5.5	10.0	---	13.5	13.5	15.5
15	4.0	1.0	3.0	3.0	---	3.0	9.5	9.0	10.0	13.5	14.0	14.5
16	5.0	1.0	3.0	3.0	---	3.5	7.5	10.0	10.0	13.5	14.5	13.5
17	---	1.0	3.0	---	1.0	2.5	3.5	10.0	10.0	13.5	20.0	13.5
18	5.0	2.0	3.0	---	1.0	0.5	4.0	10.5	12.5	14.5	18.5	13.5
19	4.0	2.0	---	3.0	---	2.5	6.5	11.0	10.5	---	15.5	13.5
20	3.0	2.0	5.0	3.0	0.0	1.0	4.5	11.0	11.0	13.5	13.5	13.5
21	3.0	2.0	4.0	3.0	0.0	1.0	5.5	11.0	---	13.5	13.5	13.5
22	4.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	0.0	1.0	5.5	12.0	10.5	13.5	13.5	13.5
23	4.0	2.0	4.0	3.0	0.0	1.0	5.5	13.0	11.0	13.5	13.5	13.5
24	6.0	2.0	3.5	3.0	0.0	2.5	6.0	14.5	12.0	13.5	13.5	13.5
25	5.0	1.0	5.0	3.0	0.0	1.0	7.0	13.0	12.5	13.5	13.5	12.0
26	4.0	---	3.0	3.0	0.0	2.5	8.0	12.5	10.0	13.5	10.5	11.0
27	5.0	2.5	---	3.0	0.0	1.0	7.0	14.5	11.0	14.5	13.5	10.0
28	5.0	2.5	---	3.0	0.0	1.5	7.0	12.0	12.0	13.5	13.5	10.0
29	4.0	2.5	6.0	3.0	---	---	7.0	12.5	12.0	13.5	14.5	10.0
30	3.0	2.5	5.0	3.0	---	4.0	4.5	---	13.0	13.5	14.0	9.5
31	3.0	---	6.5	---	---	1.0	---	13.5	---	13.5	13.5	---
MONTH	5.5	2.0	3.5	3.5	---	1.5	5.5	11.0	10.5	13.5	14.0	13.0

Figure 15. Example of USGS surface water records for Utah for 1969-70.

requiring treatment, although total dissolved solids, dissolved sulfates, and specific conductance are high enough to warrant care in irrigation procedures so as to prevent build-up in the soil of undesirable salts.

Evacuation Creek is a tributary to the White River and is close to an intermittent stream with periods of near zero flows. During spring runoff and following storms, discharge can be substantial. It was during a low flow period, approximately 3 cfs, that the water sample (Table 8) for quality determinations was taken. During high flows, water quality is substantially higher.

FISHERIES POPULATION STUDIES

Fish collections were made in 1974 and 1975 by the Utah Division of Wildlife Resources, under the direction of the Northeast Regional Office. Collection dates in 1974 (Table 9) were October 15-17 and November 20-22, and 1975 collection dates (Table 10) were August 11-14 and September 9. The White River was sampled at the following stations in both 1974 and 1975 (Figure 16): (1) Bridge near Ouray; (2) Mountain Fuel Bridge; (3) East Boundary of Ouray Indian Reservation; (4) Near Bitter Creek Confluence; (5) Near Asphalt Ridge; (6) Near Mouth of Southam Canyon; (7) Bridge near Bonanza; and (8) Mouth of Hells Hole Canyon.

The total data for this fish population section was provided by the Utah Division of Wildlife Resources. Conditions for sampling the fish population were more adverse in 1975 than in 1974, thus resulting in approximately one-quarter as many fish being collected during the 1975 effort. This difference was noticable for all species, but particularly the small members of the minnow family.

Equipment and Methods

High turbidities, extreme fluctuations in flow, channel width, and difficult access made fish population studies on the White River difficult. Of the six sampling techniques employed (electrofishing, explosives, seining, hoop netting, trapping, and gill netting), only electrofishing, and, to a lesser extent, explosives produced effective results.



Figure 16. Map of White River drainage, Uintah County, Utah showing fish collection sites.
Scale: 1 inch = 4 miles

Electrofishing samples were obtained in continuous 2,000-foot stretches of river. Direct current, which was supplied by a 230-volt, 21.8-ampere generator, and 500 feet of cable was used as a power source. By experimentation it was found that shocking downstream produced the best results. This procedure was employed on the near side, far side, and wherever possible, several sections of the middle of the stream. All divided channels in the sampling segment were shocked using this procedure. Electrofishing areas were not normally blocked off while sampling.

Explosives were used successfully at two of the sampling stations in smaller holes and glides. Best results were obtained when ditching powder was attached to long lengths of primer cord at 10-foot intervals. Immediately downstream from the sampling area (approximately 50 yards), minnow seines were set across the stream to intercept fish as they floated out of the blast area.

Results and Discussion

Of the fish sampling methods employed on the White River, only electrofishing consistently took many and varied fishes. Normally, this type of gear is more effective for large fish than for small. However, with only a few exceptions, the fish taken were small in size. Perhaps larger individuals are not numerous in the system or were not present in that portion of the river during sampling. However, it is definitely possible that the large fish were able to escape the electrical field.

A few larger fish, including three carp, four suckers, two round-tailed chubs, some smaller shiners, and two dace were

collected in minnow seines and dip nets after being immobilized by explosives.

Quantitative estimates of the fish population were not practical because of the high turbidities and other factors that made fish collection difficult. Therefore, efforts were concentrated to determine relative abundance and distribution of the fish species present.

A total of 1,776 fish, including 98 young-of-the-year fish too small to be classified accurately, were captured from the eight stations sampled (Tables 9 and 10). Eleven different species were taken during the two-year sample period. Approximately 95 percent of all fish collected were under six inches in total length.

Based on the results of this fish population inventory, it seems that the White River provides marginal habitat for many of the fish species which are known to inhabit the Upper Colorado River system. Only the red shiner, speckled dace, and flannelmouth sucker were captured with consistency at most of the sampling sites. Carp, bluehead sucker, round-tailed chub, and channel catfish were widely distributed but relatively few in number. The fathead minnow was present only at Stations 3 and 5. A single smallmouth bass and a single brown trout were captured near the confluence with the Green River.

No specimens of the Colorado River squawfish, Ptychocheilus lucius; bonytail chub, Gila elegans; humpback sucker, Xyrauchen texanus; or the humpback chub, Gila cypha were found in the survey. It is possible that these rare species may occur in this portion of the White River either as residents or migrants. It seems that the rate of

flow and overall habitat are of sufficient quality to allow passage from the Green River.

It appears that there would be little chance, without modification of some basic habitat factors such as turbidity and bottom type, to produce adequate numbers of the normally acceptable game fish to establish a sports fishery. It would appear that some degradation of this already marginal habitat could be tolerated without significant alteration of the fish resource. However, it should be noted that some stress is apparently being exerted upon the native species from competition with the introduced forms, especially among the smaller minnow species.

TABLES

Table 1. Systematic list of aquatic invertebrates collected during the White River Study (1974-75) with reference to dominant food habits of each taxa.

Taxa	Detritivore	Herbivore (Algae)	Predator	Variable
Phylum Annelida				
Class Oligochaeta				
Order Plesiopora				
Family Tubificidae				
Tubifex sp.	x	x		
Phylum Arthropoda				
Class Crustacea				
Order Copepoda		x		
Order Isopoda	x	x		
Class Arachnida				
Order Hydracarina				x
Class Insecta				
Order Collembola				
Family Poduridae	x			
Order Plecoptera				
Family Taeniopterygidae				
Oemopteryx fosketti	x			
Family Capniidae				
Capnia vernalis	x			
Family Perlidae				
Acroneuria abnormis			x	x
Family Perlodidae				
Isogenoides colubrinus			x	x
Isoperla longiseta			x	x
Order Ephemeroptera				
Family Siphlonuridae				
Isonychia sicca	x	x		
Family Baetidae				
Baetis spp.	x	x		
Callibaetis sp.	x	x		
Dactylobaetis cepheus	x	x		
Paracloedes sp.	x	x		
Pseudocloeon sp.	x	x		
Others	x	x		
Family Oligoneuridae				
Lachlania saskatchewanensis	x	x		

Table 1. (continued)

Taxa	Detritivore	Herbivore (Algae)	Predator	Variable
Family Ametropodidae				
Ametropus albrighti	x	x		
Family Ephemerellidae				
Ephemerella inermis	x	x		
Family Heptageniidae				
Heptagenia elegantula	x	x		
Rithrogena undulata	x	x		
Family Leptophlebiidae				
Choroterpes albiannulata	x	x		
Paraleptophlebia sp.	x	x		
Traverella albertana	x	x		
Family Tricorythidae				
Tricorythodes sp.	x	x		
Family Polymitarcidae				
Ephoron album	x		x	
Order Odonata				
Family Gomphidae				
Gomphus intricatus			x	
Ophiogomphus severus			x	
Family Agrionidae (= Calopterygidae)				
Hetaerina americana			x	
Family Coenagrionidae (= Agrionidae)				
Argia sp.			x	
Order Hemiptera				
Family Corixidae				
Corixella sp.		x		
Hesperocorixa sp.		x		
Ramphocorixa sp.		x		
Sigara sp.		x		
Family Notonectidae			x	
Family Gerridae				
Gerris sp.	x			
Metrobates sp.	x			
Family Veliidae				
Mesovelia mulsanti	x			
Rhagovelia distincta	x			
Family Saldidae				
Saldula sp.	x			
Order Megaloptera				
Family Corydalidae				
Corydalus sp.			x	

Table 1. (continued)

Taxa	Detritivore	Herbivore (Algae)	Predator	Variable
Order Trichoptera				
Family Rhyacophilidae				
Subfamily Glossosomatinae		x		
Family Hydropsychidae				
Hydropsyche guttata	x	x		
Hydropsyche occidentalis	x	x		
Family Hydroptilidae	x	x		
Family Limnephilidae				
Limnephilus sp.	x	x		
Family Leptoceridae				
Nectopsyche albida	x	x		
Oecetis avara	x	x		
Triaenodes frontalis	x	x		
Family Brachycentridae				
Brachycentrus sp.	x	x	x	
Order Lepidoptera				
Family Pyralidae	x	x		
Order Coleoptera				
Family Elmidae				
Microcylloepus sp.		x		
Optioservus sp.		x		
Stenelmis sp.		x		
Family Dytiscidae				
Hygrotus sp.			x	
Family Gyrinidae				
Gyrinus punctellus				x
Family Hydrophilidae				
Berosus sp.				x
Sphaeridium sp.				x
Order Diptera				
Family Blepharoceridae				
Agathon sp.		x		
Family Tipulidae				
Hexatoma sp.	x			
Family Dixidae		x		
Family Culicidae				
Culex tarsalis	x	x		
Family Simuliidae		x		

Table 1. (continued)

Taxa	Detritivore	Herbivore (Algae)	Predator	Variable
Family Chironomidae				
Atanytarsus sp.	x	x		
Chironomus riparius	x	x		
Chironomus sp.	x	x		
Endochironomus sp.	x	x		
Microtendipes sp.	x	x		
Nanocladius sp.	x	x		
Orthocladius sp.	x	x		
Palpomyia sp.	x	x		
Psectrocladius sp.	x	x		
Stictochironomus sp.	x	x		
Family Ceratopogonidae	x	x	x	
Family Stratiomyidae	x			
Family Tabanidae				
Silvius notatus		x		
Family Rhagionidae				
Atherix variegata				x
Family Empididae	x			

Table 2. Distributional systematic list of aquatic invertebrates collected during the White River Study (1974-75).

Taxa	Stations				
	S-1	S-2	S-3	S-4	EC*
Phylum Annelida					
Class Oligochaeta					
Order Plesiopora					
Family Tubificidae					
Tubifex sp.	x		x	x	
Phylum Arthropoda					
Class Crustacea					
Order Copepoda			x		
Class Arachnida					
Order Hydracarina	x	x	x	x	
Class Insecta					
Order Collembola					
Family Poduridae				x	
Order Plecoptera					
Family Taeniopterygidae					
Oemopteryx fosketti			x		
Family Capniidae					
Capnia vernalis			x		
Family Perlidae					
Acroneuria abnormis	x		x		
Family Perlodidae					
Isogenoides colubrinus	x	x	x	x	
Isoperla longiseta			x	x	
Order Ephemeroptera					
Family Siphlonuridae					
Isonychia sicca	x		x		
Family Baetidae					
Baetis spp.		x	x	x	
Callibaetis sp.					x
Dactylobaetis cepheus		x	x	x	
Pseudocloeon sp.		x	x	x	
Others	x	x	x	x	
Family Oligoneuridae					
Lachlania saskatchewanensis	x		x	x	
Family Ametropodidae					
Ametropus albrighti	x		x		

*EC = Evacuation Creek, a tributary of White River.

Table 2. (continued)

Taxa	Stations				
	S-1	S-2	S-3	S-4	EC*
Family Ephemerellidae					
Ephemerella inermis	x		x		
Family Heptageniidae					
Heptagenia elegantula	x	x	x		
Rithrogena undulata		x	x	x	
Others	x		x	x	
Family Leptophlebiidae					
Paraleptophlebia sp.			x	x	
Traverella albertana	x	x	x	x	
Family Tricorythidae					
Tricorythodes sp.	x	x	x	x	
Family Polymitarcidae					
Ephoron album			x	x	
Order Odonata					
Family Gomphidae					
Gomphus intricatus			x		
Ophiogomphus severus	x		x	x	
Family Agrionidae (= Calopterygidae)					
Hetaerina americana			x		
Family Coenagrionidae (= Agrionidae)					
Argia sp.			x		
Order Hemiptera					
Family Corixidae					
Corixella sp.					x
Hesperocorixa sp.			x		
Ramphocorixa sp.			x		
Sigara sp.			x		
Family Notonectidae			x		
Family Veliidae					
Mesovelia mulsanti					x
Rhagovelia distincta	x				
Family Saldidae					
Saldula sp.					x
Order Megaloptera					
Family Corydalidae					
Corydalus sp.			x		
Order Trichoptera					
Family Rhyacophilidae					
Subfamily Glossosomatinae				x	
Family Hydropsychidae					
Hydropsyche guttata	x	x	x	x	
Hydropsyche occidentalis	x	x	x	x	

Table 2. (continued)

Taxa	Stations				
	S-1	S-2	S-3	S-4	EC*
Family Hydroptilidae				x	
Family Limnephilidae					
Limnephilus sp. A			x		
Limnephilus sp. B					x
Family Leptoceridae					
Nectopsyche albida	x	x	x	x	
Oecetis avara			x		
Triaenodes frontalis			x		
Family Brachycentridae					
Brachycentrus sp.	x				
Order Coleoptera					
Family Elmidae					
Microcylloepus sp.	x				
Optioservus sp.		x			
Stenelmis sp.	x				
Family Dytiscidae					
Hygrotus sp.					x
Family Gyrinidae					
Gyrinus punctellus					x
Family Hydrophilidae					
Berosus sp.					x
Sphaeridium sp.					x
Order Diptera					
Family Blepharoceridae					
Agathon sp.			x		
Family Tipulidae					
Hexatoma sp.			x		
Family Dixidae			x		
Family Culicidae					
Culex tarsalis					x
Family Simuliidae	x		x		
Family Chironomidae					
Atanytarsus sp.			x		
Chironomus riparius					x
Chironomus sp.	x	x			x
Endochironomus sp.		x			
Microtendipes sp.			x		
Nanocladius sp.			x		
Orthocladius sp.			x		
Palpomyia sp.		x			
Psectrocladius sp.			x		
Stictochironomus sp.					x
Family Ceratopogonidae	x		x		

Table 2. (continued)

Taxa	Stations				
	S-1	S-2	S-3	S-4	EC*
Family Stratiomyidae					x
Family Tabanidae					
Silvius notatus					x
Family Empididae					x

Table 3. Density of aquatic invertebrates collected from the White River on 12 August 1975 (Baumann, BYU).

Taxa	Stations			
	S-1	S-2	S-3	S-4
Phylum Annelida				
Class Oligochaeta				
Order Plesiopora				
Family Tubificidae				
Tubifex sp.	19	0	25	7
Phylum Arthropoda				
Class Crustacea				
Order Copepoda	0	0	2	0
Class Arachnida				
Order Acarina				
Suborder Hydracarina	24	2	10	17
Class Insecta				
Order Collembola				
Family Poduridae	0	0	0	1
Order Plecoptera				
Family Perlidae				
Acroneuria abnormis	5	0	0	0
Family Perlodidae				
Isogenoides sp.	3	1	4	2
Isoperla sp.	0	0	0	2
Order Ephemeroptera				
Family Siphlonuridae				
Isonychia sicca	0	0	2	0
Family Baetidae				
Baetis spp.	0	1	5	2
Dactylobaetis cepheus	0	28	32	31
Pseudocloeon sp.	0	3	42	36
Others	35	10	29	58
Family Oligoneuridae				
Lachlania saskatchewanensis	8	0	2	6
Family Heptageniidae				
Heptagenia elegantula	3	0	3	2
Rithrogena undulata	0	4	6	3
Family Leptophlebiidae				
Paraleptophlebia sp.	0	0	2	3
Traverella albertana	160	145	254	313
Family Tricorythidae				
Tricorythodes sp.	67	12	12	15
Family Polymitarcidae				
Ephoron album	0	0	2	1

Table 3. (continued)

Taxa	Stations S-1	S-2	S-3	S-4
Order Odonata				
Family Gomphidae				
Ophiogomphus severus	0	0	4	3
Order Trichoptera				
Family Rhyacophilidae				
Subfamily Glossosomatinae	0	0	0	1
Family Hydropsychidae				
Hydropsyche sp. A	61	2	1	6
Hydropsyche sp. B	0	2	45	12
Family Hydroptilidae	0	0	0	1
Family Leptoceridae				
Nectopsyche albida	61	1	0	0
Family Brachycentridae				
Brachycentrus sp.	13	0	0	0
Order Lepidoptera				
Family Pyralidae	0	0	0	2
Order Coleoptera				
Family Elmidae	8	0	2	0
Order Diptera				
Family Blepharoceridae				
Agathon sp.	0	0	2	0
Family Tipulidae	0	0	1	0
Family Simuliidae				
Cnephia sp.	0	0	0	43
Others	0	12	279	81
Family Chironomidae	19	22	88	49
Family Rhagionidae				
Atherix variegata	0	0	0	1
Family Empididae	0	0	2	0
Total N/ft^2	486	245	856	698

Table 4. Numbers of aquatic invertebrates collected from the White River on July 2-4, 1974 by B. P. Stark (Gaufin, University of Utah).

Taxa	Meeker, Colorado	Bonanza Bridge	Ouray
Phylum Arthropoda			
Class Crustacea			
Order Amphipoda			
Family Gammaridae			
Gammarus sp.	2		
Class Insecta			
Order Plecoptera			
Family Pteronarcidae			
Pteronarcys californica	1		
Family Perlodidae			
Cultus aestivalis	3		
Isoperla longiseta			7
Isoperla patricia	4		
Family Chloroperlidae			
Suwallia sp.	3		
Order Ephemeroptera			
Family Baetidae			
Baetis sp.	22	12	
Family Siphlonuridae			
Isonychia sicca		5	6
Family Ephemerellidae			
Ephemerella grandis	3		
Ephemerella inermis	18	6	1
Family Heptaginiidae			
Epeorus longimanus	4		
Heptagenia elegantula		16	6
Rhithrogena undulata	2		
Family Leptophlebiidae			
Paraleptophlebia sp.	2		
Traverella albertana		1	
Family Polymitarcidae			
Ephoron album		1	
Family Tricorythidae			
Tricorythodes sp.		1	
Order Odonata			
Family Gomphidae			
Ophiogomphus severus		2	1
Family Coenagrionidae			
Hetaerina americana			1

Table 4. (continued)

Taxa	Meeker, Colorado	Bonanza Bridge	Ouray
Order Trichoptera			
Family Glossosomatidae			
Glossosoma sp.	1		
Family Hydropsychidae			
Hydropsyche sp.		2	
Family Lepidostomatidae			
Lepidostoma sp.	5		
Family Leptoceridae			
Nectopsyche albida		1	10
Family Brachycentridae			
Brachycentrus sp.	45		
Order Diptera			
Family Tipulidae			
Hexatoma sp.	1		
Family Chironomidae	3		
Family Simuliidae	3		
Family Dixidae			
Dixa sp.		1	
Family Rhagionidae	2		
Totals	124	48	32

Table 5. Numbers of aquatic invertebrates collected from the White River and tributary on October 9-13, 1974 by Stark and Wolff (Gaufin, University of Utah).

Taxa	Bonanza Bridge	Ouray	Evacuation Creek
Phylum Arthropoda			
Class Insecta			
Order Plecoptera			
Family Perlidae			
Acroneuria abnormis	1		
Family Perlodidae			
Isogenoides colubrinus		3	
Order Ephemeroptera			
Family Baetidae			
Baetis sp.	3		
Pseudocloeon sp.	7		
Callibaetis sp.			37
Family Siphlonuridae			
Isonychia sicca	2	4	
Family Oligoneuridae			
Lachlania sp.		1	
Family Ametropodidae			
Ametropus albrighti	3		
Family Ephemerellidae			
Ephemerella inermis		1	
Family Heptageniidae			
Heptagenia elegantula	23		
Family Leptophlebiidae			
Traverella albertana	1	5	
Family Tricorythidae			
Tricorythodes sp.	2		
Order Odonata			
Family Gomphidae			
Gomphus intricatus	1		
Ophiogomphus severus	20	6	
Family Coenagrionidae			
Hetaerina americana	1	1	
Order Hemiptera			
Family Corixidae			
Hesperocorixa sp.	1		
Ramphocorixa sp.	1		
Sigara sp.	5		
Trichocorixa sp.	1		

Table 5. (continued)

Taxa	Bonanza Bridge	Ouray	Evacuation Creek
Family Notonectidae			
Notonecta sp.	4		3
Family Saldidae			
Saldula sp.	1		
Family Veliidae			
Rhagovelia distincta	6	2	
Order Megaloptera			
Family Corydalidae			
Corydalus sp.		1	
Order Trichoptera			
Family Hydropsychidae			
Hydropsyche sp.	3	41	
Family Leptoceridae			
Nectopsyche albida	2		
Family Brachycentridae			
Brachycentrus sp.		2	
Order Coleoptera			
Family Elmidae	3	1	
Order Diptera			
Family Tipulidae	1		
Family Chironomidae	3	10	11
Chironomus sp.	4		8
Microtendipes sp.	3		
Psectrocladius sp.	5		
Stictochironomus sp.	5		7
Family Simuliidae	2	1	
Family Tabanidae			2
Family Ceratopogonidae	2	6	
Family Culicidae			4
Family Empididae			10
Totals	123	85	82

Table 6. Numbers of aquatic invertebrates collected from the White River and tributary on November 20-21, 1974 by R. W. Clubb (Gaufin, University of Utah).

Taxa	Bonanza Bridge	Ouray	Evacuation Creek
Phylum Arthropoda			
Class Insecta			
Order Plecoptera			
Family Capniidae			
Capnia sp.	1		
Family Taeniopterygidae			
Oemopteryx sp.	87	8	
Family Perlidae			
Acroneuria abnormis	6		
Family Perlodidae			
Isogenoides colubrinus	26		
Isoperla sp.	3		
Order Ephemeroptera			
Family Baetidae			
Baetis sp.	7		
Pseudocloeon sp.	15		
Callibaetis sp.			3
Family Ephemerellidae			
Ephemerella inermis	14		
Family Heptageniidae			
Heptagenia elegantula	39	13	
Rhithrogena undulata	47	3	
Family Tricorythidae			
Tricorythodes sp.	4		
Order Odonata			
Family Gomphidae			
Ophiogomphus severus	2		
Order Hemiptera			
Family Corixidae			
Sigara sp.	1		
Order Trichoptera			
Family Hydropsychidae			
Hydropsyche sp.	9		
Family Limnephilidae			
Limnephilus sp.			6

Table 6. (continued)

Taxa	Bonanza Bridge	Ouray	Evacuation Creek
Order Diptera			
Family Tipulidae	1		
Family Chironomidae	22	4	
Family Simuliidae	1		
Family Tabanidae			1
Totals	285	28	10

Table 7. Summary of community structure of aquatic invertebrates of the White River at four sites on 11-12 August 1975.

	Site				
	S-1a*	S-1b*	S-2**	S-3	S-4
$\bar{X}$ number/ft^2 (selected substrate)	13	486	245	854	696
Number of taxa collected	4	14	14	23	26
SCI_T (Cairns, 1973)	3.6	12.6	4.2	16.1	15.6
$\bar{d}$ (Margalef, 1951)	1.12	2.09	1.51	1.96	2.04

*S-1a was from sand substrate while S-1b was from a rocky outcropping.

**S-2 had no gravel or rocky substrate, thus resulting in the low numbers and diversity.

Table 8. White River chemical analysis, August 11 and 12, 1975.*

Parameter	Station S-1	S-2	S-3	S-4	EC**	Units
Dissolved Oxygen	7	7	9	8	8	mg/l of O_2
Temperature: Air	25	30	32	34	20	° C
Water	22	23	24	21	20	° C
Total Alkalinity	190	186	186	185	209	mg/l of $CaCO_3$
Bicarbonate	223	218	210	221	255	mg/l of HCO_3
Calcium	70	69	68	68	100	mg/l of Ca
Carbonate	4	4	8	2	1	mg/l of CO_3
Chloride	29	27	27	27	22	mg/l of Cl
Conductivity	746	741	717	704	2,114	µmhos/cm
Hardness	299	263	270	267	450	mg/l of $CaCO_3$
Hydroxide	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	mg/l of OH
Magnesium	30	22	24	23	49	mg/l of Mg
pH	8.0	8.0	7.9	8.0	8.0	
Potassium	2.30	2.40	2.20	2.20	6.80	mg/l of K
Sodium	65	75	49	49	355	mg/l of Na
Sulfate	170	145	152	130	780	mg/l of SO_4
Total Dissolved Solids	600	474	366	434	1,500	mg/l
Ammonia	0.036	0.018	0.028	0.028	0.118	mg/l of N
Nitrate	<0.05	<0.05	0.07	<0.05	<1.16	mg/l of N
Phosphate, Ortho	0.023	0.019	0.019	0.017	0.030	mg/l of P

*Water quality analysis made by the BYU Environmental Analysis Laboratories, 368 ESTB, Brigham Young University, Provo, Utah 84602.

**EC = Evacuation Creek, a tributary of White River.

Table 9. Relative abundance of fishes collected during the White River Study (1974).

Taxa	Stations								
	Hells Hole Canyon	Bonanza Bridge	Southam Canyon	Asphalt Ridge	Bitter Creek Confluence	Mountain Fuel Bridge	East Boundary Indian Reservation	Bridge Near Ouray	Total
Pimephales promelas Fathead Minnow	0	0	61	0	3	0	0		64
Notropis lutrensis Red Shiner	5	16	0	14	379	277	4	160	855
Rhinichthys osculus Speckled Dace	4	10	33	30	47	15	5	16	160
Cyprinus carpio Carp	0	1	0	0	1	0	3	4	9
Gila robusta robusta Roundtail Chub	0	2	1	1	22	0	2	0	28
Catostomus latipinnis Flannelmouth Sucker	10	26	43	27	38	7	2	0	153
Pantosteus delphinus Bluehead Sucker	2	2	2	14	1	0	0	1	22
Ictalurus punctatus Channel Catfish	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	21
Salmo trutta Brown Trout	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Total	21	57	140	86	491	299	16	203	1,313

Table 10. Relative abundance of fishes collected during the White River Study (1975).

Taxa	Stations								
	Hells Hole Canyon	Bonanza Bridge	Southam Canyon	Asphalt Ridge	Bitter Creek Confluence	Mountain Fuel Bridge	East Boundary Indian Reservation	Bridge Near Ouray	Total
Pimephales promelas Fathead Minnow	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Notropis lutrensis Red Shiner	7	0	4	5	30	29	11	55	141
Rhinichthys osculus Speckled Dace	38	14	14	12	29	9	13	6	135
Cyprinus carpio Carp	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
Gila robusta robusta Roundtail Chub	1	0	3	3	0	0	1	0	8
Catostomus latipinnis Flannelmouth Sucker	8	3	6	10	9	0	1	0	37
Pantosteus dolphinus Bluehead Sucker	3	3	14	3	0	0	1	0	24
Ictalurus punctatus Channel Catfish	2	0	1	0	1	3	2	3	12
Ictalurus melas Black Bullhead	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	3
Micropterus dolomieui Smallmouth Bass	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Total	59	20	42	34	75	41	29	65	365

REFERENCES CITED

Cairns, John, Jr., K. L. Dickson, and Guy Lanza. 1973. Rapid biological monitoring system for determining aquatic community structure in receiving systems. Biological Methods for the Assessment of Water Quality, ASTM STP 528, American Society for Testing and Materials, pp. 148-163.

Margalef, R. 1951. Diversidad de especies en les communidades naturales. P. Inst. Biol. Apl. 9:5-27.

Reichert, M. K. 1975. Use of selected macroinvertebrates as indicators of sedimentation effects on Huntington River, Utah. Unpublished M. S. Thesis, Department of Zoology, Brigham Young University, Provo, Utah 84602. 284 pp.

U. S. Geological Survey. 1965 to 1970. Water Resources Data for Utah. Part 1. Surface water records. 1965, 1966, 1967, and 1970. Part 2. Water quality records. 1965, 1966, 1967, 1968, 1969, and 1970.

Raptor Study of Utah Oil Shale Area

By

William H. Behle

(Consulting Biologist, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah)

and

Michael L. Perry

(Consulting Biologist, Natural History State Museum, Vernal, Utah)

Prepared for

Utah State Division of Wildlife Resources

and

The U.S. Bureau of Land Management

1975

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
LIST OF TABLES	iii
LIST OF FIGURES	iv
ABSTRACT	vi
INTRODUCTION	1
Contract Provisions	1
Objectives of Study	1
Location of Study Area	2
Nature of the Terrain	3
MATERIALS AND METHODS	4
LITERATURE SURVEY AND RESUMÉ OF PRIOR STUDIES	5
RESUMÉ OF FIELD WORK	6
BIOTIC COMMUNITIES	8
ANALYSIS OF RAPTORS FOUND IN OIL SHALE AREA	10
Systematic List	10
Grouping on the Basis of Seasonal Status	12
Raptors Grouped on Basis of Relative Abundance	14
HABITAT UTILIZATION	16
POPULATION DENSITIES	21
SPECIES ACCOUNTS	24
Diurnal Birds of Prey - Hawks	24
Nocturnal Birds of Prey - Owls	102
ENDANGERED AND THREATENED SPECIES OCCURRING IN THE AREA	137
IMPACT OF DEVELOPMENT OF OIL SHALE RESOURCES ON RAPTORS	138
RECOMMENDATIONS	141
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	142
LITERATURE CITED	144
APPENDIX 1. BIRDS OTHER THAN RAPTORS OBSERVED IN OIL SHALE AREA	146

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1.	Habitat utilization for foraging and feeding by diurnal raptors	17
2.	Habitat utilization for nesting by diurnal raptors	18
3.	Habitat utilization for foraging and feeding by nocturnal raptors	19
4.	Habitat utilization for nesting by nocturnal raptors	20
5.	Population density of diurnal raptors	22
6.	Population density of nocturnal raptors	23

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1.	Map showing locations in the Utah oil shale area where the Turkey Vulture has been observed	28
2.	Map showing locations in the Utah oil shale area where the Goshawk has been observed	32
3.	Map showing locations in the Utah oil shale area where the Sharp-shinned Hawk has been observed	37
4.	Map showing locations in the Utah oil shale area where the Cooper's Hawk has been observed	42
5.	Map showing locations in the Utah Oil shale area where the Red-tailed Hawk has been observed	50
6.	Map showing locations in the Utah oil shale area where the Swainson's Hawk has been observed	54
7.	Map showing locations in the Utah oil shale area where the Rough-legged Hawk has been observed	58
8.	Map showing locations in the Utah oil shale area where the Ferruginous Hawk has been observed	61
9.	Map showing locations in the Utah oil shale area where the Golden Eagle has been observed	67
10.	Map showing locations in the Utah oil shale area where the Bald Eagle has been observed	73
11.	Map showing locations in the Utah oil shale area where the Marsh Hawk has been observed	79
12.	Map showing locations in the Utah oil shale area where the Osprey has been observed	82
13.	Map showing locations in the Utah oil shale area where the Prairie Falcon has been observed	88
14.	Map showing locations in the Utah oil shale area where the Peregrine Falcon has been observed	92
15.	Map showing locations in the Utah oil shale area where the Merlin (Pigeon Hawk) has been observed	95
16.	Map showing locations in the Utah oil shale area where the American Kestrel (Sparrow Hawk) has been observed	101
17.	Map showing locations in the Utah oil shale area where the Barn Owl has been observed	104

Figure		Page
18.	Map showing locations in the Utah oil shale area where the Screech Owl has been observed	107
19.	Map showing locations in the Utah oil shale area where the Flammulated Owl has been observed	110
20.	Map showing locations in the Utah oil shale area where the Great Horned Owl has been observed.	114
21.	Map showing the location in the Utah oil shale area where the Snowy Owl has been observed	117
22.	Map showing the location in the Utah oil shale area where the Pygmy Owl has been observed	120
23.	Map showing the locations in the Utah oil shale area where the Burrowing Owl has been observed	124
24.	Map showing the locations in the Utah oil shale area where the Long-eared Owl has been observed.	130
25.	Map showing the locations in the Utah oil shale area where the Short-eared Owl has been observed	133
26.	Map showing the locations in the Utah oil shale area where the Saw-whet Owl has been observed.	136

SELECTED WATER RESOURCES ABSTRACTS
INPUT TRANSACTION FORM

1. Report No. | 2. | 3. Accession No. W

4. Title: RAPTOR STUDY OF UTAH OIL SHALE AREA

5. Report Date

6.

7. Author(s): Behle, W. H. and Perry, M. L.

8. Performing Organization Report No.

9. Organization: University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah
Natural History State Museum, Vernal, Utah

10. Project No.

11. Contract/Grant No. 52500-CT4-450-BLM

12. Sponsoring Organization

13. Type of Report and Period Covered

15. Supplementary Notes: Project conducted for: The State of Utah Division of Wildlife Resources
1596 West North Temple
Salt Lake City, Utah 84116

16. Abstract A study of the raptors in the Utah oil shale area in northeastern Utah was made during the spring and summer of 1974 and 1975. Sixteen kinds of diurnal birds of prey were found. The most common species were, in the order of abundance, (1) the American Kestrel (Sparrow Hawk), (2) Red-tailed Hawk, (3) Golden Eagle, (4) Turkey Vulture, (5) Cooper's Hawk. Less common were the (6) Prairie Falcon, (7) Marsh Hawk, (8) Sharp-shinned Hawk, (9) Swainson's Hawk, (10) Ferruginous Hawk. All these are breeding species. The Osprey occurred in migration and the Goshawk, Rough-legged Hawk, Bald Eagle and Merlin in winter. One endangered species, the Peregrine, still occurs in the general area as an occasional migrant and rare breeder. The Peregrine is the only species for which there is evidence of a decline in numbers.

Eleven kinds of nocturnal birds of prey occur in the area. Only the Great Horned Owl is common. Other kinds are the Screech Owl, Pygmy Owl, Burrowing Owl, Long-eared Owl, Short-eared Owl, all in the oil shale area, and in the general peripheral area, the Barn Owl, Flammulated Owl, Snowy Owl, Spotted Owl and Saw-whet Owl.

For each species, seasonal status, relative abundance, records of occurrence and ecological data are given.

17a. Descriptors: *Birds of prey, Raptors, Hawks, Eagles, Falcons, Owls, *Ecology, Food relations, Nesting, Population density.

17b. Identifiers: *Oil shale area, White River, Uintah County, *Utah

17c. COWRR Field & Group: 06G, 05C

18. Availability

19. Security Class. (Report)

20. Security Class. (Page)

21. No. of Pages

22. Price

Send To:
WATER RESOURCES SCIENTIFIC INFORMATION CENTER
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20240

Abstractor: William H. Behle | Institution: University of Utah

Introduction

Contract provisions - On 1 July 1974 Contract No. 74 2753 became operational between the Utah State Division of Wildlife Resources and three subcontractors, namely William H. Behle and Arden R. Gaufin, consulting biologists and Professors of Biology at the University of Utah, Salt Lake City, and Michael L. Perry, consulting biologist and Director of the Natural History State Museum, Vernal, Utah, whereby certain studies of the fauna of the Utah Oil Shale lands situated in Uintah County, northeastern Utah, were to be made for the U. S. Bureau of Land Management. The contract was a tripartite agreement which not only outlined the various aspects of the general study to be made, but also spelled out the responsibilities among the several subcontractors for the various aspects of the study. William H. Behle and Michael L. Perry were commissioned to undertake the study of the raptors or birds of prey of the area. A progress report concerning this phase of the study was submitted as required on 1 January 1975 covering the period of observations from 1 July to 31 December 1975. The present report, which is the second and final report, covers the entire interval of the study. This was originally designated as from 1 July 1974 to 31 May 1975 but was subsequently extended six months to 15 November 1975.

Objectives of study - The purposes of the raptor study were as follows: (1) to ascertain what kinds of raptors occur in the Utah oil shale area, (2) to determine the seasonal status, relative abundance and habitat occupied by each kind, (3) to assess existing ecological conditions for raptors in the area. The data thus gathered were to constitute baseline data against which future changes could be measured--changes that will arise either directly or indirectly from industrial development of the oil shale resources and the attendant growth of the human population of the region. In meeting these

objectives it was specified that there should be (1) a records review or search of the literature for prior information on the occurrence of raptors in the region with any ecological items pertaining to them, and (2) a field inventory of the kinds presently occurring. The final report was to not only mobilize the data from all sources but also include an analysis of the data and make management recommendations.

Location of study area - The attachment to the contract entitled "Outline of a Cooperative Agreement for a Zoologic Resource Study in Areas in and Associated with the Utah Oil Shale Development Lands" which was part of the contract, describes the study area as consisting of lands in the White and Green river basin that contain oil shale yields of 25 gallons per ton. Further reference is made to two zones which occur in this area. So-called Zone 1, where the aquatic studies were to be made, extends along the White River from the Utah-Colorado border west to the Mountain Fuel Supply Company bridge across the White River which is located about 17 miles east of Ouray. The northern boundary of Zone 1 is the White River. The southern boundary is the east-west dividing line between townships 12 and 13. Thus Zone 1 includes the lower part of Evacuation Creek and its junction with the White River, and thence westward in sequence to Southam Canyon, Asphalt Wash, Atchees Wash and Bitter Creek (Two Water Creek). Zone 2 is referred to as the terrestrial zone. It embraces the area peripheral to Zone 1 and extends from the Utah-Colorado border west to the Green River, the settlement of Ouray and a little beyond. The map therein of the oil shales area shows that Zone 2 includes a strip north of the White river along its length in Utah including Bonanza and thence west to the Green River. The southern border of Zone 2 is also the dividing line separating townships 12 and 13. Our study of raptors covered the entire oil shales area but the region in Zone 1 along the White River with its cliffs and its extensive bottomlands was the most propitious portion in terms of raptor habitat.

Nature of the terrain - The so-called Utah Oil Shale Area covers, according to Olsen (1973), about 1,200 square miles. The terrain can be characterized as rough, barren country. In its broad aspect it is in the nature of a gently sloping plateau. The area south of the White River is the East Tavaputs Plateau which slopes north to the White and Green rivers. The area to the north of the White River represents the southern part of the Uinta Basin where the drainage is south into the Green River. Here some true "badlands" occur. The area in the immediate vicinity of the White River has abrupt, high rising cliffs. Buttes and mesas occur throughout the region. The area is dissected by numerous deep canyons. The elevation along the White River is about 4,800 feet. The south rim of the East Tavaputs Plateau reaches about 8,000 feet above sea level. The direction of water flow of the White River is westward to the Green River. The confluence is located about one mile south of Ouray. The Green River flows in a southerly direction following a devious course.

Some species of raptors were found to occur throughout the entire area but the greatest concentration occurred along the White River. Even along the White River it was the middle stretch that supported the most raptors. The easternmost portion in Utah from the Utah-Colorado border west nearly to the Ignatio bridge on State Highway 45 about 3 miles south of Bonanza is fairly open country with, for the most part, sloping bluffs back from the river. This stretch is not especially good raptor country except in the immediate vicinity of the bridge at Ignatio where the extensive cottonwoods of the river bottomlands afford nesting sites for Great Horned Owls, Cooper's Hawks and Red-tailed Hawks. The middle portion of the White River from the Ignatio bridge west nearly to the Mountain Fuel Supply Company bridge is the best stretch with its high precipitous cliffs, extensive floodplains with riverine vegetation and numerous side canyons. The third, westernmost portion of the White River from just east of the Mountain Fuel Supply Company bridge to its

junction with the Green River is not especially propitious country for raptors since the terrain opens out to a flat expanse. However, the extensive growth of cottonwoods in this portion constitute the habitat for owls and many small birds such as woodpeckers whose holes small owls utilize for nesting sites.

Materials and Methods

Our initial activity was to undertake the literature survey. About the same time we made a reconnaissance of the region in late May of 1974 to familiarize ourselves with the nature of the terrain, the geographic localities, and the extent of the oil shales area. We were also interested in roads that would afford accessibility to various parts of the region. We worked out a route to be travelled regularly in censusing the raptors. In this and subsequent field work we employed the usual paraphernalia such as field glasses, spotting scopes, maps, etc. We did not do any collecting since voucher specimens were not necessary and to remove specimens would interfere with the inventory process. All our observations were made from the ground or river. Three trips were made down the White River by canoe. Our ground surveys together with the canoe trips enabled us to cover all the major portions of the oil shale area.

The names used for the various species and the phylogenetic sequence employed follows that of the American Ornithologists' Union Check-list of North American Birds, 5th Edition, 1957, except for modifications adopted by the A.O.U. check-list committee in the supplement in The Auk 90, 1973: 411-419. Incidentally two more readily available and updated sources are the publications by Eyre and Paul (1973) and Behle and Perry (1975).

Seasonal status of each kind of raptor is expressed in terms of the categories specified in the contract, namely residents and migratory species, but under the latter are three designations: summer resident, winter visitant

and transient. Relative abundance is expressed by the designated terms, abundant, common, uncommon, and rare.

All nesting sites and localities where individuals of the various species were seen were plotted on maps. One of these maps accompanies each species account. In addition to enumerating the data obtained we have included records from the literature, museum specimens in the University of Utah laboratory of ornithology and field data from the files of that institution. A small amount of data was obtained by interviewing various people familiar with the region, such as falconers and government biologists. In presenting the distributional data obtained in our field work we have given the sites in terms of townships and section so as to permit accurate relocation of nesting sites for future follow-up studies.

Literature Survey and Resumé of Prior Studies

As pointed out by Olsen (1973) very few studies have been made of the biology of the general oil shale area and virtually none from zone 1. Twomey (1942) studied the birds of the Uinta Basin and Hayward (1967) studied the birds of the entire Upper Colorado River Basin (see also Hayward, Beck and Tanner (1958). Twomey's observations centered on the Ashley Creek marsh area near Jensen while most of Hayward's data was gathered in the Ouray area. Both of these locations are peripheral to the heart of the oil shale area. We have included in the literature cited several papers dealing with raptor studies elsewhere in the state for comparative purposes. These are papers by Porter and White (1973), Murphy, Caminzind, Smith and Weston (1969), Smith and Murphy (1973), Weston and Ellis (1968).

In searching the periodicals in ornithology (Auk, Condor, Wilson Bulletin) we found a few references to birds other than raptors for the general region. We have not included these data in the present report since our mission

pertained solely to studying the raptors. Olsen (1973) gave a summary of the birds of the region in his report. We have, however, included in Appendix 1 a list of birds other than raptors that we observed in the oil shale area. It should be noted in passing that the general oil shale area is rich in kinds of birds for several reasons. One is the diversity of the biotic communities and habitats. Another is that the Green River and possibly the White River constitute a migratory lane for birds, so many transients pass through the region in spring and fall. Another is altitudinal migration where breeders from surrounding high elevations drop down into the lowlands for wintering. Several winter visitants move in from more northern regions. The number of kinds of birds occurring in the region and their habitat relations have been fairly well determined. However, no attempt has been made to obtain quantitative data for the various species other than for the raptors which are the subject of the present report.

Resumé of Field Work

As pointed out in our initial progress report, delay in the execution of the contract until 1 July 1974 meant the loss of observations for most of the 1974 nesting season for raptors. However, in anticipation of the study, we made a reconnaissance of the area on 24-25 May 1974 and field studies were carried on by one of us (Perry) throughout the summer and autumn of that year. A few visitations to the area were made during the winter of 1974-75. In the spring of 1975 the work was intensified again and continued throughout the summer of 1975. This second season of observation was made possible by the extension of the contract.

A number of roads afforded access to many portions of the oil shale lands but to thoroughly cover the area, as previously noted, three trips were made by canoe down the White River to census raptors and locate eyries. One trip was made in the spring of 1974 and two in the spring of 1975. In 1974 the stretch of the river and adjacent cliffs and side canyons extended from the

bridge at Ignatio, 3 miles south of Bonanza, to Ouray. Those for 1975 terminated at the Mountain Fuel Supply Company bridge about 17 miles east of Ouray.

A total of 62 man days were spent in the oil shale area in this raptor study. The following participated in the field studies. The figure following each name indicates the number of days each spent in the field: William H. Behle (4), James Blanchard (2), Tom Boner (10), William Pingree (2), Dave Prouse (10), Michael Perry (34). For the field work of 1974 and 1975 two land routes were worked out covering the most propitious areas for raptors. They were travelled repeatedly on a weekly basis throughout the summer and fall. The established land census routes were as follows.

Route 1 - Route 1 began at Ignatio Stage Stop at the White River, 3 miles south of Bonanza, and proceeded south 19 miles to Dragon; thence west up Dragon Canyon, 6 miles to Atchee Ridge Road; then north on Atchee Ridge Road through Rainbow and Watson, and terminated at the beginning point, namely the White River at Ignatio. Total distance travelled = 49 miles.

Route 2 - This route commenced at Ignatio Stage Stop and proceeded south through Watson and Rainbow; thence southwest along the Kings Well Road to Kings Well and Bitter Creek, a distance of 30.5 miles. From Bitter Creek corral the route proceeded north 7.5 miles. At this point the route was retraced back to the junction of Kings Well Road and Asphalt Wash Road. It then proceeded north along Asphalt Wash to the White River, a distance of 11.4 miles. From the White River the route was retraced 1.6 miles to the Southam Canyon junction and thence east through Southam Canyon 13.7 miles to the beginning point. Total distance travelled = 63 miles.

Route 3 - This was the river route which proceeded from the White River bridge at Ignatio Stage Stop down river to the Mountain Fuel bridge, 27 miles. This was travelled three times. Although land routes 1 and 2 were travelled regularly for census data, all other possible roads were taken upon occasion and the areas investigated for the presence of raptors or eyries.

The field work for 1975 was centered more along the White River where most of the nesting seemed to take place on the cliffs or cottonwood groves of the bottomlands.

Biotic Communities

Three well defined biotic communities are represented in the oil shale area in Utah as follows.

1. Riparian woodland community - This occurs extensively along the river bottomlands of the White and Green rivers and to a lesser extent in major tributary canyons, especially where permanent streams exist. Major vegetative types represented are cottonwoods, willows, the nonnative tamarix or salt cedar along with numerous shrubs such as squawbush and wild rose which occupy the floodplains fairly close to the running water. The cottonwoods occur as scattered lone individuals, small clumps or broad, extensive groves. In the drier portions of the riverbottoms back from the riverine area desert shrub types occur. This community supports an abundance of bird life with a total of about 68 kinds recorded there. In terms of raptors we found the cottonwoods to be the most important feature through providing nesting and resting sites for several species, particularly Great Horned Owls and Cooper's Hawks. Old woodpecker holes are utilized by small owls. The accipiters not only find shelter in which to lurk before dashing out after their prey, but the abundance of avian species afford much food.

2. Desert shrub community - Other designations that have been used for this are mixed desert shrub community, upland desert shrub, salt desert shrub, sagebrush-greasewood type. The complexion of the community changes from area to area as edaphic conditions change. In the drier, alkaline river bottoms where there is a high water table, greasewood forms an extensive growth. Indeed in some areas it forms a distinctive zone between the riverine cottonwoods and the "badlands" back on the bluffs and rocky slopes. The major aspect

of the community is a mixture of shrubby types extensively distributed over the higher, drier slopes. The major types are shadscale, rabbit brush, horse brush, and spiny sage. Minor types are matchweed, bud sage, hop sage, silver salt brush and several species of cacti.

This community forms a belt that extends from roughly 4,500 feet up, in places, to 6,800 feet elevation. The shrubs grow on dry, valley-like floors of canyons, the sides of floodplains, on low hills and gently sloping terrain broken by gulleys and rock exposures. Much of the desert shrub terrain has been heavily overgrazed with exposure of bare soil and evidences of erosion.

The community is fairly rich in bird life, a total aggregation of about 33 kinds occurring. As regards raptors, the broad-winged, broad-tailed soaring types such as Red-tailed Hawks and Golden Eagles cruise widely over this community which affords many rodents that are used as food.

Juniper-Pinyon Woodland - This is a conspicuous floristic feature over much of the East Tavaputs plateau, ranging from about 5,000 to 7,000 feet elevation. On its lower border it is transitional with the desert shrub which often extends up as tongues and fills the intervening areas between stands of the pygmy conifers. Types of sage are especially prominent in open areas between the junipers and pinyon pines. This community type occurs on the sides of ravines, along ridges and covers the higher tablelands where the soil is gravelly. At its upper limits, mostly outside the oil shale area this belt merges with the Douglas fir and yellow pine forest. There are about a dozen species of birds that regularly occur in this formation with many more in migration. American Kestrels, Red-tailed Hawks and Great Horned Owls nest in this association. Other kinds forage throughout this pygmy forest.

Analysis of the Raptors Found in the Oil Shale Area

The data that we have gathered indicate that 16 kinds of diurnal birds of prey (hawks and eagles) occur in the oil shale area. There is one additional kind of hypothetical status. Eleven kinds of nocturnal birds of prey (owls) were found to occur. We have analyzed the data in various ways. The species are first listed in systematic order, then grouped on the basis of seasonal status, and finally on the basis of relative abundance.

Systematic List of Species of Raptors (Order Falconiformes) Known to Occur in the Oil Shales Area of Utah

1. Diurnal birds of prey - Vultures, Hawks, Eagles and Falcons

   A. American Vultures: Family Cathartidae

      1. Turkey Vulture: Cathartes aura (Linnaeus). Summer resident

   B. Hawks and Harriers: Family Accipitriidae

      Bird Hawks or Accipiters: Subfamily Accipitrinae

      2. Goshawk: Accipiter gentilis (Linnaeus). Migrant

      3. Sharp-shinned Hawk: Accipiter striatus Vieillot.
         Permanent resident

      4. Cooper's Hawk: Accipiter cooperii (Bonaparte).
         Permanent resident

      Broad-tailed broad-winged Hawks and Eagles: Subfamily Buteoninae

      5. Red-tailed Hawk: Buteo jamaicensis (Gmelin).
         Permanent resident

      6. Swainson's Hawk: Buteo swainsoni Bonaparte.
         Summer resident

      7. Rough-legged Hawk: Buteo lagopus (Pontoppidan).
         Winter visitant

8. Ferruginous Hawk: Buteo regalis (Gray).

Permanent resident

9. Golden Eagle: Aquila chrysaetos (Linnaeus).

Permanent resident

10. Bald Eagle: Haliaeetus leucocephalus (Linnaeus).

Winter visitant

C. Harriers: Subfamily Circinae

11. Marsh Hawk: Circus cyaneus (Linnaeus).

Permanent resident

D. Ospreys: Family Pandionidae

12. Osprey: Pandion haliaetus (Linnaeus).

Transient

E. Falcons: Family Falconidae

-? Gyrfalcon: Falco rusticolus Linnaeus. Hypothetical

13. Prairie Falcon: Falco mexicanus Schlegel.

Permanent resident

14. Peregrine Falcon: Falco peregrinus Tunstall

15. Merlin (Pigeon Hawk): Falco columbarius Linnaeus.

16. American Kestrel (Sparrow Hawk): Falco sparverius Linnaeus. Summer resident

II. Nocturnal Birds of Prey - Owls (Order Strigiformes)

A. Barn Owls: Family Tytonidae

1. Barn Owl: Tyto alba (Scopoli). Permanent resident

B. Typical Owls: Family Strigidae

2. Screech Owl: Otus asio (Linnaeus). Permanent resident

3. Flammulated Owl: Otus flammeolus (Kaup). Permanent resident

4. Great Horned Owl: Bubo virginianus (Gmelin). Permanent resident

5. Snowy Owl: Nyctea scandiaca (Linnaeus). Winter visitant

6. Pygmy Owl: Glaucidium gnoma Wagler. Permanent resident
7. Burrowing Owl: Speotyto cunicularia (Molina). Permanent resident
8. Spotted Owl: Strix occidentalis (Xantus). Permanent resident
9. Long-eared Owl: Asio otus (Linnaeus). Permanent resident
10. Short-eared Owl: Asio flammeus (Pontoppidan). Permanent resident
11. Saw-whet Owl: Aegolius acadicus (Gmelin). Permanent resident

Grouping on the Basis of Seasonal Status in the Oil Shale Area of Utah

I. Permanent residents

A. Hawks

Sharp-shinned Hawk

Cooper's Hawk

Red-tailed Hawk

Golden Eagle

Marsh Hawk

Prairie Falcon

B. Owls

Barn Owl

Screech Owl

Flammulated Owl

Great Horned Owl

Pygmy Owl

Burrowing Owl

Spotted Owl

Long-eared Owl

Short-eared Owl

Saw-Whet Owl

II. Summer residents (Hawks)

Turkey Vulture

Swainson's Hawk

Ferruginous Hawk

American Kestrel (Sparrow Hawk)

III. Transients (Hawks)

Goshawk

Osprey

Merlin

IV. Winter visitants

Hawks	Owls
Rough-legged Hawk	Snowy Owl
Bald Eagle	
Gyrfalcon?	

V. Kinds occurring in summer (permanent residents and summer residents)

A. Hawks	B. Owls
Turkey Vulture	Barn Owl
Sharp-shinned Hawk	Screech Owl
Cooper's Hawk	Flammulated Owl
Red-tailed Hawk	Great Horned Owl
Swainson's Hawk	Pygmy Owl
Ferruginous Hawk	Burrowing Owl
Golden Eagle	Spotted Owl
Marsh Hawk	Long-earned Owl
Prairie Falcon	Short-eared Owl
American Kestrel (Sparrow Hawk)	Saw-Whet Owl

VI. Kinds occurring in winter (permanent residents and winter visitants)

A. Hawks

Sharp-shinned Hawk
Cooper's Hawk
Red-tailed Hawk
Rough-legged Hawk
Golden Eagle
Bald Eagle
Marsh Hawk
Gyrfalcon?
Prairie Falcon

B. Owls

Barn Owl
Screech Owl
Flammulated Owl
Great Horned Owl
Pygmy Owl
Burrowing Owl
Spotted Owl
Long-eared Owl
Short-eared Owl
Saw-Whet Owl

Raptors Grouped on Basis of Relative Abundance in the Oil Shale Area in Utah

A. Abundant Species

American Kestrel (Sparrow Hawk)

B. Common Species

Hawks	Owls
Turkey Vulture	Great Horned Owl
Cooper's Hawk	
Red-tailed Hawk	
Golden Eagle	

C. Uncommon Species

Hawks	Owls
Sharp-shinned Hawk	Long-eared Owl
Rough-legged Hawk	
Bald Eagle	
Prairie Falcon	

D. Rare Species

Hawks	Owls
Goshawk	Barn Owl
Swainson's Hawk	Screech Owl
Ferruginous Hawk	Flammulated Owl
Marsh Hawk	Snowy Owl
Osprey	Pygmy Owl
Gyrfalcon?	Burrowing Owl
Peregrine Falcon	Spotted Owl
Merlin (Pigeon Hawk)	Short-eared Owl
	Saw-whet Owl

Endangered and Threatened Species

A. Endangered species

1. Peregrine Falcon
2. Southern Bald Eagle

B. Threatened with endangerment

1. Ferruginous Hawk
2. Osprey
3. Prairie Falcon
4. Burrowing Owl

Habitat Utilization

As regards the raptors occurring in the oil shale area in Utah six habitats are utilized. There are: Riparian Woodlands (riverine trees), Cliffs (bordering rivers), Ledges, Potholes, Desert scrub Vegetation and Pinyon-Juniper woodlands. Occupancy of these habitats species by species is indicated in the accompanying charts.

Table 1. Habitat Utilization for Foraging and Feeding by Diurnal Raptors

Species	Riparian Woodlands	Desert Shrub	Pinyon-Juniper
Turkey Vulture	High	Moderate	Low
Goshawk	Low	Low	Low
Sharp-shinned Hawk	Moderate	Low	Low
Cooper's Hawk	High	Low	Moderate
Red-tailed Hawk	Moderate	High	High
Swainson's Hawk	Low	Low	Low
Rough-legged Hawk	Low	Moderate	Moderate
Ferruginous Hawk	Low	Moderate	Moderate
Golden Eagle	Low	High	Moderate
Bald Eagle	Moderate	Low	Low
Marsh Hawk	Low	Moderate	Low
Osprey	Moderate	-	-
Prairie Falcon	Moderate	High	Moderate
Peregrine Falcon	High	Low	Low
Merlin (Pigeon Hawk)	High	Low	Low
American Kestrel	High	High	Moderate

Table 2. Habitat Utilization for Nesting by Diurnal Raptors

Species	Riparian Woodlands	Cliffs (bordering rivers)	Ledges	Potholes	Desert Shrub	Pinyon-Juniper
Turkey Vulture	Low	High	High	Low	Low	Low
Goshawk	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Sharp-shinned Hawk	Moderate	-	-	-	-	Low
Cooper's Hawk	High	-	-	-	-	Low
Red-tailed Hawk	High	High	Moderate	Low	-	Low
Swainson's Hawk	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ferruginous Hawk	-	-	-	-	Low	-
Golden Eagle	Low	High	High	-	-	Low
Marsh Hawk	-	-	-	-	Low (?)	-
Osprey	Low	Low	-	-	-	-
Prairie Falcon	-	High	High	High	-	-
Peregrine Falcon	-	High	High	High	-	-
American Kestrel	High	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Low	Low

Table 3. Habitat Utilization for Foraging and Feeding by Nocturnal Raptors

Species	Riparian Woodlands	Desert Shrub	Pinyon-Juniper
Barn Owl	Low	Low	Low
Screech Owl	High	Low	Low
Flammulated Owl	Low	-	Low
Great Horned Owl	High	Moderate	Moderate
Snowy Owl	Low	Low	-
Pygmy Owl	Low	-	-
Burrowing Owl	Low	High	Low
Spotted Owl	Low	-	Moderate
Long-eared Owl	High	Moderate	Moderate
Short-eared Owl	Low	Low	Low
Saw-whet Owl	Low	-	-

Table 4. Habitat Utilization for Nesting by Nocturnal Raptors

Species	Riparian Woodlands	Cliffs (bordering rivers)	Ledges	Potholes	Desert Shrub	Pinyon-Juniper
Barn Owl	Low	Low	-	Low	-	-
Screech Owl	High	-	-	-	-	Low
Flammulated Owl	Low	-	-	-	-	Low
Great Horned Owl	High	Moderate	Moderate	-	-	Low
Pygmy Owl	Low	-	-	-	-	-
Burrowing Owl	-	-	-	-	Moderate	Low
Spotted Owl	Low	-	-	-	-	Low
Long-eared Owl	High	-	-	-	-	Low
Short-eared Owl	Low	-	-	-	-	-
Saw-whet	Low	-	-	-	-	-

Population Density

Since our census data were gathered from two principal sources, namely the road routes and the river route, we have, in the accompanying tables, expressed the data for each species from each source separately, as well as giving the figure for the total oil shale area. It is significant that there was a much greater population density for the river route, namely 3.37 individuals per river mile, than there was for the road census route, namely .83 individual per land census mile. The total number of individual raptors of all kinds seen during our field work during the two seasons was 185 birds. The total square miles in the Utah oil shale area are 324 square miles. Based on these figures the raptor density figures out at .57 individual per square mile. One source of error for this figure is that some birds may have been seen both years or more than one time in either year. Despite this limitation the figure affords a crude basis for comparison of population density in the Utah oil shale area with that for similar areas elsewhere. All the total figures given will serve as a basis for comparison with results of possible future studies so as to assess the environmental impact that surely will occur with development of the oil shale resource.

Table 5. Density of Diurnal Raptors for Utah Oil Shale Area

Species	Density Data					
	For land census routes (112 miles)		For river route (27 miles)		For total oil shale area (324 square miles)	
	Total No. of ind. seen	Ratio of ind. per mile of census route	Total No. of ind. seen	Ratio of ind. per linear river mile	Total No. of ind. seen	Ratio of ind. per square mile
Turkey Vulture	7	.06	16	.59	23	.07
Goshawk						
Sharp-shinned Hawk	1	.009	1	.04	2	.01
Cooper's Hawk			6	.22	6	.02
Red-tailed Hawk	16	.14	16	.59	32	.10
Swainson's Hawk	1	.009			1	.003
Rough-legged Hawk	4	.04	1	.04	5	.02
Ferruginous Hawk						
Golden Eagle	14	.13	17	.63	31	.10
Bald Eagle	1	.009	5	.19	6	.02
Marsh Hawk	5	.04			5	.02
Osprey						
Prairie Falcon	4	.04	4	.14	8	.03
Peregrine Falcon			1	.009	1	.003
Merlin (Pigeon Hawk)						
American Kestrel (Sp.Hawk)	37	.33	19	.70	56	.17

Table 6. Density of Nocturnal Raptors for Utah Oil Shale Area

Species	Density Data					
	For land census routes (112 miles)		For river route (27 miles)		For total oil shale area (324 square miles)	
	Total No. of ind. seen	Ratio of ind. per mile of census route	Total No. of ind. seen	Ratio of ind. per linear river mile	Total No. of ind. seen	Ratio of ind. per square mile
Barn Owl						
Screech Owl						
Flammulated Owl						
Great Horned Owl			2	.01	2	.04
Snowy Owl						
Burrowing Owl						
Spotted Owl						
Long-eared Owl	2	.02	2	.07	4	.01
Short-eared Owl	3	.01			3	.01
Saw-whet Owl						

Diurnal Birds of Prey - Hawks

Turkey Vulture

Cathartes aura (Linnaeus)

Status - Common summer resident throughout the region. Individuals arrive in the oil shale area in late February or early March and remain until mid-October.

Inventory of present abundance: records of occurrence -

A. From White River Area (Zone 1): Eleven records (see accompanying distribution map): Atchee ridge road, R25E T12S Sec. 29, July 12, 1974; two birds, White River, R24E T10S Sec. 2, August 2, 1974; one-half mile south of Ignatio, R24E T10S Sec. 2, August 10, 1974; four birds, White River, R24E T10S Sec. 11; one bird, White River, R24E T10S Sec. 17, April 19, 1975; one bird, White River, R24E T10S Sec. 8, April 20, 1975; one bird, White River, R23E T10S Sec. 19, April 21, 1975; White River, R24E T10S Sec. 7, May 24, 1975; five birds, White River, R22E T9S Sec. 8, May 25, 1975.

Mark Strong reported one individual seen on a roadside bird survey between Bonanza and Rainbow on June 12, 1975. Five birds were seen along the White River, R24E T10S Sec. 17, by Phil Wagner in April 1975. On a trip down the White River Michael Horton saw several vultures in Cowboy Canyon, R25E T9S Sec. 27, in late May 1971.

B. From peripheral area: Three birds, White River, R21E T9S Sec. 1, June 5, 1975; Ouray Refuge, Green River, R20E T8S Sec. 27, July 17, 1974; seven birds, six miles east of Vernal on highway 40, August 17, 1974; White River, R22E T9S Sec. 27, R22E T9S Sec. 21, April 21, 1975. Wagner reports numerous sightings of vultures in the Merkley Park area north of Vernal and in Roosevelt, Duchesne County.

C. Data from literature and other sources: Twomey (1942: 375) found Turkey Vultures numerous along the Green River especially in the vicinity of Jensen where "forty or fifty birds often were seen feeding on a carcass." He further noted that vultures were seen at nearly every point in the Uinta Basin,

preferring arid ranch and farm areas but also flying along the river courses and up and down deep canyons. He found a nest four miles south of Jensen on June 3, 1937. It was located on the east side of the Green River on a rocky ledge fifty feet above ground on a perpendicular wall that was 60 feet high. Two eggs were just hatching. Twomey stated that ranchers reported vultures nesting in the cliffs along Hill Creek, Uinta Canyon, Antelope Canyon and the upper part of Ashley Canyon. He further reports that A. C. Lloyd saw a few vultures about the Ashley Creek Marsh during spring and summer of 1934 and 1935. Large numbers occurred after August 20.

For the general Upper Colorado River Basin, Hayward (1967: 22) states that his records extend from April through September and he suggested that the species leaves the area during the coldest parts of the winter. The check-list for the Ouray National Wildlife Refuge indicates that the Turkey Vulture is uncommon during spring, summer and fall and absent during the winter. Olsen (1973: 92) lists the vulture as uncommon which does not agree with our diagnosis.

Two specimens from the general area are in the University of Utah Laboratory of Ornithology collection, one from 2 miles north of Pine Spring, 40 miles southeast of Ouray, 7100 feet, taken on July 13, 1967; the other from Monument Ridge, 2 miles north P. R. Spring, 47 miles southeast of Ouray, 8100 feet, taken on July 14, 1966. Sight records by University of Utah field parties were obtained at the following localities: Pelican Lake, one on May 27, 1966, and four on July 22, 1967; one mile east of Ouray, July 17, 1967 (one); Monument Ridge, July 8, 1966 (ten) and July 13, 1966 (several); South Canyon, July 12, 1966 (four), July 1, 1967 (two), July 9, 1967 (one); junction South and Sweetwater canyons, July 13, 1966 (four); Mc Cook Ridge, July 13, 1966 (two); Mormon Ridge, July 25, 1966 (one); Weaver Reservoir, July 26-28, 1966 (two); 2 miles southeast Weaver Reservoir, July 21, 1967 (six); 5 miles north Weaver Reservoir, July 23, 1967 (one).

Trends - Presently common throughout the area and probably as common now as in the immediate past. As to the future, on the one hand, being conspicuous, slow moving, soaring forms, the species may suffer from indiscriminate shooting as a consequence of increased numbers of people in the region, but on the other hand it may be benefited through an enhanced food supply from more dead livestock, predators and road kills due to the same human factor.

Ecology -

A. Habitat preference: Turkey Vultures range widely throughout the region, occurring over lowland desert shrub, pinyon-juniper, scrub oak and the coniferous forest of adjacent high terrain. We found them most abundant over flatlands, especially where there was ranching and dry farming, but they were also numerous along the White River bottomland and floodplains. Indeed there seemed to be a correlation in that when they occurred abundantly in the river bottomland they were less abundant on the benchlands. A possible explanation is greater food availability at times in the river bottoms. Cattle and sheep graze and water along the river and several times we observed vultures feeding on carcasses in river bottomlands. Another possible explanation is greater proximity to perching and nesting sites in cliffs and trees in proximity to the White River. When perching they showed preference for trees having dead limbs or open branches, but they also utilized ledges and rock facements for resting. For nesting they prefer the shelter of either steep hillsides or cavities on precipitous cliff faces. Since soaring is so much a part of their behavior they frequent areas where there are wind and air convection currents.

B. Number of nest site locations: We did not find any nests, so Twomey's observation constitutes the only nesting record for the general area that has come to our attention.

C. Food and food relations: Carrion of all sorts, from small rodents to large carcasses of livestock. May (1935) states that the Turkey

Vulture feeds almost exclusively upon carrion, as is shown by both field studies and examination of stomach contents. Bent (1937) states that this vulture has little preference in its choice of food. Unusual items eaten include skunks, snakes, newborn pigs, grasshoppers, pumpkins and fish.

D. Feeding sites and foraging areas in terms of habitat preference: Apparently none. They are wide-ranging opportunists, congregating wherever carrion is located.

E. Food availability: Judging from numbers of vultures seen, food is in abundant supply. Major sources are dead livestock, some sheep, but mostly cattle (see earlier prognostication re future).



Figure 1. Map showing locations in the Utah oil shale area where the Turkey Vulture has been observed

Goshawk

Accipiter gentilis atricapillus (Wilson)

Status - Uncommon transient and winter visitant to oil shales area. Shows altitudinal migration from nearby Uinta Mountains. May be a sparse breeder locally in patches of aspens and conifers in higher portions of Tavaputs Plateau south of oil shale area.

Inventory of present abundance: records of occurrence -

A. From White River area (Zone 1) - no records

B. From peripheral area - Two records: Seep ridge road, twenty miles south of Ouray R21E T12S Sec. 29, September 21, 1974; Wagner (pers. comm.) observed one bird in Pleasant Valley, southwest of Myton, Duchesne County. It had been feeding on a blackbird when a Prairie Falcon attacked it trying to secure the blackbird but without success. Michael Horton reports that the species winters in Ashley Valley fairly commonly.

C. Data from literature and other sources: Twomey (1942: 376) commented that the Goshawk was not common in the area. He considered it to be a montane form as a breeder occurring from the aspen community up to timberline. He observed single individuals at Green Lake on June 10 and September 11 and at Paradise Park on July 9 in the Uinta Mountains but made no observations for the Uinta Basin or Tavaputs Plateau areas. Hayward (1967: 22), while pointing out that the species is more commonly found in montane forests, nevertheless found it nesting along the Strawberry River in Duchesne County in early June 1964.

Sight records in the University of Utah Laboratory of Ornithology files for the area are as follows: Junction of South and Sweetwater canyons, July 13, 1966, one seen at a patch of firs. From its actions the bird seemed to be nesting but no nest was found; Mason Ridge between Weaver and Towave reservoirs, two seen on July 29, 1966; Weaver Reservoir, one seen on July 26 and 27, 1966;

two miles southeast of Weaver Reservoir, one seen on July 21, 1967, in a growth of aspen-fir trees. It was observed several times since it didn't fly far. Again no nest was found.

Michael Horton, falconer from Vernal, observed two adults along Willow Creek in late September 1974, more specifially, one at Cripple Creek and one at Sulphur Springs. The habitat was juniper-pinyon.

Trends - None determined, Probably always uncommon.

Ecology -

A. Habitat preference: Goshawks generally nest in the aspen-conifer community of the mountains but occasionally do so in the cottonwood riparian community along lowland rivers. During the nonbreeding season it ranges widely throughout wooded areas including the pygmy forest of the benchlands and cottonwoods of the river bottomlands.

B. Number of nest site locations: None for the oil shale area but Michael Horton knows of three active nests for northeastern Utah, two in the high Uinta Mountains near Flaming Gorge and one along Ashley Creek four miles from Vernal. Three young were taken from the latter nest in 1974 by boys living in the area. Mike later saw one of the birds and made a positive identification.

C. Food and food relations: Primarily birds but also many mammals. Mc Atee (1935) in itemizing stomach contents notes that poultry occurred in 116 of the 243 stomachs examined, roughed grouse occurred in 31, ptarmigan in 2, quail in one. Unusual items found were sharp-shinned hawk, screech owl, crow, herring gull and a pintail duck. Mammals consisted of squirrel remains in 22 stomachs, rabbits in 45, deer mice in 8, meadow mice in 8, woodchuck in 1, muskrat in 1, kitten in 1, weasel in 1, shrew in 1. In addition, grasshoppers and caterpillars were found in 4 stomachs.

May (1935) states that the goshawk feeds principally on birds as shown by field studies and stomach examinations. The results of analysis of 881

stomachs yielded poultry or game birds in 447, other birds in 49, mammals in 233, other vertebrates in 2, insects in 3, and miscellaneous items in 8. In the sample empty stomachs numbered 168. May notes the following specific items of diet listed by J. J. Audubon: mallard, teal, black duck, Canada goose, roughed grouse, passenger pigeon, grackles, domestic fowl and domestic ducks.

Bent (1937) lists the following mammals, birds and insects having been recorded as eaten by Goshawks: rabbits, hares, muskrat, squirrels, chipmunk, kitten, weasels, lemmings, shrews, mice, murre, teal, woodduck, snipe, domestic poultry, quail, grouse, ptarmigan, pheasant, small hawks, owls, pigeons, doves, woodpeckers, crows, kingfisher, blackbirds, grackles, robins, sparrows, locusts, grasshoppers, beetles, and larvae of moths.

D. Feeding sites and foraging areas in terms of habitat preference: Goshawks forage widely and seemingly show little preference in either feeding sites or victims. Wooded areas are the most frequented because they afford protection while the hawk awaits for some potential prey species to appear.

E. Food availability: With such a diversified diet, their food is abundant in the oil shales area.



Figure 2. Map showing locations in the Utah oil shale area where the Goshawk has been observed

Sharp-shinned Hawk

Accipiter striatus velox (Wilson)

Status - Common permanent resident and migrant. Nests from late May through June.

Inventory of present abundance: records of occurrence -

A. From White River Area (Zone 1): Two records: White River, five miles east of Bonanza, R25E T9S Sec. 28, May 26, 1974; Atchee ridge road, six miles south of Rainbow, R25E T12S Sec. 20, July 26, 1974.

B. From peripheral area: No other records

C. Data from literature and other sources: Twomey (1942: 376) collected two specimens, one in Uinta Canyon, twenty miles north of Roosevelt, the other two miles south of Jensen. Sight records were reported from the Green River and Ashley Creek swamps. Four birds were observed on June 10 at Green Lake, Uinta Mountains, and additional sightings at Blue Mountain, 8,000 ft. on May 22; Indian Canyon, twenty miles southwest of Duchesne, June 18; Ashley Canyon, twelve miles north of Vernal, June 22; Paradise Park, Uinta Mountains, 10,500 feet, July 7, 9 and 10. Bald Mountain, twenty-five miles northeast of Kamas, Wasatch County, 10,500 feet, July 16; Beaver Creek, seven miles northwest of Ladore, Moffat County, Colorado, July 25; Hill Creek, forty miles south of Ouray, August 5; Strawberry Reservoir, August 17; and Uinta Canyon, twenty miles north of Roosevelt on August 28, all in 1937. Behle and Ghiselin (1958: 3) had one sight record at timberline at McPheters Lake, 10,800 ft. Summit County, September 5, 1955.

Hayward (1967: 22) saw one at Ouray on September 23 and indicates that there are specimens in the collection of Brigham Young University from Strawberry River at Timber Canyon, Duchesne County, June 19, 1957; Roosevelt, Duchesne County, February, 1956; Ouray, Uinta County, September 23, 1961. Olsen (1973: 110) lists the sharp-shinned as a probable resident but this is not substantiated with any data. The sharp-shinned is not included on the check-list of birds for the Ouray Refuge.

One specimen is in the University of Utah collection from Mormon Ridge, 3 miles N.E. Weaver Reservoir and 57 miles S. Ouray, taken on July 25, 1966. In addition one was seen at the junction of South and Sweetwater canyons on July 13, 1966, and one at Towave Reservoir on July 25, 1967. Mike Horton considered the species uncommon in the basin but did have two records in the oil shale area in pinyon-juniper two miles southwest of Dragon during June 1967.

Trends - None evident. Still a common species in the area.

Ecology -

A. Habitat preference: A wide ranging species occurring most abundantly in higher elevation woodlands including riparian growths, yellow pine, spruce-fir forests, aspens, but also found occasionally at low elevations in wooded floodplains. Twomey (1942: 376,377) observed sharp-shins foraging along the dense willows of the Green River and the Ashley Sloughs up to 10,500 feet at Bald Mountain, Wasatch County. Hayward (1967: 22) states that his fifteen records for the Upper Colorado Basin all come from wooded habitats along stream bottoms within the Upper Colorado River Basin. Most nests that he observed were in trees bordering water. Of our two records from the oil shale area, one was in the woodlands bordering the White River, the other in the Pinyon-Juniper belt near Mc Cook Ridge.

B. Number of nest site locations: None for the oil shale area, even though "good" habitat existed along the White River.

C. Food and food relations: Mostly small birds but some mammals. One of the birds that we observed was in pursuit of a Yellow-rumped Warbler. May (1935) states that this small hawk feeds unquestionably on birds and cites Audubon as reporting various sizes of birds taken ranging from the smallest warbler up to young chickens. Unusual food items given were a wood duck and a black-crowned night Heron. May summarizes the results of an analysis of 1030+ stomachs as follows: mammals in 28, poultry or game in 16+, other birds

in 844, insects in 45 and empty stomachs 106+.

Mc Atee (1935), in reporting on the contents of 944 stomachs states that the remains of poultry were found in only two and game birds in only 5. Of these, 4 were of quail and 1 was a woodcock. Corresponding with their greater abundance, sparrows were the kinds of birds most frequently taken. A breakdown of these is as follows: song sparrows and allies in 82, house sparrow in 45, chipping sparrows and allies 45, towhees 38, sharp-tailed sparrows (4 genera) 19, crowned (Zonotrichia) sparrows 16, juncos 16, vesper sparrows 15, purple finches 14. In addition warblers were heavily levied upon: members of the genus Dendroica 175, ovenbirds and allies 29, yellowthroats 15, Wilsonia warblers 10. Other birds taken were robins 56, bluebirds 13, related thrushes 47, vireos 44, swallows 29. The blackbird group was fairly well represented, although some, such as meadowlarks, are larger than the average-sized prey for this hawk. The largest birds revealed in this study were as follows (excluding poultry previously noted): sparrow hawk, mourning dove and band-tailed pigeon, each identified in a single stomach. Mammals eaten were meadow mice in 8 stomachs, deer mice 16, house mouse 1, bats 2, shrew 1, squirrel 1, rabbit 1.

Bent (1937) states that the diet of the sharp-shinned hawk includes a few mice, young rabbits, shrews, bats, frogs, lizards, locusts, grasshoppers, crickets, caterpillars, large moths, butterflies and beetles; but the principle food is birds among which the following kinds have been recorded: some sandpipers, woodpeckers, swifts, flycatchers, horned larks, cowbirds, orioles, blackbirds, grackles, jays, meadowlarks, towhees, juncos, many warblers, many sparrows, mockingbirds, thrushes, catbirds, wrens, nuthatches, chickadees, creepers, kinglets, robins, thrushes, bluebirds.

D. Feeding sites and foraging areas in terms of habitat preference: The species forages widely and seems to show little preference in feeding areas

or species utilized as food. As in the case of the other accipitors the most obvious preference is for wooded areas adjacent to clearings where the hawks lurk on the edges waiting to dart out and obtain their prey. The combination of short rounded wings and long narrow tail enhance maneuverability in the chase through clearings.

E. Food availability: In abundant supply.



igure 3. Map showing locations in the Utah oil shale area where the Sharp-shinned Hawk has been observed

Cooper's Hawk

Accipiter cooperii (Bonaparte)

Status - Uncommon permanent resident, more common during migration.

Inventory of present abundance: records of occurrence -

A. From White River Area (Zone 1): Four records: Two birds, White River near Ignatio, R24E T10S Sec. 2, June 15, 1974; White River, one mile west of Ignatio, R24E T10S Sec. 11, September 31, 1974; Wagner (pers. comm.) observed one bird along the White River at Asphalt Wash, R23E T10S Sec. 13 on May 23, 24, 1975, and one bird on the White River, one-half mile below Ignatio stage stop on May 23, 1975, and June 10, 1975.

B. From peripheral area: No additional records obtained by us.

C. Data from literature and other sources: Twomey (1942: 377) stated that this hawk was not abundant in the Uinta Basin even during migration. He collected one specimen at Green Lake in the Uinta Mountains and observed the species at the Ashley Creek marshes in May, and in Brush Creek Valley on June 29, 1937. In September he noted a small migration along the Green River Valley between the Dinosaur Quarry and the junction of Ashley Creek and Green River. The hawks were hunting along the cottonwoods and willows of the floodplain, following the migratory waves of smaller passerine birds. Behle and Ghiselin (1958: 3) list a specimen from the Vernal Game Farm, 3 miles south of Vernal, taken September 10, 1948. Hayward

Table 2. Distributional systematic list of aquatic invertebrates collected during the White River Study (1974-75).

Taxa	Stations				
	S-1	S-2	S-3	S-4	EC*
Phylum Annelida					
Class Oligochaeta					
Order Plesiopora					
Family Tubificidae					
Tubifex sp.	x		x	x	
Phylum Arthropoda					
Class Crustacea					
Order Copepoda			x		
Class Arachnida					
Order Hydracarina	x	x	x	x	
Class Insecta					
Order Collembola					
Family Poduridae				x	
Order Plecoptera					
Family Taeniopterygidae					
Oemopteryx fosketti			x		
Family Capniidae					
Capnia vernalis			x		
Family Perlidae					
Acroneuria abnormis	x		x		
Family Perlodidae					
Isogenoides colubrinus	x	x	x	x	
Isoperla longiseta			x	x	
Order Ephemeroptera					
Family Siphlonuridae					
Isonychia sicca	x		x		
Family Baetidae					
Baetis spp.		x	x	x	
Callibaetis sp.					x
Dactylobaetis cepheus		x	x	x	
Pseudocloeon sp.		x	x	x	
Others	x	x	x	x	
Family Oligoneuridae					
Lachlania saskatchewanensis	x		x	x	
Family Ametropodidae					
Ametropus albrighti	x		x		

*EC = Evacuation Creek, a tributary of White River.

Trends - No evidence of any changes in population density through the years.

Ecology -

A. Habitat preference: Generally occur in wooded areas along valley streams, especially in dense groves near springs; also occasionally in submontane shrub and upper elevation forests. In the oil shale area we found the species in riparian woodlands along the White River. The stands of cottonwoods afforded nesting sites.

B. Number of nest site locations: One along White River at R24E T10S Sec. 2. Two young were in the nest on June 9, 1975.

C. Food and food relations: May (1935) states that the Cooper Hawk is a confirmed bird-eater, like the Sharp-shinned Hawk. Its larger size makes it more destructive of poultry and game species. He gives the results of analysis of 422 stomachs as follows: poultry or game birds in 18, other birds in 146, mammals in 65, insects in 5, empty stomachs 129. May also summarizes a study by F. C. Pellett in 1912 of food items brought to a nest. During the first two weeks poultry, pigeons and small birds were brought in to the young and later ground squirrels appeared. Unusual items reported were minnows, snakes and other reptiles, grasshoppers and crickets and a little blue heron.

Mc Atee (1935) reported on the contents of 261 stomachs. Included were the following large birds: poultry remains in 32, quail in 16, pheasant in 10, grouse in 4, partridge in 1. Among the small birds taken were sparrows and their allies in 84 stomachs. In the category of small birds the most numerous were song sparrows (Melospisa) in 24 stomachs and house sparrows (Passer) in 17. Other representatives were towhees, juncos, crowned sparrows (Zonotrichia) and chipping sparrows. Woodpeckers appeared in 11. Seven of these were flickers. Occasionally found were blackbirds, thrushes and robins. Mammals included meadow mice in 8, house mouse in 2, Norway rat in 1, cotton rat in 1, squirrels in 22, rabbits in 4. Snakes, lizards and frogs made up a small portion of the

diet as did grasshoppers, crickets, beetles and butterflies. Unusual items reported were the least bittern, screech owl, pigeon hawk and sparrow hawk. The last three are examples of large raptors taking smaller raptors.

Bent (1937) dwells on the destructiveness of Cooper's hawks on poultry and domestic pigeons. Based on an analysis of 133 stomachs and crops he notes the following items taken: poultry in 33, other birds in 52, mammals in 11, frog in 1, lizards in 3, insects in 2, and 99 empty. Among the wild birds taken were teal and other young ducks, least bitterns, snipes, screech owls, quail, partridges, grouse, doves, flickers, blackbirds, jays, meadowlarks, woodpeckers, grackles, numerous species of sparrows, towhees, warblers, vireos, nuthatches, thrashers, catbirds, robins and thrushes. Mammals include rabbits and hares, opossum, various squirrels, rats and mice. He notes that Cooper's hawks sometimes eat snakes, lizards, frogs, grasshoppers, crickets, beetles, butterflies and moths.

D. Feeding sites and foraging areas in terms of habitat preference: The White River bottomlands support many passerine birds which serve as prey species for this hawk. As in the cases of the other accipiters, there is the requirement of wooded areas for the hawks to lurk in while awaiting whatever birds come along.

E. Food availability: In light of the broad-spectrum diet of the hawk and an abundance of birds the inference is that food availability is high.



Figure 4. Map showing locations in the Utah oil shale area where the Cooper's Hawk has been observed. Round spots indicate locations where the species was seen; triangle indicates a nesting site.

Red-tailed Hawk

Buteo jamaicensis (Gmelin)

Status - Common permanent resident

Inventory of present abundance: records of occurrence -

A. From White River Area (Zone 1): Twenty-six records: One and one-half miles south of Rainbow, R25E T11S Sec. 31, May 26, 1974; White River, R23E T10S Sec. 23; two birds, White River, R23E T10S Sec. 18, June 5, 1974; Evacuation Creek at Dragon, R25E T12S Sec. 2, June 15, 1974; White River bridge, 3 miles south of Bonanza, R24E T10S Sec. 2; Dragon-Watson junction, R25E T10S Sec. 20, August 2, 1974; Atchee Ridge road, 13 miles south of Rainbow, R24E T13S Sec. 1, August 2, 1974; Atchee Ridge road, six miles south of Rainbow, R25E T12S Sec. 19, August 8, 1974; Bitter Creek Corral, R22E T11S Sec. 26, September 14, 1974; three miles south of Rainbow, R25E T12S Sec. 5, September 26, 1974; White River Bridge, three miles south of Bonanza, R24E T10S Sec. 2, August 23, 1974; three miles south of Evacuation Creek bridge, R25E T10S Sec. 20, September 28, 1974; three miles south of White River Bridge, R25E T10S Sec. 18, November 16, 1974; one and one-half miles south of Rainbow, R25E T11S Sec. 30, September 26, 1974; Cowboy Canyon, R25E T9S Sec. 28, March 26, 1975; one mile south of Seely cabin, R24E T12S Sec. 8, September 22, 1974; three birds, White River, R24E T10S Sec. 8, April 20, 1975; two birds (mating), Bitter Creek and White River, R22E T10S Sec. 10, May 23, 1975.

Phil Wagner (pers. comm.) made the following observations: four miles east of Bonanza at head of Cowboy Canyon, R25E T9S Sec. 29; two birds (nesting), White River, one-fourth mile east of Ignatio Stage Stop, R24E T10S Sec. 1, June 10, 1975; White River, R24E T10S Sec. 17 NE 1/4, June 11, 1975; White River, R24E T10S Sec. 17, NW 1/4, May 24, 1975 (probable nest); three and one-half miles west of Watson, R24E T11S Sec. 4, June 10, 1975, and an inactive aerie located about 1/8 mile north of there; two adults along Asphalt Wash Road, four miles south of White River, R24E T11S Sec. 6 and an active nest with 2 young and an egg still being incubated, May 20, 1975.

B. From peripheral area: Three birds seen along the White River two miles west of Bitter Creek, R22E T9S Sec. 34 and an active aerie observed; two birds along the White River, R22E T9S Sec. 28, May 25, 1975; one along the White River, R22E T9S Sec. 21, April 19, 1975; two birds along the White River, R22E T9S Sec. 21; two birds and an active aerie with one young along White River, R22E T9S Sec. 20; White River, R22E T9S Sec. 17, May 25, 1975; Ouray Refuge, R20E T8S Sec. 27, February 16, 1975; Devils Playground, five miles north of Little Bonanza, R24E T8S Sec. 21, May 2, 1975. Strong, during a roadside census, recorded one bird near Rainbow on June 12, 1974 (unpub. data).

C. Data from literature and other sources: Twomey (1942: 377) reported a specimen taken 9 miles east of Vernal on May 25, 1937, and one from two miles south of Jensen on September 27, 1937. His sight records for June and July from the general oil shale area in Utah were obtained at four miles south of Jensen and along Hill Creek, 40 miles south of Ouray. Additional records for the general Uinta Basin-Uinta Mountain region were from the Yampa River, eight miles north of Elk Springs, Colorado; Blue Mountain, 25 miles east of Vernal. Ashley Canyon, north of Vernal; Green Lake, 8000 feet, Uinta Mountains; Paradise Park, 10,050 feet, Uinta Mountains; Uinta Canyon, 20 miles north of Roosevelt; Moon Lake, 9,500 feet, 40 miles north of Duchesne; Indian Canyon, 20 miles southeast Duchesne. He also noted that the telephone poles between Vernal and Jensen were favorite perches of Red-tails. He found light-phased hawks to be the most numerous with melanistic individuals seen occasionally.

Hayward (1967: 22) from some 40 sightings says that Red-tails are commonly found in the Colorado River basin from lowlands to montane forests and woodlands. He considered this species to be by far the most common soaring hawk of the Upper Colorado River basin. Olsen (1973: 92) lists the Red-tail as a common resident throughout the entire oil shale area. The Ouray refuge notes

the Red-tail as common in spring, fall and winter and occasional in summer. During the winter raptor study conducted by the Utah Division of Wildlife Resources one Red-tail was observed in the northeast region.

In the University of Utah collection there are six specimens from the oil shales area and East Tavaputs Plateau as follows: 20 miles south Ouray, July 15, 1966; 1 mile north Pine Spring and 40 miles southeast Ouray, July 8, 1966; Junction South Canyon with Sweetwater Canyon, 46 miles southeast Ouray, July 13, 1966; Mormon Ridge, 3 miles northeast Weaver Reservoir, and 57 miles south Ouray, July 25, 1966, and Weaver Reservoir, 60 miles south Ouray, July 23, 1966, and July 28, 1966.

The files of the University of Utah indicate that the species was seen in the general oil shale area as follows: One mile south Fort Duchesne, June 19, 1966; Pelican Lake, May 27, 1966; Ouray National Wildlife Refuge, August 2, 1966; between Ouray and South Canyon, July 15, 1966; between Towave and Weaver reservoirs on Mason Ridge, July 23 and 29, 1966; Weaver Reservoir, July 26, 1966, and July 19, 1967; 5 miles north Weaver Reservoir, July 23, 1967; Monument Ridge, July 8, 1966; Mormon Ridge, July 25, 1966; Pine Spring, June 30, 1967, and July 9, 1967; Flat Rock mesa, July 25, 1967; P. R. Spring, July 6, 1967; South Canyon, July 1-2, 1967; junction South and Sweetwater canyons, July 12, 1967.

Trends - Doubtless formerly abundant and still is, so no trends evident.

Ecology -

A. Habitat preference: The numerous observations demonstrate that the species occurs in virtually all ecological situations from the valley floors, the desert shrub, pygmy forest and high into the mountains where the coniferous forest occurs. They apparently forage widely. For nesting, they utilize cottonwoods along the White River, ledges on cliffs, bushy junipers on bench-lands and coniferous trees in the mountains. Hayward (loc. cit.) comments

that whereas mountain-dwelling individuals often use tall trees as nesting sites, in the lowlands the nests are more often built high up in ledges where they are inaccessible. He mentions a well-known trait that in open-desert country this hawk is frequently seen perched on utility poles along highways where it becomes an easy target for the gunner.

B. Mating activity: Mating was observed along the White River at Bitter Creek on May 25, 1975. The male rose above the air currents and positioned himself above the female, then quickly dove towards her. The female instantaneously rolled over and thrust her claws forward as if to ward off his attack. The male swerved to one side, then once again climbed to his previous position and repeated the ritual.

C. Number of nest site locations: In the course of our field work we discovered six nests which were located as follows:

1. White River, 1/4 mile north of Ignatio, R24E T10S Sec. 1 (2 young) May 25, 1975

2 - White River R24E T10S Sec. 17 (2 eggs) May 27, 1975

3 - White River R22E T9S Sec. 34 (2 young) May 25, 1975

4 - White River R22E T9S Sec. 27 (2 young) May 25, 1975

5 - White River R22E T9S Sec. 20 (1 young) May 25, 1975

6 - Asphalt Wash R24E T11S Sec. 6 (2 young, 1 egg) June 7, 1975

Michael Horton obtained one nesting record east of Cowboy Canyon, R25E T9S Sec. 27 in late May 1971. There were two adults at the nest with two young. The nest was located on a high cliff on the north side of the White River. It faced southward. He also discovered a nest with young on a small island in the Green River several miles below the Jensen bridge during the spring of 1967. It has since been abandoned and taken over by an osprey.

D. Food and food relations: May (1935) states that the Red-tailed Hawk shows a wide range of items in its feeding habits with emphasis

on mammalian food and including such items as prairie dogs, cottontails, jackrabbits. One sample of 31 stomachs from the state of Washington showed that 83% of the contents were ground squirrels, 6% rabbits, 4% meadow mice and 7% snakes. Unusual items were birds such as meadowlarks, crows, vesper sparrows and poultry. A much larger sample of 1013 stomachs from all over the country yielded the following results: mammal remains in 857, poultry or game birds in 112, other birds in 84, other vertebrate 118, insects 92, empty stomachs 146. W. L. Finley reported Red-tailed Hawks feeding on carp and catfish when the Columbia River overflowed in 1907. May further notes that often the food of red-tails, as well as other buteonine hawks, consists of mammals or birds picked up dead or crippled. This accounts for a portion of the poultry and game birds found in the stomachs.

Mc Atee (1935) reported on an analysis of 754 stomachs. Remains of domestic fowl occurred in 60. Seventeen or about 2.25% contained game birds, the kinds being wild duck in 4, ruffed grouse in 3, sharp-tailed grouse 1, prairie chicken 1, pheasant 3, bobwhite quail 2 and scaled quail 2. Other birds included sparrows in 15, meadow larks in 7, robins in 6 for a total of a little less than 6%. As regards mammals preyed upon, approximately one half of the total number contained mice. Of these, meadow mice appeared in 228, deer mice in 129, house mice in 28. Squirrels appeared in 80 stomachs and ground squirrels were in 39 of these. Rabbits were in 64, shrews in 63, gophers 13, house rats 13, cottonrats 10, moles 7, bats 2, porcupine 1, and woodchuck 1. Reptiles were represented by snakes in 32, lizards in 8 and remains of a turtle in one. Frogs were in 13, toads in 8, and salamanders in one. Invertebrates included grasshoppers in 61 stomachs (8%), caterpillars and other insects in 41, crayfish in 12, spiders in 3, centipedes in 1.

Bent (1937) commented that it is generally conceded that the Red-tailed Hawk is a highly beneficial species since its food consists mainly of injurious rodents and it does very little damage to domestic poultry or wild birds. He

abstracts the studies of several other investigators such as A. K. Fisher who in 1893 reported on 562 stomachs as follows: poultry in 54, other birds in 51, mice in 278, other mammals in 131, amphibians and reptiles in 37, insects in 47, crayfish in 8, while 89 were empty. Thus 85% contained evidences of harmful rodents. B. H. Warren in 1890 examined 173 stomachs. Mice were in 131, rabbits in 6, red squirrels in 3, skunks 2, small birds 18, poultry 14, insects in 3, snakes in 3, carrion in 4. George M. Sutton made a study in 1928 of 32 red-tail stomachs taken in Pennsylvania. Of these 12 were empty. The other 20 contained field mice, shrews, red-backed mice, chipmunks, red squirrels, gray squirrels, one brown rat, a winter wren, a song sparrow, a hermit thrush, one-half grown leghorn chicken, garter snakes, a grasshopper, a cricket and one large beetle. Bent summaries the kinds of mammals eaten by Red-tailed Hawks as follows: house mouse, various field and wood mice, rats, various squirrels both arboreal and ground, racoon, gopher, prairie dog, woodchuck, rabbits, mole, bats, shrews, chipmunk, muskrat, porcupine, weasels and skunks. His list of birds utilized includes domestic poultry, young turkey, pintail, teals, other wild ducks, gallinules, rails, pheasants. ruffed grouse, various quails, doves, screech owl, kingfisher, woodpeckers, crow, starling, grackles, meadowlark, horned lark, orioles, various sparrows, juncos, thrushes, robins and bluebirds.

The Craigheads (1956) analyzed a number of oral pellets in a comparative study for the years 1942 and 1948. About 90% of the diet consisted of mammals. The most heavily utilized were meadow mice, 89.0 and 84.0 per cent, next came white-footed mice, 6.7 and 6.3 per cent, and then in small amounts Norway rat, shrews, moles, weasels, rabbits. Their two categories of birds consisted of pheasant and small birds. The latter showed heavier usage in 1948 (5.3 per cent) than in 1942 (0.3 per cent).

In our own limited observations on the feeding habits of red-tails in the oil shale area, either of items at nest sites or observations on actual capture,

the kinds utilized were antelope ground squirrels, jack rabbits and snakes.

E. Feeding sites and foraging areas in terms of habitat preference: None that we could determine. They forage widely around their nest site, utilizing whatever mammals, birds, reptiles and insects that are available.

F. Food availability: High, as inferred from the diversification manifest in feeding habits and the abundance of the many items in the area, particularly in the White River bottomlands.



igure 5. Map showing locations in the Utah oil shale area where the Red-tailed Hawk has been observed. Round spots indicate locations where the species was seen; triangles indicate nesting sites.

Swainson's Hawk

Buteo swainsoni Bonaparte

Status - Rare visitant to oil shale area and an uncommon summer resident in peripheral agricultural areas. Our one record was obtained on September 4.

Inventory of present abundance: records of occurrence -

A. From White River area (Zone 1): One record at Dragon, R25E. T12S. Sec. 35 on September 4, 1974.

B. From peripheral area: Phil Wagner (pers. comm.) reports that Swainson's Hawks are common in the Uinta Basin around Roosevelt. We have observed them at Vernal, Roosevelt, Myton and Duchesne, all of which are agricultural areas.

C. Data from literature and other sources: Twomey (1942: 379) found this hawk to be uncommon in the Uinta Basin, north of the oil shale area. He observed the species along Hill Creek, 40 miles south of Ouray between July 28 and August 7, 1937, and 20 miles southeast of Jensen. Ranchers informed him that the species nested almost to the head of the canyon at the lower end of Hill Creek and in the area just south of Ouray. Other locations where he observed the species were Indian Canyon, 20 miles southwest of Duchesne, Cottonwood Springs, nine miles west of Vernal; Vernal - Lapoint road, and between Fort Duchesne and Myton. Hayward (1967: 22) did not give any precise records for the area but commented that Swainson's Hawk is not a bird of open desert country, but rather occurs mostly near farmlands where there is an abundance of small rodents and Orthoptera on which it prefers to feed. Field parties from the University of Utah to the East Tavaputs Plateau area failed to encounter the species. At the Ouray National Wildlife Refuge the species is considered to be rare in spring and autumn.

Trends - None evident.

Ecology -

A. Habitat preference: The occurrence of this species is closely associated with farmlands and cultivated fields. Hence, although they are fairly common in the Uinta Basin, they are rare in the oil shale area and occur there only as a rare visitant, mostly during migration.

B. Number of nest site locations: None in the oil shale area. However, Michael Horton found two nests in 1971 (dates not specified). One was on the periphery of the oil shale area one mile west of Pelican Lake. It was located in a short tree and contained two young. The second nest was farther away at Blue Mountain on the Utah-Colorado border, 8,750 feet in a grove of aspens. He was aware of this being an unusual nesting situation but he observed the nest and bird for a considerable length of time at a distance of a few feet and was certain of the identity.

C. Food and food relations: May (1935) states that this hawk destroys great quantities of field mice, gophers and ground squirrels as well as grasshoppers and other injurious creatures. Consequently it is one of our most useful hawks. He cites numerous authors whose observations offer corroboration. One study was of an analysis of 45 stomachs from Washinton state which showed that 90 per cent of the remains were ground squirrels and 10 per cent snakes and grasshoppers and other insects. Mc Atee (1935) states that it is evident that this species is by no means a bird hawk since only one grouse and nine small birds appeared in 111 stomachs. Ground squirrels were the principal food item, appearing in 62 or well over half of the stomach contents. Other items were pocket gophers in 5, meadow mice in 6, other mice in 2, rabbits in 3, kangaroo rat in one and shrew in one, snakes in 9, lizards 5, toads 5, grasshoppers in 24, Mormon cricket in 8, caterpillars 8, other insects 17, spiders 3, centipede one. The maximum number of rodent remains represented in a single stomach consisted of ground squirrel 4, meadow mouse 5, pocket gophers 6. As many as

200 grasshoppers were found in a single stomach. Bent (1937) comments that the food habits of the Swainson's Hawk are highly beneficial and it is one of the farmer's best friends for it feeds almost entirely on injurious rodents and insects with a minimum of birds and poultry. He cites a study of A. K. Fisher in 1893 who examined 18 stomachs. Seven contained small mammals, rabbits, gophers, ground squirrels and mice, while 8 contained insects, 3 lizards and 3 frogs. None contained traces of birds or poultry. One stomach contained 68 locusts and another 50 grasshoppers.

D. Feeding sites and foraging areas in terms of habitat preference: The species is virtually nonexistent in the oil shale area, so no data on this.

E. Food availability: In abundant supply in the peripheral agricultural areas where the species occur commonly.



Figure 6. Map showing locations in the Utah oil shale area where the Swainson's Hawk has been observed. Round spots indicate locations where the species was seen; triangle indicates a nesting site.

Rough-legged Hawk

Buteo lagopus sanctijohannis (Gmelin)

Status - Common winter visitant. Known to occur in the region from September 2 to April 16.

Inventory of present abundance: records of occurrence -

A. From White River Area (Zone 1): Four records: three miles south of Ignatio Stage Stop, R24E T10S Sec. 13, November 16, 1974; Ignatio Stage Stop, R24E T10S Sec. 2, November 21, 1974; two birds, one miles north of Dragon, R25E T12S Sec. 2, January 22, 1975; Watson, R25E T11S Sec. 7, March 4, 1975.

B. From peripheral area: This species was observed to be common throughout the Basin from November through March. They are the commonest winter raptor in the Basin. On one trip from Vernal to Dinosaur Quarry on December 16, 1974, 13 individuals were observed perched atop utility poles. Ouray Refuge similarly states that Rough-legs are common in fall, winter and spring but absent in summer. Three birds were seen ten miles north of Bonanza on March 4, 1975, by Perry, two perched on poles and one soaring.

C. Data from literature and other sources: No mention is made of this species by Twomey (1937) or Hayward (1967). Two sight records were noted by Behle and Ghiselin (1958: 3) one at Gatman Lake 10,400 feet, Naturalist Basin, July 29, 1955, and at Scow Lake 10,500 feet, Summit County, September 2, 1955, both localities being in the Uinta Mountains. One specimen is in the University of Utah collection from Pelican Lake, taken on March 20, 1967, and one was seen at the Ouray National Wildlife Refuge on March 18, 1966. The northeastern region of the State Division of Wildlife Resources reported 11 rough-legs observed on the 1975 annual mid-winter raptor survey. This was the highest number for raptors other than eagles.

Trends - Probably none. The lack of data in the literature on the occurrence of the Rough-leg Hawk in the region as compared with the commonness of the species in present times might suggest a changing status, but more likely it simply indicates a lack of winter field work earlier.

Ecology -

A. Habitat preference: Rough-legs are common winter visitants in the oil shale region, concentrating primarily near open water along the White River. They also hunt on the bench areas. They frequent the desert areas of the entire state and appear to fill the habitat and foraging areas evacuated by the exodus through migration of the Red-tail and Ferruginous Hawks. They prey upon similar species as well (i.e. jackrabbits, small rodents, road-kills, and carrion). In eastern Utah they are commonest in the Upper Basin frequenting areas near highway, roads, and farmlands where good physical perches are found.

B. Number of nest site locations: None since the species is a winter visitant to the oil shale area.

C. Food and food relations: May (1935) states that this species ranks high as a beneficial hawk. The bulk of its food consists of meadow mice and similar destructive rodents. He cites several articles giving items eaten including moles, frogs, crippled ducks, prairie dogs, gophers, shrews. He gives one summary of a sample of 221 stomachs examined. Mammals occurred in 202, poultry or game in only one, other birds in 9, other vertebrates in 8, insects in 19, while 21 were empty. Mc Atee (1935) reported on the contents of 99 stomachs. Of birds eaten there was a pied-billed grebe, a ruddy duck and 2 smaller birds of unspecified kind. Meadow and Pine mice were heavily utilized, appearing in 51 stomachs. Other items were: house mice in 5, lemmings in 22 (largest number in one stomach, 4), desert mouse 15, three brown rats in one stomach, rabbits in 9, ground squirrels in 5, pocket gophers in 3, prairie dog in 1, weasel 1, shrews 2, traces of lizards, toads and a fish in

a single stomach, grasshopper 1, caterpillar 2, crayfish 1. Bent (1937) states that while records of rodent food of this hawk abound in the literature, reports of the use of birds are rare. He comments that dead birds, dead mammals and fish and even carrion are eaten. The Craigheads (1956) report only 4 passerine birds eaten. The mammals in order of abundance found in pellets were meadow mouse 170, White-footed mouse 6, Norway rat 2, short-tailed shrew 10, other shrews 11.

D. Feeding sites and foraging areas in terms of habitat preference: No special areas: they forage widely. Since they are prone to perching and for this use poles along highways, probably a higher degree of foraging occurs in the vicinity of roadways than elsewhere.

E. Food availability: High



Figure 7. Map showing locations in the Utah oil shale area where the Rough-legged Hawk has been observed

Ferruginous Hawk

Buteo regalis (Gray)

Status - Uncommon migrant, rare summer resident. Normal dates of occurrence for the region April 15 through November 10.

Inventory of present abundance: records of occurrence -

A. From White River Area (Zone 1): None

B. From peripheral area: Three observations as follows: two observed 5 miles north of Green River at Sand Wash on June 6, 1974; one at Red Wash Oil Field at Old Community site on March 19, 1975; two seen at Deadman Bench, 5 miles north of Bonanza on May 16, 1975. Michael Horton reports that he has only seen the species in the Devil's Playground-Red Wash area north of Bonanza. In 1967 he found three nests all within a short distance of each other, but only one was active. He suggested that the others were alternate nests.

C. Data from literature and other sources: Twomey (1942: 380) stated that this species occurred only as a migrant in the Uinta Basin. On May 5, 1947, a number were seen migrating up the Green River near the Ashley Creek marshes. About 40 passed by in a two-hour period. A few more were seen the next day. A University of Utah field party found the remains of one between Ouray and Bonanza on March 21, 1967. Phil Wagner states that he has seen the species near Myton and at Pleasant Valley.

Trends - None evident.

Ecology -

A. Habitat preference: This species generally inhabits areas of desert shrub and the pinyon-juniper forest.

B. Number of nest site locations: None.

C. Food and food relations: May (1935) states that the Ferruginous Hawk feeds very largely on ground squirrels and other destructive rodents. Items included in its diet are pouched rats, wood mice, kangaroo mice, meadow mice,

ground squirrels, prairie dogs, rabbits, bull snakes, pocket gophers, a few birds such as sharp-tailed grouse, magpie, meadowlarks, and insects such as grasshoppers and crickets. Twenty-four stomachs analyzed yielded mammals in 21, poultry or game in 1, other birds in 1, other vertebrates in 2, insects in 2. Mc Atee (1935) found only 2 birds in 17 stomachs. They were a sharp-tailed grouse and a savannah sparrow. He found rabbits to constitute the chief food of this hawk, being found in 8 stomachs, then ground squirrels in 4, meadow mice in 3, and other mice in 1. In two instances 5 meadow mice appeared in one stomach. He found only one insect, a Jerusalem cricket. Bent (1937) commented that the Ferruginous Hawk is a highly beneficial hawk that should be encouraged as a great destroyer of rodents. He states that nearly all observers agree that their food consists almost exclusively of mammals that range in size from jack rabbits to meadow mice. Snakes, lizards and skunks are taken upon occasion along with grasshoppers, crickets and beetles.

E. Food availability: Moderate.



Figure 8. Map showing locations in the Utah oil shale area where the Ferruginous Hawk has been observed. Round spots indicate locations where the species was seen; triangle indicates a nesting site.

Golden Eagle

Aquila chrysaetos canadensis (Linnaeus)

Status - Common permanent resident.

Inventory of present abundance: records of occurrence -

A. From White River Area (Zone 1): Twenty-five records: two adult birds, White River, four miles southwest of Ignatio Stage Stop, R24E T10S Sec. 7; White River R23E T10S Sec. 23; R23E T10S Sec. 20, R23E T10S Sec. 18, White River at Bitter Creek, R22E T10S Sec. 11, June 5, 1974; two and one-half miles east of Bitter Creek Corral, R23E T11S Sec. 32, June 27, 1974; White River, R24E T10S Sec. 22 NW 1/4, R24E T10S Sec. 22 SW 1/4, August 14, 1975; Evacuation Creek, three miles north of Watson, R24E T10S Sec. 13, October 29, 1974; White River, six miles east of Bonanza, R25E T9S Sec. 27, October 8, 1974; six miles south of Rainbow R25E T12S Sec. 19, August 8, 1974; two adults, Bitter Creek Corral, R22E T11S Sec. 26, September 13, 1974; Bitter Creek Corral, R22E T11S Sec. 26, September 15, 1974; junction of Asphalt and Kings Well roads, R23E T12S Sec. 1, September 17, 1974; Hell Hole Canyon, R25E T10S Sec. 16, September 21, 1974; Kings Well, R24E T11S Sec. 33, September 23, 1974; White River-Asphalt Wash, R23E T10S Sec. 24, September 5, 1974; Kings Well, R24E T11S Sec. 33, August 31, 1974; Watson, R25E T11S Sec. 7, October 5, 1974; White River R23E T10S Sec. 13, May 24, 1975; two adults, White River, R23E T10S Sec. 21, May 23, 1975; two adults, one and one-half miles west of Duck Rock-Evacuation Creek, R24E T10S Sec. 13; one mile east of Bitter Creek Corral, R23E T11S Sec. 30, September 14, 1974. Wagner (pers. comm.) reports the following: Two adults, White River at Cowboy Canyon, R25E T9S Sec. 27, and one individual one-fourth mile west R25E T9S Sec. 28, June 9, 1975; one mile southwest of Ignatio Stage Stop, R24E T10S Sec. 3, June 11, 1975; Asphalt Wash and White River R23E T10S Sec. 23, two adults and active nest with one young, May 23, 1975.

B. From peripheral area: Seep ridge road September 21, 1974; one mile south of Mountain Fuel Bridge, R22 T9S Sec. 20; one and one-half miles north of Mountain Fuel Bridge, R22E T9S Sec. 9, June 5, 1974; two adults, eight miles north of Bonanza, R24E T8S Sec. 18, May 25, 1975; Ouray, R20E T8S Sec. 32, January 13, 1975.

Michael Horton reports the species to be numerous throughout the region. In 1971 he found an active nest near the road to Red Wash, southeast of Jensen. It was located about 5 miles south of U. S. Highway 40 on a ledge of a steep cliff facing northwest. It contained two young.

C. Data from literature and other sources: Twomey (1942: 380) located two active nests north of the oil shale area, one on Blue Mountain on June 26, 1937, the other one-fourth mile northwest of Dripping Rock Creek on May 25. He observed the species at Green Lake, Paradise Park and Moon Lake in the Uinta Mountains, at Cottonwood Springs, 9 miles west of Vernal, in Ashley Canyon, at 20 miles southwest of Duchesne, at Beaver Creek, 7 miles northwest of Lodore, Moffat County Colorado. His sight records in or close to the oil shales area were from the Ashley Creek marshes, 2 miles south of Jensen, Hill Creek, 40 miles south of Ouray, and Florence Canyon, 75 miles south of Ouray. Behle and Ghiselin (1958) reported one specimen picked up north of Tridell September 6, 1947, and had numerous sightings in the Uinta Mountains. Hayward (1967:23) gave no precise records but observed that Golden Eagles are most often seen souring over probable nesting sites or feeding on carrion on the ground, often road kills. Occasionally they are seen perching on utility poles along highways. Sight records obtained by University of Utah field parties are as follows: Pelican Lake, May 27 and July 22, 1966, and March 20, 1967; Ouray National Wildlife Refuge, March 21, 1966; between House Rock Ranch and Weaver Reservoir, July 30, 1966; two near mouth of Horse Corn Canyon, July 23, 1966; two in East Canyon, July 11, 1967; junction South and Sweetwater canyons, July 13, 1966.

Ouray refuge lists the Golden Eagle as common in spring and winter, occasional in fall and absent in summer.

In 1972 the Utah Division of Wildlife Resources commenced a project of making annual winter censuses of eagles in the state. In 1973 all raptors were included. In the northeastern region, two routes are partially in the oil shale area. The routes are detailed by Olsen (1973: 112) accompanied by a discussion of the results up to the time of his report. The data pertain to the entire lengths of the routes and hence are of limited value as regards the oil shale area, but nevertheless they give some idea of relative abundance and distribution. The data (updated to 1975) are as follows. The first figure following the year gives the number of Golden Eagles seen while the second figure in parentheses represents the miles travelled per bird seen: January 1972 - 11 (12.5); December 1972 - 8 (29.2); January 1973 - 6 (24.5); February 1973 - 3 (48.3); March 1973 - 8 (16.9); January 1974 - 6 (23.5); January 1975 - 7 (20.4). For comparisons with the Bald Eagle see the account under that species. The Golden Eagle is the more abundant species in the region. For two late summer surveys, applicable to only the Golden Eagles, the results were identical: August 1972 - 5 (27.6) and August 1973 - 5 (27.6).

Trends - No long-range trends evident. The species is common in the oil shale area. However, there may be short-term trends underway. Mike Horton notes a scarcity of rabbits this past year (1974-75) and feels that Golden Eagles are not as common as formerly. He postulates a correlation between the

two, namely a predator-prey relationship. He found that eagle nests built built early in the season in 1975 and showing green vegetation were later found to have been abandoned. He attributed this to lack of food. Of course, there may have been other explanations such as disturbance. However, his observations and inference will bear checking out in future follow-up studies. In our own studies we found that 70% of the suspected aerie sites observed were not being utilized.

Ecology -

A. Habitat preference: Golden Eagles are widespread in their distribution throughout the region in their foraging habits. We frequently saw them foraging over the benchlands both north and south of the White River. As regards nesting, the predominant sites chosen were located on precipitous cliffs and ledges bordering the White River.

B. Number of nest site locations: One active site located high on a cliff along the White River at R23E T10S Sec. 23. The nest was located on May 23, 1975, and contained one young.

C. Food and food relations: May (1935) notes that whereas the Golden Eagle is generally beneficial in its food habits when such items as rabbits, prairie dogs and gophers are abundant, still it is one of the few species of diurnal birds of prey which can be properly accused of destruction of game birds and mammals. This judgment was made before the modern appreciation of the esthetic value of the species and at a time when raptors were classified as "good" or "bad" in terms of their relation to poultry and game. Items listed by May as being eaten by Golden Eagles are: young fawns of antelope and deer, marmots, prairie dogs, hares, cottontails, woodrats, squirrels, wild turkeys, waterfowl from geese to smaller ducks, grouse, meadowlarks, snakes . He gives the results of analysis of 80 stomachs as follows: mammals in 60, poultry or game in 7, other birds in 7, other vertebrates 1, insects 1 and 5 empty.

According to Mc Atee's (1935) study rabbits were the leading article of food occurring in 14 out of 26 stomachs examined. Carrion was found in 3, gray squirrels 2, woodchucks 2, pintail 2, ground squirrel 1, chicken 1, varied thrush 1. Bent's (1937) list of mammal items eaten include deer and their fawns, antelope, lambs of mountain sheep, domestic sheep, goats, calves, dogs, cats, young pigs, foxes, hares, rabbits, ground and arboreal squirrels, racoons, prairie dogs, woodchucks, marmots, porcupines, skunks, weasels, marten, pocket gophers, rats, mice and moles. Whereas mammals are taken all seasons, birds are taken primarily during the eagle's nesting season to serve as food for young and include blue herons, geese, ducks, goshawk, red-tailed hawk, turkeys, sage hen and other grouse, ptarmigan, quail, short-eared owl, band-tailed pigeon, crow, domestic poultry, curlew, plover, meadowlark, kingfisher. He gives the following results for 30 stomachs analyzed: cottontails in 11 and jackrabbits in 7, so rabbits were the preferred items, prairie dog in 9, woodchuck in 1, ground squirrel 1, fox squirrel 1, opossum 1, short-eared owl 1, red-tailed hawk 1.

D. Feeding sites and foraging areas in terms of habitat preference: With their nesting sites being located on cliffs along the White River, their foraging areas are the benchlands south of the river and the open low country to the north and west around Ouray.

E. Food availability: Probably moderate as an overall value judgment but fluctuating from year to year. In 1974-75 there seemed to be a scarcity of lagomorphs and rodents, so availability was low during that interval.



Figure 9. Map showing locations in the Utah oil shale area where the Golden Eagle has been observed. Round spots indicate locations where the species was seen; triangles indicate nesting sites.

Bald Eagle

Haliaeetus leucocephalus alascanus Townsend

Status - Uncommon winter visitant today; possibly formerly nesting in the general area, more common farther north than the oil shale area in the Uinta Basin and Flaming Gorge areas. Known from November 5 to May 5.

Inventory of present abundance: records of occurrence -

A. From White River Area (Zone 1): Three records: Two adults along White River at Asphalt Wash, R23E T10S Sec. 23, on October 5, 1974; one juvenile seen at Ignatio Stage Stop, R24E T9S Sec. 2, on December 16, 1974; one adult at Watson, R24E T11S Sec. 7, on February 26, 1975; two adults along White River at Asphalt Wash, R23E T10S Sec. 23, on October 5, 1974.

B. From peripheral area: Green River at Dinosaur National Monument, January 5, 1974; two birds, one an adult, the other a juvenile at Green River, one mile below Flaming Gorge Dam, January 17, 1974; one adult at Cub Creek, Dinosaur National Monument, February 16, 1974; one adult at Stewart Lake, south of Jensen, March 3, 1974; three adults along Green River in Desolation Canyon, October 31, 1974; thirty-four individuals (14 juveniles and 20 adults) at Pelican Lake, December 14-17, 1974; two adults at junction of Lake Fork and Yellowstone River, north of Duchesne, March 6, 1975; one juvenile at Moon Lake, 40 miles north of Duchesne, March, 1975.

Phil Wagner (pers. comm.) reports that he has seen numerous individuals in fall and winter roosting at Pelican Lake, along the Duchesne River, Flaming Gorge and Green River in Lodore Canyon. Michael Horton also reports seeing numerous birds in winter at Flaming Gorge, Dinosaur National Monument, Steinaker Reservoir, Green River at Jensen, nearby Stewart Lake, Ouray National Wildlife Refuge, Pelican Lake, Duchesne River area. He considers the species to be common in the Uintah Basin in winter. At the Ouray National Wildlife Refuge the Bald Eagle is reported as common in winter and spring, occasional in fall and absent in the summer. A late record by Phil Wagner is an adult and an immature seen in Westwater Canyon on August 12, 1975.

The State Division of Wildlife Resources makes an annual census of Eagles. Their route does not include the oil shales area.

The possibility exists that the southern race of Bald Eagle, which is the endangered kind, still breeds in the area, for Mike Horton observed two adults in late June 1971 along the Green River about 6 miles northeast of Ouray. That same year he saw a single adult in early July sitting in a dead tree southwest of Pelican Lake. When we expressed surprise at the time of year of his observations he stated that they were positive identifications and that he thought at the time that a pair must be nesting somewhere in the region.

C. Data from literature and other sources: No mention was made of the species by Twomey (1924). Hayward (1967: 23) gave no records for either the oil shale area or Uinta Basin but stated that he had an unconfirmed but rather reliable report that the species once nested farther west near the confluence of Red Creek and Strawberry River, Duchesne County. University of Utah field parties obtained sight records as follows: Pelican Lake, March 20 and 22, 1967; Ouray National Wildlife Refuge: one on March 19, 1966, 9 on March 21, 1966, and one on March 21, 1966, resting on ice covering a small pond; one near Ouray on March 21, 1967. The one at Pelican Lake on March 22, 1967, had apparently eaten an abundance of dead fish and could not take off in flight.

As pointed out by Olsen (1973: 111-117) in connection with the annual winter eagle census conducted by the Utah Division of Wildlife Resources, two routes in the northeastern region of the state are partially in the oil shale area. Although this activity has only been underway since 1972, some quantitative data are accumulating. The data for the Bald Eagle for the two routes that enter the oil shale area throughout their entire length are as follows. The first figure following the year gives the number of birds seen while the second represents the miles travelled per bird: January 1972 - 2 (69.0); December 1972 - 5 (18.2); January 1973 - 0 (0); February 1973 - 0 (0); March

1973 - 3 (45.0); January 1974 - 0 (0); January 1975 - 2 (71.5). Olsen (op. cit.: 115) states that for the five surveys during the winter months conducted up to the time of his report there was on a statewide basis an average of 28.0 miles driven for each Bald Eagle observation as compared with one sighting in 17.2 miles for the Golden Eagle. The ratio of the number of Bald to Golden eagle sightings for the state averaged 1: 1.6 for all winter surveys. For the northeastern region Golden Eagles outnumbered Bald Eagles during the winter surveys by a ratio of 3.5: 1. On the basis of data for all routes in the northeastern region, Bald Eagles were observed with less frequency than the average for the state (averaging 1 sighting per 50.5 miles as compared with 1 per 28.0 miles. While these data are not very meaningful to date, in time they will be useful in establishing trends as well as relative abundance, density indexes and distribution.

Trends - May once have nested in the general area but probably does not do so today. While still fairly common as a winter visitant in northeastern Utah the numbers are probably reduced from those in pristine times in keeping with the general decline in numbers in the species.

Ecology -

A. Habitat preference: Areas of rivers, lakes, reservoirs, especially the margins, where fish as food is an attraction but they also need dead trees as perching and roosting sites. The species is gregarious in winter, especially at roosting sites. High numbers have been reported at Pelican Lake and Flaming Gorge. They also utilize utility poles along highways for perching as reported by workers at Bonanza. In the oil shale area Bald Eagles generally remain as long as there is open water along the White River.

B. Number of nest site locations: None since the species today is only a winter visitant.

C. Food and food relations: Fish and carrion essentially but occasionally feeding on jackrabbits. Howard Mc Kowen, Park Ranger at Steinaker

Reservoir has observed Bald Eagles feeding on coots in late winter, the coots having become trapped by encircling ice.

May (1935) reports the Bald Eagle feeding largely upon fish and carrion, varying its diet with birds, small mammals and reptiles. They frequently rob the osprey of fish. It has been reported they live on waterfowl, including Canada geese. Mainly, however, their diet includes suckers and chubs. A list of bird species in their diet includes; puffins, gulls, auklets, murres, all species of ducks, longspurs, rosy-finches, savannah sparrows, fox sparrows, and song sparrows. F. H. Herrick in 1924 studied food brought to several nests in Ohio and found the following percentage results: Fish 70% in 1922 and 96% in 1923. A stomach analysis revealed the following: Total examined 80; mammals 9; poultry or game 12; other vertebrates (fish) 35; misc. 15, empty 11.

Mc Atee (1935) says fish remains were predominant, occurring in 29 of 58 stomachs examined. The fish were identified as salmon (probably carrion picked up after spawning) cod, sunfish, perch, suckers, flounders, eels, and sculpins. The very names of these in themselves suggest that most of them were obtained as carrion, for in no other form would an eagle be likely to get such marine fishes as cod, sculpin and flounder. Waterfowl remains that were identified in 6 stomachs included 1 Bonaparte's gull, 1 American goldeneye and 1 surf scoter. Domestic fowl occurred in 1 stomach. Mammals included rabbits 2, prairie dog 1, rat 1, mouse 1. Food considered carrion, besides fish already mentioned, was found in 14 stomachs; in fact the Bald Eagle's propensity for carrion eating is so strong that the question arises, in the case of almost everything found in the stomach of this bird, as to whether it may not have been taken as carrion.

Bent (1937) gives the following analysis of Bald Eagle's food habits. The bulk of the food of adults consists of fish. The same is true for the young. Among the fish fed to the young were carp, pike, catfish, and sheepshead. Chickens (broiler size) were brought to the nest only 12 times during two

seasons. Other prey included crows, grebes, muskrat, rabbits, squirrels, and rats. Probably most of the fish taken were dead or dying, picked up along the shores or floating on the surface of lakes, ponds or streams. However, eagles are perfectly capable of catching live fish.

A combined U. S. Dept. of Agriculture and U. S. Dept. of the Interior brochure on the Bald Eagle in Alaska points out that confusion has arisen over the Bald Eagle's feeding habits. Fishermen have complained in the past that the eagle feeds on spawning salmon. However, those taken do not compete with the fisherman since most are dead or dying after spawning. Eagles eat as part of their marine diet sea urchins, clams, and crabs. Circular 30, U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, summarizes the food habits of the Bald Eagle as follows. Fish are their "staff of life." This has been demonstrated in Alaska where fish appeared in 325 or 74.7%. In other places than Alaska, fish are also very important to the Bald Eagle. Of 31 stomachs examined within the lower 48 states, 18 contained fish.

D. Feeding sites and foraging area in terms of habitat preference: In the oil shale area, essentially along the White River. However, there are many more aquatic sites in peripheral areas such as the length of the Green River, Pelican Lake, Stewart Lake, Flaming Gorge Reservoir. Hence the species is more abundant outside the oil shale area.

E. Food availability: Moderate.

F. Added item of interest: Woffinden and Murphy (1975: 68) state that during the winter of 1974-75 a color-marked immature bald eagle was observed in the Uinta Basin that had been marked as a nestling in central Saskatchewan, Canada. This suggests that some, at least, of the Bald Eagles that winter in Utah summer in Saskatchewan, Canada.



Figure 10. Map showing locations in the Utah oil shale area where the Bald Eagle has been observed

Marsh Hawk

Circus cyaneus hudsonius (Linnaeus)

Status - Common permanent resident of northeastern Utah, frequently seen in the Uinta Basin but sparse in the oil shale area.

Inventory of present abundance: records of occurrence -

A. From White River area (Zone 1): Three records: two seen at Bitter Creek corral, R22E T11S Sec. 26., on September 13, 1974; two at junction of Asphalt Wash and Kings Well roads, R23E T12S Sec. 1, on September 17, 1974; one at Rainbow, R24E T11S Sec. 24, on September 25, 1974. Phil Wagner saw two near Bonanza in the spring of 1974.

B. From peripheral area: Along White River, R22E T9S Sec. 6 and R21E T9S Sec. 1, on June 15, 1974. Phil Wagner reports seeing Marsh Hawks commonly in the flatlands and farm areas near Roosevelt, Myton and Duchesne and he has also seen the species near Bonanza. The species is known to nest at the Ouray National Wildlife Refuge.

C. Data from literature and other sources: Twomey (1942: 381) reported Marsh Hawks to be fairly common at the Ashley Creek marshes south of Jensen and found two pairs nesting there. He also found the species along the Green River Valley and gave specific observations at Ouray on July 28, 1937; Duchesne River, 10 miles northwest Ouray, August 8, 1937; and Hill Creek, 40 miles south of Ouray on August 5, 1937. He also observed the species on the Yampa River at Beaver Creek, 7 miles northwest of Ladore, Moffat County, Colorado.

Behle and Ghiselin (1958: 3) collected two specimens at Roosevelt, February 13 and Myton, February 28, 1956. One was also observed at Faxon Lake 10,900 feet, Naturalist Basin, Uinta Mountains. Hayward (1967: 23) doesn't give any records for the Tavaputs Plateau area but comments that the species is more likely to be found around fields and pastureland or near streams where

diurnal rodents are available for food, and less commonly over open deserts. However, it may be seen most anywhere, especially during the non-nesting season. University of Utah personnel obtained sight records as follows: Pelican Lake, March 27, 1966, July 22, 1966, and March 20, 1967; Ouray National Wildlife Refuge, March 19, 20, 22, 1966, and March 20, 1967; two, about 20 miles south of Ouray on Hill Creek, July 22, 1966; one between Towave and Weaver reservoirs, July 23, 1966.

Trends - None evident. Common in the general region even though less common in the oil shale area.

Ecology -

A. Habitat preference: As its name suggests the Marsh Hawk occurs at marshes, especially for nesting, but also forages widely out over dry terrain such as farmlands, flatlands and benchlands. However, they are less common in the drier areas such as the oil shale region. The records we obtained there were all in the early fall when there tends to be even wider post breeding season wandering. They were foraging over desert scrub.

B. Number of nest site locations: None. It is doubtful that the species breeds in Zone 1.

C. Food and food relations: May (1935) states that the diet of the marsh hawk is quite varied, though it is a very light and rather weak bird for its apparent bulk. It rarely attacks birds or mammals of any size unless they are wounded or sick. According to A. K. Fisher (1893) its food consists largely of small rodents, such as meadow mice, half-grown squirrels, rabbits and spermophiles or ground squirrels. In addition it preys upon lizards, frogs, snakes, insects, and birds. Of the latter, small ground-dwelling species are taken. When hard pressed it is said to feed on offal and carrion. It occasionally preys upon dead or wounded ducks left by gunners. H. L. Ferguson in 1922 noted that 44 per cent of stomachs analyzed contained mammals

and 90% birds. A. L. Stoddard in 1931 collected 1098 pellets at a Marsh Hawk roost and his analysis yielded the following results: 925 (84%) contained cotton rats (which, as Stoddard points out, are extremely dangerous to nesting quail), eight other mammals were found, 138 (12.6%) held remains of 36 species of birds; 15 held snakes and 15 insects. May concludes his discussion by giving following stomach content analysis: Total examined 418, mammals 259, poultry or game 10, other birds 176, other vertebrates 27, insects 11, empty 26.

Mc Atee (1935) reports that among birds used as food, remains of poultry were found in 20 of the 601 stomachs he examined. Upland game birds had been taken as follows: European partridge 1, sharp-tailed grouse 1, valley quail 1, ruffed grouse 4, bobwhite 4, pheasants 10. In 4 stomachs remnants of pintail ducks occurred. Other birds utilized were as follows: rails 4, snipe 5, woodcock 1, as well as a number of sandpipers. Small birds were found in 265 of the 601 stomachs studied. They included song sparrows in 62, towhees in 27, chipping sparrows 26, sharp-tailed sparrows 26, meadow larks 12, bobolinks 9, robins 18, screech owls 4, least bittern 1, and poorwill 1. Among mammals, one of the principal food items is the rabbit with 62 records in 601 stomachs studied. Mice, however, were the most frequent item. Meadow mice (up to 5 individuals in one stomach) were found in 173 stomachs, house mice in 5, deer mice and jumping mice in 33, house rats 12, cotton rats 4, wood rats 2. Ground squirrels and pocket gophers (well known rodent pests of the West) were found in 19, other squirrels 6, shrews 12, muskrats 2, and skunk 1. The marsh hawk appears to take snakes when the opportunity is provided since 13 stomachs contained snakes. Frogs appeared in 15 and a crawfish in 1. Grasshoppers were eaten by 15 and other insects were recorded in 24.

Bent (1937) says that the marsh hawk is regarded by many as a highly beneficial species, mainly because of the large numbers of mice, rats, and other

injurious small mammals it destroys. It certainly is a great mouser; it lives largely on frogs and small snakes and destroys many injurious insects as well. The record shows, however, that it also takes many small birds and an occasional large one. A stomach analysis study gave the following results: total stomachs examined 124, poultry 7, other birds 34, mice 57, other mammals 22, reptiles 7, frogs 2, insects 14, while 8 were empty. Among mammals taken are rabbits, young skunks, pocket gophers, rats, ground squirrels, other squirrels, shrews, moles, meadow mice, house mice, and jumping mice. Birds eaten include bittern, green heron, teal and other ducks, coot, rails, grouse, quail, partridge, pheasants, plovers, sandpipers, woodcock, snipe, sparrow hawk, screech owl, flicker, doves, starling, meadowlark, bluebirds, grackles, numerous sparrows, cardinal, towhees, warblers, wrens, mockingbird, catbird, robin and thrushes. It also eats frogs, snakes, lizards, grasshoppers, locusts and crickets. It would be safe to say the marsh hawk preys on most species indigenous to the marsh environment.

The Craigheads (1956) give the following table for the marsh hawk foods habits.

Prey species	Number of prey individuals in pellets		% of all individuals in pellets	
	1942	1948	1942	1948
meadow mouse	7011	424	93.2	98.1
white-footed mouse	256	7	3.4	1.6
other mice	7		.1	
shrews	128		1.7	
rabbit	26		.34	
small birds	76		1.0	
garter snakes	21	1	.27	.3
Total	7525	432	100	100

D. Feeding sites and foraging areas in terms of habitat preference: In the general area, marshes such as at the mouth of Ashley Creek and the Ouray National Wildlife Refuge as well as flatlands, especially farming areas. Virtually none in the oil shale area except around Ouray.

E. Food availability: High for the general region, low for the oil shale area.



Figure 11. Map showing locations in the Utah oil shale area where the Marsh Hawk has been observed. Round spots indicate locations where the species was seen; triangle indicates a nesting site.

Osprey

Pandion haliaetus carolinensis (Gmelin)

Status - Rare transient in oil shale area in spring and fall, occasionally in summer.

Inventory of present abundance: records of occurrence -

A. From White River Area (Zone 1): We did not obtain any records in our field work.

B. From peripheral area: Michael Horton stated that he had seen the species several times along the Green River at Ouray and in mid June 1974 detected a probable active nest on a small island in the Green River near Stewart Lake. Adults were seen flying to and from a large abandoned Red-tailed Hawk nest in a dead cottonwood on the island.

C. Data from literature and other sources: Twomey (1942: 382) observed a single bird at the Ashley Creek marshes in early May 1937 and reported that A. C. Lloyd had observed two there on May 15, 1935, and one on May 19, 1935. Hayward (1967: 24) observed one at Stewart Lake on May 12, 1961. It was perched on a post feeding on a freshly caught fish. On May 13, 1961, he saw one in flight near Randlett. A University of Utah field party saw 5 at the Ouray National Wildlife Refuge on July 10, 1967.

Trends - Probably greatly reduced in numbers as compared with pristine times.

Ecology -

A. Habitat preference: This is a fish-eating hawk, hence occurs around lakes and rivers that afford a supply of food. Another requirement is perching sites such as tree stumps, dead limbs or rock pinnacles and similar nesting sites although they will utilize abandoned nests of other raptors, especially Great Horned Owls and Red-tailed Hawks. They nest from lowlands up into the mountains wherever ecological conditions are suitable.

B. Number of nest site locations: None for the White River area (Zone 1) but as previously mentioned a probable nesting site to the north near Jensen, an island in the Green River near Stewart Lake

C. Food and food relations: Fish of various types depending upon those available, either trash fish or game species. May (1935) reports that only rarely does the osprey deviate from a diet of fish. The deviants include reptiles and frogs which infest swamps. The fish consumed are usually of an inferior quality. In Florida it is almost always catfish and near the Pacific Coast it is to a great extent suckers.

Mc Atee (1935) says that apparently fishes made up the whole prey of the 33 osprey stomachs examined. Of the freshwater fishes taken, suckers were found in 10, yellow perch 5, goldfish 2, sunfish 1, striped bass 1, catfish 1. Salt water fishes taken include menhaden 5, tom cod 1, flatfish 1, triggerfish 1. Unidentified fish remains were present in 11 stomachs.

Bent (1937) explains that fish are the almost exclusive food of the osprey, the following having been recorded: herring, bluefish, bonefish, bonito, bowfin, carp, catfish, eel, flounder, flying fish, goldfish, hornpout, menhaden, mullet, perch, pickerel, pike, salmon, shad, sucker, sunfish, tom cod, trout and whitefish.

D. Feeding sites and foraging areas in terms of habitat preference: Primarily the Green River and possibly the White River in the oil shale area. For the surrounding region, Pelican Lake, Bottle Hollow Reservoir, small lakes in the Uinta Mountains such as Green Lake, but most important of all, the vast Flaming Gorge Reservoir.

E. Food availability: High for the region, low for the oil shale area.



Figure 12. Map showing locations in the Utah oil shale area where the Osprey has been observed. Round spots indicate locations where the species was seen; triangle indicates a nesting site.

Gyrfalcon

Falco rusticolus Linnaeus

Status - Hypothetical based on one sight record. Possibly a rare winter visitant.

Inventory of present abundance: records of occurrence -

A. From White River Area (Zone 1): None

B. From peripheral area: Michael Horton observed one large falcon, white except for dark wing tips, near Island Park in early May, 1966. He thought it was a gyrfalcon but couldn't be sure.

C. Data from literature and other sources: No mention is made of the species by other ornithologists who have worked in the region. For the state of Utah the species is of rare occurrence in winter, there being about half a dozen sight records.

Trends - None as regards Utah or the oil shale area.

Ecology -

A. Habitat preference: Open country for foraging with cliffs or trees for perching.

B. Number of nest site locations - None. Breeds in the far northern arctic tundra.

C. Food and food relations: According to May (1935) ptarmigan are their favorite food but they will feed on most anything including fox-bait among mammals. Lemmings seem to have priority over much of their breeding range as a primary food source. The results of stomach contents reveal the following: Total examined 17, mammals 5, poultry or game 3, other birds 2, empty 7. It has been suggested that the following are food (prey) species for the gyrfalcon: hares, ptarmigan, grouse, waterfowl, shorebirds, and other birds and mammals of medium size. Bent (1937) reports that the two species of

ptarmigan seem to furnish the principal food supply of the gyrfalcon, especially in winter when they are the only prey available in the far north. It also feeds in the spring on the abundant lemmings of the area as well as arctic hares. The food items he gives include sea birds, puffins, murres, guillemots, and kittiwakes. Gyrfalcons are strong enough to kill ducks and even geese. Smaller land birds taken include ringed plovers, phalaropes, snipes, turnstones, dunlins, purple sandpipers, and snow buntings. Nothing is known of their food in Utah. It probably consists largely of rabbits and upland game birds.

D. Feeding sites and foraging areas in terms of habitat preference: Apparently none.

E. Food availability: Moderate.

Prairie Falcon

Falco mexicanus Schlegel

Status - Uncommon permanent resident

Inventory of present abundance: records of occurrence -

A. From White River Area (Zone 1): Six records: Four miles south of Bonanza, R24E T10S Sec. 12, May 26, 1974; White River at mouth of Bitter Creek, R22E T10S Sec. 12, June 12, 1974; White River at mouth of Asphalt Wash, R23E T10S Sec. 24, August 31, 1974; White River, R23E T10S Sec. 26, April 20, 1975; Southam Canyon, R24E T10S Sec. 21, May 23, 1975; two adults and active nest with four young, Southam Canyon, R24E T10S Sec. 26, June 10, 1975.

Phil Wagner (pers. comm.) reported another nest along the White River, north of Cowboy Canyon, R25E T9S Sec. 27, June 11, 1975.

B. From peripheral area: The species was also observed at five miles northeast of Bonanza on August 22, 1974, and May 2, 1975; along White River, four miles southeast of the Mountain Fuel Supply Company bridge, R22E T9S Sec. 27 on June 17, 1974, and April 20, 1975, and an active aerie discovered there on May 25, 1975; head of Sand Wash, September 7, 1974.

C. Data from literature and other sources: Twomey (1942: 382) took a female from a nest containing two eggs located five miles south of Jensen and 3/4 mile east of the Green River but no date was specified. He reported that the species was comparatively numerous in the "bad-land" sections of the basin, particularly between Jensen and Bonanza. Many evidences of old nests were found. The one occupied nest was situated on a deep rocky shelf that extended back into the face of the cliff. The upper face of the cliff overhung the nest shelf by four or five feet. There was a drop of fifty feet from the nest to the base of the cliff. The nest was safe from predators and virtually inaccessible to humans. In September several individuals were observed by Twomey along the Green River above and below Jensen. Behle and

Ghiselin (1958: 3) report a specimen taken 15 miles south of Myton on April 24, 1938.

Prairie Falcons are listed as an occasional resident of the Ouray National Wildlife Refuge, present all seasons. Phil Wagner saw the species during 1974-75 along Willow Creek south of Ouray and in Pleasant Valley south of Myton Bench. Michael Horton states that he sees these falcons fairly commonly in the Uinta Basin during the winter in the area from U. S. Highway 40 south to Ouray. He has been told that there are several nests in the Flaming Gorge area.

Trends - None evident. The species is still fairly common in the region.

Ecology -

A. Habitat preference: The Prairie Falcon is a bird of the arid desert country selecting desert lowlands as favorite hunting areas. It is frequently found nesting in higher desert mountains in potholes, ledges of cliffs and at buttes and mesas.

B. Number of nest site locations: Three as follows: Four miles southeast of Mountain Fuel Supply Company bridge, R22E T9S Sec. 27, May 25, 1975; White River, north of Cowboy Canyon, R25E T9S Sec. 27, June 11, 1975 (Phil Wagner (pers. comm.); Southam Canyon, R24E T10S Sec. 26, June 10, 1975 (nest contained four young).

C. Food and food relations: May (1935) reports that the Prairie Falcon feeds principally on birds and mammals with an occasional reptile or large insect taken. The list of prey species includes: pheasants, doves, blackbirds, mallards, teal, sharp-tailed grouse, poultry, Gambel's sparrows, meadowlarks, valley quail. Mammals are gophers, prairie dogs, rabbits and mice. It occasionally eats lizards, crickets and grasshoppers. Results of a stomach analysis were as follows: Total examined 40, mammals in 13, poultry or game in 11, other birds in 13, insects in 5, empty 6.

Mc Atee (1935) says of the 15 stomachs available to him for study, 7 contained bird remains only, 5 mammals only, 1 both these types of food, 1 a bird, 1 an insect, and 1 a mammal and insects. The birds identified were hooded merganser, prairie chicken, Gambel's quail, pigeon, mourning dove, Crissal thrasher, horned larks, and savannah sparrow. The mammals included spermophiles, prairie dog, meadow mice, and deer mice. Insects eaten were grasshoppers.

Bent (1937) suggests that a large part of the Prairie Falcon's diet consists of birds of all varieties, Gambel's sparrow, western meadowlark, band-tailed pigeons, mourning doves, Gambel and valley quail heading the list. He reports isolated attacks on poultry but not when wild game is abundant. Other bird species taken include blackbirds of all varieties, sparrows, thrushes, and horned larks. It is capable of killing prey much larger than itself and frequently does kill rabbits and pheasants. Other mammals taken include gophers, squirrels, mice and voles. It occasionally will eat grasshoppers.

D. Feeding sites and foraging areas in terms of habitat preference: No special areas determined. Prairie Falcons forage widely in the territory about the nesting sites.

E. Food availability: Moderate.



Figure 13. Map showing locations in the Utah oil shale area where the Prairie Falcon has been observed. Round spots indicate locations where the species was seen; triangles indicate nesting sites.

Peregrine Falcon

Falco peregrinus Tunstall

Status - Rare migrant in Utah oil shale area but known to nest in adjacent Colorado oil shale area.

Inventory of present abundance: records of occurrence -

A. From White River Area (Zone 1): A single bird was observed in early May, 1975, on three different occasions by Jeffrey Grandison, oil shale biologist for the Division of Wildlife Resources (pers. comm.), together with oil shale research biologists from Utah State University, 1/4 mile north of Ignatio Stage Stop, R24E T10S Sec. 2.

B. From peripheral area: Barry Betts, Fish and Wildlife Service biologist, reported one (pers. comm.) at Chandler Canyon on October 3, 1974, five miles east of the Green River. One individual was observed at Sheep Creek Bay, Flaming Gorge National Recreation Area on June 23, 1974, by Michael Perry (unpub. data). Michael Horton states that he has seen the species occasionally in migration. The species is on the list of birds occurring at the Ouray National Wildlife Refuge as rare during all seasons. One was seen by Phil Wagner near Flat Canyon in Desolation Canyon of the Green River on September 3, 1975.

C. Data from literature and other sources: Twomey (1942: 382) reported two specimens taken on unspecified dates, one from 12 miles east of Vernal, the other 2 miles south of Jensen. One was a male with adult plumage beginning to show on the breast, belly, head, back and rump. The other was a female in full adult plumage. He found the species to be common in May and early June at the Ashley Creek marshes. In the evening, just at dusk one or more would fly swiftly over his camp, approaching from the southeast where there were some cliffs east of the Green River. A search of the area yielded one inaccessible nest located on a shelf about forty feet up a cliff. The actions of the pair of adults indicated young in the nest. Immature peregrines were seen at the Ashley Creek marshes early in August. Twomey commented that there was

always one or more peregrines in the vicinity of Jensen from August until the end of September. He reported that A. C. Lloyd collected three males at the Ashley Creek marshes on April 23, August 5, and August 23, 1935. The species was also seen along Hill Creek, 40 miles south of Ouray.

A field party from the University of Utah saw a peregrine 1/4 mile southeast of Pine Spring on July 10, 1966. It was seen several times at close range. The identification was positive. On one occasion it was carrying a small jackrabbit through the trees. This record was noted by Porter and White (1973: 5).

Investigators of oil shale areas in Colorado have observed peregrines on the Roan Plateau in the general area of the Colony Development Operation. One was observed on October 4, 1973, near the headwaters of Stuart Creek. This was probably a migrant. However, a pair was resident during the summer of 1973 in East Fork Canyon which reared two young to flight stage. The pair ranged throughout the East and East Middle Fork of Parachute Creek.

Trends - The several specimens noted by Twomey together with his observations of the abundance of the species in 1937 as compared with the paucity of our records 37 years later suggests that the numbers of this raptor have declined markedly in the last few decades.

Ecology -

A. Habitat preference: The peregrine is generally found closely associated with water either along marshes, streams or rivers but will nest within one half to one mile from water.

B. Number of nest site locations: None.

C. Food and food relations: It preys upon a variety of shorebirds as well as other small birds. Occasionally rodents are preyed upon as well as a few insects.

May (1935) says the duck hawk is known throughout its range as a killer of birds, seldom deviating from this diet for small mammals or other prey.

Waterfowl and shore birds make up a majority of this bird's diet. Where a duck hawk resides in near proximity to man, pigeons make up a good part of the diet. The diet includes pigeons, ruffed grouse, flickers, blue jays, kingfishers, night hawks, robins, sparrows, rough-winged swallows, meadowlarks, bluebirds, goldfinches, kingbirds, nuthatches, grosbeaks, tanagers, mourning doves, phoebes, veeries, and various warblers. From a pellet examination the following remains were identified: mallard, meadow mice, woodchucks, black ducks, green herons, gallinule, killdeer, ruffed grouse, night hawk, blue jay, black-billed cuckoo, meadowlark, kingfisher, scarlet tanager, brown thrasher, flicker, cinnamon teal, snow bunting, Franklin's gull, ring-necked pheasant. The results of stomach analysis revealed the following: Total examined 102, mammals 3, poultry or game 11, other birds 70, insects 12, empty 9.

Mc Atee (1935) reports that of the 57 duck hawk stomachs examined 53 contained birds. The bird remains consisted of pintails 2, cinnamon teal 1, other duck 6, chickens 3, Gambel's quail 1, mourning dove 2, killdeer 3, snowy plover 3, upland plover 1, solitary and least sandpiper 5, yellowlegs 2, avocet 4, northern phalarope 2, rose-breasted grosbeak 6, redpoll 1, lark sparrow 1, song sparrow 2, chipping sparrow 2, meadowlark 7, flickers 5, and night hawks 1. Mammals included the following: Mice 1 and spermophiles 1. Insects included grasshoppers, crickets, dragonfly, and wood-borers.

Bent (1938) says the duck hawk is primarily a bird killer, nearly all its food consisting of birds, ranging in size from mallard ducks down to warblers and nuthatches. Mammals form an insignificant part of the peregrine diet.

D. Feeding sites and foraging area in terms of habitat preference: The entire area along and adjacent to the White River in Utah and the Green River.

E. Food availability: Moderate.



Figure 14. Map showing locations in the Utah oil shale area where the Peregrine Falcon has been observed

Merlin (Pigeon Hawk)

Falco columbarius Linnaeus

Status - Uncommon transient and winter visitant.

Inventory of present abundance: records of occurrence -

A. From White River area (Zone 1): None.

B. From peripheral area: Michael Horton observed this hawk twice in September, 1974, one just north of Pelican Lake perched on a fence post, the other three miles northeast of Vernal along Ashley Creek.

C. Data from literature and other sources: Twomey (1942:383) regarded this species as being a migrant based on observations of a few individuals along the Green River near Jensen in May and again in September. Hayward (1967:24) saw the species at Pelican Lake in mid-May on two unspecified occasions.

Trends - None to our knowledge.

Ecology -

A. Habitat preference: Chiefly open type woodland situations in valleys and river bottom lands where scattered clumps of trees grow.

B. Number of nest site locations: None since the species does not breed in this area.

C. Food and food relations: May (1935) says that the pigeon hawk is a confirmed killer of birds, and it will only occasionally catch other forms of food. It has been rated as a killer of the now extinct passenger pigeon. A stomach analysis of 41 birds from New Jersey found three mammals, 34 birds, and 119 insects. Of 298 stomachs examined from New York, 318 birds were found in them, four mammals and 967 insects. It was therefore determined that 89.2% of their food was birds, 9.8% insects, and 1% mammals. The results of May's stomach analysis shows the following: Total examined - 184; mammals in

eight, poultry or game in three, other birds in 141, other vertebrates in three, insects in 68 and 19 empty. The kinds eaten included pigeons, flickers, grackels, pine grosbeak, house sparrow, chickadees, robin, cliff swallow, tree swallow, grasshoppers and small voles.

McAtee (1935) reports that of the birds consumed, remains of a chicken were found in only one stomach; the same was the case for the domestic pigeon. The mourning dove and woodcock were the only game birds represented. It is worth noting that these are also the largest kinds of prey identified in stomachs. Warblers head the list of smaller species captured by pigeon hawks, the genus Dendroica being represented by 119 identifications. Other warblers were in 19, ovenbirds in 9, the genus Wilsonia in 9, yellow throat in 6, and other types in fewer cases. The next most prevalent group is sparrows in the genus Melospiza. These appeared in 73 out of 727. Next came English (house) sparrows in 38, Spizella in 38, purple finches in 13, juncos in 8, robins in 19, bluebirds in 6, other thrushes in 55, chimney swifts in 13, tree swallows in 14, barn swallows in 15, cedar waxwings in 28, red-breasted nuthatches in 28, vireos in 40. Others included meadowlark, flicker, blue-gray gnatcatcher, ruby-crowned kinglet. Insects were found in 389 stomachs, consisting of dragonflies, butterflies, crickets and grasshoppers. Mammals included bats in 7, mice in 5, shrews in 3, deer mice in 2, spermophile in 1, house mouse in 1, and red-backed mouse in 1. Other vertebrates were toads in 3 and garter snakes in 4. Bent (1937) reports that the pigeon hawk is mainly a bird eater. Of 55 stomachs examined, 2 contained poultry, 41 small birds, 2 mice and 16 insects.

D. Feeding sites and foraging areas in terms of habitat preference: No special areas.

E. Food availability: Moderate



Figure 15. Map showing locations in the Utah oil shale area where the Merlin (Pigeon Hawk) has been observed

American Kestrel (Sparrow Hawk)

Falco sparverius sparverius Linnaeus

Status - Common permanent resident, more numerous in summer than winter. The population decreases from October 1 to April 15. The Kestrel is the commonest raptor of the oil shale area.

Inventory of present abundance: records of occurrence -

A. From White River Area (Zone 1): Forty records: Four miles east of Bonanza, R25E T9S Sec. 28; White River bridge—three miles south of Bonanza, R24E T10S Sec. 2; three miles north of Dragon, R25E T11S Sec. 28, May 26, 1974; White River, R24E T10S Sec. 17, R23E T10S Sec. 22, R23E T10S Sec. 19, R23E T10S Sec. 18, R22E T10S Sec. 13, June 5, 1974; two birds, two miles south of Evacuation Creek bridge, R24E T10S Sec. 13 and 15, June 27, 1974; three and one-half miles northwest of Rainbow, R24E T11S Sec. 16; five and one-half miles northwest of Rainbow (Center Creek road), R24E T11S Sec. 8; five and three-quarters miles northwest of Rainbow, R24E T11S Sec. 8; Asphalt Wash road, R24E T11S Sec. 6; four birds, five miles north of Bitter Creek Corral, R22E T11S Sec; Evacuation Creek bridge, R24E T10S Sec. 13, June 27, 1974; Evacuation Creek, R24E T10S Sec. 13, July 3, 1974; Asphalt Wash road two miles north of Wolf Den, R24E T12S Sec. 35, July 12, 1974; White River bridge, R24E T10S Sec. 2; two birds, Watson-Dragon junction, R25E T10S Sec. 20; one mile south of Watson-Dragon junction, R25E T10S Sec. 29, August 2, 1974; four birds, R24E T10S Sec. 22, August 14, 1974; Kings Well, R23E T11S Sec. 22, August 13, 1974; Southam Canyon, R24E T10S Sec. 28, September 8, 1974; Rainbow, R24E T11S Sec. 24, September 12, 1974; two miles south of Evacuation Creek bridge, R24E T10S Sec. 13, August 23, 1974; White River-Ashphalt Wash, R23E T10S Sec. 24, August 31, 1974; one-half mile north of Watson, R25E T11S Sec. 6, September 4, 1974; three miles north of Rainbow, R24E T11S Sec. 12, September 4, 1974; three miles south of Evacuation Creek Bridge, R24E T10S Sec. 13, August 21, 1974; two and one-half miles south of White River bridge, R24E T10S Sec. 13, November 16, 1974; Ignatio Stage Stop, R24E T10S

Sec. 13, December 12, 1974; two birds, Dragon, R25E T12S Sec. 13, February 26, 1974; White River, R24E T10S Sec. 17 and Sec. 18, April 20, 1975; White River, R23E T10S Sec. 22 and R23E T10S Sec. 12, May 23, 1975; White River, R23E T10S Sec. 18 and 19, May 24, 1975; Southam Canyon, R24E T10S Sec. 28 and Sec. 32, May 24, 1975; Southam Canyon, R24E T10S Sec. 28, June 10, 1975; White River, R24E T10S Sec. 21, June 11, 1975.

Phil Wagner (pers. comm.) reported the following: one bird at White River, one-half mile below Ignatio Stage Stop, R24E T10S Sec. 2, June 10, 1975; three-quarters mile south of Ignatio Stage Stop on Bonanza-Rainbow road, R24E T10S Sec. 1, June 11, 1975; one mile north of White River, R24E T10S Sec. 4, June 11, 1975; two miles south of Ignatio Stage Stop on Bonanza-Rainbow road, R24E T10S Sec. 12, June 10, 1975; two miles west of Watson, R24E T11S Sec. 11, June 10, 1975.

B. From peripheral area: Two birds at Mountain Fuel Supply Company bridge, June 10, 1974; ten birds between Highway 40 turn-off to Bonanza and Little Bonanza (all perched on different utility poles), May 2, 1975.

C. Data from literature and other sources: Twomey (1942: 383) reported nine specimens obtained, some taken in the Uinta Basin near Jensen and two miles south of Jensen, others from Green Lake in the Uinta Mountains. He further reported that A. C. Lloyd observed the species commonly at the Ashley Creek marshes during the spring and summer of 1934 and 1935. Although the species is a permanent resident, Twomey noted some migratory movements in that these hawks appeared in largest numbers in the spring between May 15 and 30 followed by a dispersal throughout the basin after June 1. In the autumn Kestrels become more numerous from September 15 to 30 at which time there was a gradual southward movement along the valley creeks and the Green River.

Behle and Ghiselin (1958) observed a Kestrel near timberline east of Rock Sea Pass, 11,000 feet, at the head of Rock Creek, Duchesne County, on

August 17, 1953. Hayward (1967: 24) states that this falcon is very common throughout the Upper Colorado River Basin. Most of his 40 records fell between April and into August. A check-list for the birds of Ouray National Wildlife Refuge indicates that Kestrels are common on the refuge during summer and fall months, rare in winter and occasional in spring.

Three specimens from the oil shale area are in the University of Utah collection. One was taken one mile south of Pelican Lake on July 7, 1966, one along the Green River, one mile east of Ouray on July 17, 1967, and the third at 10 miles north of Pine Springs, 30 miles southeast of Ouray on July 13, 1967. The species was observed by University of Utah field parties in several ecological situations, namely foraging over open, desert country, in the juniper-pinyon pine forest and in cottonwoods along the river bottomlands. It nested in abandoned woodpecker holes in trees and on rock ledges. Specific localities where the species was observed are as follows. Unless otherwise specified only one was seen at each location. Pelican Lake, one on May 27, one on July 7, and four on July 22, 1966, and March 20 and June 29, 1967; Ouray National Wildlife Refuge, March 19, 21 and 22, 1966, and March 20 and 21, 1967; Ouray on March 21, 1967; ten at one mile east Ouray on July 17, 1967; junction White and Green rivers, one mile south Ouray, March 22, 1967; Flat Rock mesa, July 25, 1967; Monument Ridge, July 8, 1966; Mormon Ridge, July 25, 1966; McCook Ridge, July 13, 1966; South Canyon, July 12, 1966; 50 on Mason Ridge road between Weaver and Towave reservoirs on July 29, 1966; Towave Reservoir on July 26, 1967.

Trends - Abundant in the area now and probably always abundant. No change apparent.

Ecology -

A. Habitat preference: The Kestrel is ubiquitous throughout the region, ranging and nesting from lowland valleys and benchlands to timberline in the Uinta Mountains but only where perching sites are available such as tree

stumps, dead tipped trees, fence posts, power poles or tops of rocks. If there is any one preferred habitat it is the cottonwood groves in the river floodplains. Kestrels feed extensively over the edges of the bench-lands adjacent to the river and creek bottomlands. The species nests in woodpecker holes in dead trees, usually but also at cliff sites where there are potholes and ledges. Individuals are commonly seen throughout the Uinta Basin perched atop utility poles and fences.

B. Number of nest site locations:

1 - Southam Canyon R24E T10S Sec. 32, June 5, 1974
2 - White River R24E T10S Sec. 17, June 10, 1974
3 - White River R23E T10S Sec. 8, May 27, 1975
4 - White River at Mt. Fuel bridge R22E T9S, May 30, 1975

C. Food and food relations: Mostly insects such as grasshoppers, but some small birds and mammals. May (1935) says the Kestrel or Sparrow Hawk is one of the most useful of the entire hawk tribe, for its food is very largely composed of injurious insects with a fair proportion of destructive rodents and only a small toll taken from beneficial species of birds. It might well be known as the "grasshopper hawk" because of its fondness for these enemies of agriculture. Species included as important prey items are striped ground squirrels, English or house sparrows, song sparrows, meadow mice and numerous insects. Others include cliff swallows, meadowlarks, Brewer's blackbirds, shrews, beetles, crickets, spiders, lizards and small snakes. Stomach analysis yielded the following results: Total examined 427, mammals in 147, small birds 69, other vertebrates 13, insects 269, empty 29.

Mc Atee (1935) reports grasshoppers and their allies as the chief source of food of the sparrow hawk being found in 491 of 703 stomachs examined. Beetles appeared in 207, caterpillars in 150. Other insects were found in 153 stomachs. Mammals are the most abundant of the vertebrate prey, being found in 192 stomachs. The breakdown is as follows: Meadow mice in 82, white-footed mice 36, house mice 23, pocket mice 3, spermophiles 6, chipmunks 3,

rabbit 1, wood rat 1, pocket gopher 1, shrews 14, and bat 1. The birds most frequently captured were song sparrows in 13, chipping sparrows 13, warblers 5, meadowlarks 3, ground dove 1, and a quail 1. Lizards were in 41 stomachs, snakes in 21 (garter snakes 10) and frogs in 4 stomachs.

Bent (1938) reports that the food of the sparrow lark includes insects, birds, mammals, reptiles, and amphibians. The diet varies considerably according to season and locality; hence, the birds stomach often indicates merely local or temporary conditions. In the summer grasshoppers make up the bulk of this hawk's food, but with winter many small birds and mammals are taken.

D. Feeding sites and foraging areas in terms of habitat preference: Generally the Kestrel forages widely, but since they are most numerous in river bottomlands, these areas and adjacent benchlands would be favored foraging areas.

E. Food availability: High.



Figure 16. Map showing locations in the Utah oil shale area where the American Kestrel (Sparrow Hawk) has been observed. Round spots indicate locations where the species was seen; triangles indicate nesting sites..

Nocturnal Birds of Prey - Owls

Barn Owl

Tyto alba pratincola (Bonaparte)

Status - Uncommon permanent resident of localized occurrence.

Inventory of present abundance: records of occurrence -

A. From White River area (Zone 1): None.

B. From peripheral area: We did not see this owl.

C. Data from literature and other sources: Twomey (1942:398) reported the occurrence of this owl at Vernal, near Jensen (1936) and at Hill Creek, 40 miles south of Ouray, where on August 6, 1937 one was flushed from a hole in the face of a high cliff.

Trends - No data that would establish trends.

Ecology -

A. Habitat preference: areas where there are (1) cavities for breeding such as holes in earth banks, tree trunks, potholes in cliffs and substitutes in the nature of man-made shelters such as barns and sheds; (2) buildings, brush thickets or thick-foilaged trees which are utilized for roosting during the daytime and (3) open hillsides, grassland or agricultural areas where rodents are abundant.

B. Number of nest site locations: None located.

C. Food and food relations: Bent (1938) reports that the barn owl is one of our most useful birds of prey, as its food consists almost entirely of various species of rodents that, from their abundance and destructive habits, are a curse to agriculture and other human interests. In the 39 stomachs examined by A. K. Fisher, one contained a pigeon, three other birds, 17 mice, 17 other mammals, four insects, and seven were empty. In the same study, 200 pellets were examined and the contents were determined as follows: Included were 454 skulls and of these 225 were from meadow

mice, two pine mice, 179 house mice, 20 rats, six jumping mice, 20 shrews, one star-nosed mole, and one vesper sparrow. The following items have been found in the food of the barn owl: various mice and rats representing nearly every available species, pocket gophers, shrews, bats, moles, muskrats, spotted skunk, and young rabbits. Although birds form a small part of their food, a number of species have been found, such as various sparrows, black birds, grackels, starling, cowbird, bobolink, swallows, towhees, flickers, rails, meadow larks, green heron, blue jay. A few insects, such as grasshoppers, beetles, crickets and katydid as well as an occasional frog appear in stomach contents.

D. Feeding sites and foraging areas in terms of habitat preference: No special locations in the oil shale area. The species forages widely at night.

E. Food availability: Moderate



Figure 17. Map showing locations in the Utah oil shale area where the Barn Owl has been observed

Screech Owl

Otus asio inyoensis (Grinnell)

Status - Uncommon permanent resident.

Inventory of present abundance: records of occurrence -

A. From White River area (Zone 1): No records but the species probably occurs in the White River bottomlands.

B. From peripheral areas: None in the oil shale area but Michael Horton found a nest with three young along upper Ashley Creek north of Vernal but couldn't recall the date.

C. Data from literature and other sources: Twomey (1942:398) reported four specimens taken two miles south of Jensen by A. C. Lloyd between July 25 and 30, 1935. Three were juveniles. They occurred in dense willows at the mouth of Ashley Creek. Hayward (1967:33) reported a sight record on May 18, 1958, presumably in the Jensen area.

Trends - none evident. Our failure to find specimens is not significant since the owls are nocturnal and inconspicuous as well as uncommon.

Ecology -

A. Habitat preference: Broken riparian woodland consisting of willows and cottonwoods occurring in bottomlands of larger creeks and rivers. The species nests in natural cavities in trees or in woodpecker-excavated cavities the right size. Suburbs of towns and ranches often afford favorable sites through planted trees and buildings. Probably also occurs in sparsely wooded foothills and canyon wall areas supporting broken forest.

B. Number of nest site locations: None.

C. Food and food relations: Bent (1938) reports that the screech owl enjoys a varied bill of fare including almost every class of mammal or animal life. Of 225 stomachs examined, one contained a pigeon, 38 contained other birds,

91 mice, 11 other mammals, two lizards, four bats, one fish, 100 insects, five spiders, nine crawfish, seven miscellaneous, two scorpions, two earthworms, and 43 were empty. Paul Errington in 1932 reports prey taken as follows: Norway rat 1, meadow mice 49, deer mice 37, shrew 7, English sparrow 36, fish 4, crayfish 3. The list of food items for the barn owl include the following mammals: mice of all varieties, shrews, rats, moles, flying squirrels, chipmunks, and an occasional bat. The birds include pigeons, quail, ruffed grouse, woodcock, sparrow hawk, screech owl (cannibalism), downy woodpecker, kingbird, phoebe, wood pewee, horned lark, starling, blackbirds, orioles, goldfinches, junios, lazuli bunting, English (house) sparrows, cedar waxwings, swallows, tangers, orioles, water thrushes and various other warblers, house wren, chickadee, nuthutches, creepers, catbirds, robins, bluebird, and various sparrows. Insects are also taken and include June beetles, grasshoppers, cutworms, locuts, crickets, cicadas, katydids, moths, caterpillars and hell gramites.

D. Feeding sites and foraging areas in terms of habitat preference: Bottomlands of White, Green and Duchesne rivers and tributaries such as Ashley Creek near Vernal and Hill Creek south of Ouray.

E. Food availability: Probably high.



Figure 18. Map showing locations in the Utah oil shale area where the Screech Owl has been observed

Flammulated Owl

Otus flammeolus flammeolus (Kaup)

Status - Uncommon permanent resident.

Inventory of present abundance: records of occurrence -

A. From White River area (Zone 1): No records.

B. From peripheral area: no records.

C. Data from literature and other sources: The species was not found by either Twomey (1942) or Hayward (1967). However, two specimens from the general oil shale area are in the University of Utah collection. One was taken at Pine Spring, 40 miles southeast of Ouray on July 2, 1967, the other from South Canyon, 46 miles southeast of Ouray on July 13, 1966.

Trends - no data.

Ecology -

A. Habitat preference: In breeding season, open or broken coniferous forest such as Douglas Fir or yellow pine, hence confined at this time to higher, southern portions of the East Tavaputs Plateau in areas marginal to oil shale area. Probably wanders more widely in winter and may occur in the White and Green River bottomlands. May occur also in juniper belt. These small birds seek concealment in cover even during their periods of activity at night although they emerge into the open briefly while pursuing their insect prey.

B. Number of nest site locations: None.

C. Food and food relations: Bent (1938) says that the flammulated owl is apparently largely, if not wholly, insectivorous, although it may capture a small bird or mammal now and then. In the few stomachs that have been examined various beetles, moths, grasshoppers, crickets, caterpillars, ants, other insects, spiders and scorpions, have been found.

D. Feeding sites and foraging areas in terms of habitat preference: Areas of broken coniferous forest at higher elevations south of the oil shale area.

E. Food availability: Moderate.



Figure 19. Map showing locations in the Utah oil shale area where the Flammulated Owl has been observed

Great Horned Owl

Bubo virginianus (Gmelin)

Status - Fairly common permanent resident, but the resident population augmented by migrants and winter visitants from more northern regions, these often representing different subspecies from the resident race occidentalis.

Inventory of present abundance: records of occurrence -

A. From White River Area (Zone 1): Two records: White River, one mile northeast of Cowboy Canyon, R25E T9S Sec. 28, May 25, 1975; two and one-half miles south of White River on Bonanza-Rainbow road August 12, 1975.

B. From peripheral area: We obtained no other records.

C. Data from literature and other sources: Twomey (1942: 399) did not obtain any specimens from the Uinta Basin proper, but commented that their numbers had been greatly reduced by virtue of their being hunted as vermin and as a consequence they occurred only in the more inaccessible parts of the basin.

Behle and Ghiselin (1958: 5) collected three specimens, one of the race lagophonus at Roosevelt, February 13, 1956, and two occidentalis at Soapstone Creek, 7750 feet, Summit Co., October 27, 1940, and at Stewart Ranch, 7128 feet, 5 mi. SE Woodland, Wasatch County, July 17, 1944. Another nesting bird was found 5 miles northeast of Roosevelt. Hayward (1967: 33) lists the Great Horned Owl as a common resident throughout the Upper Colorado River Basin. Olsen (1973: 92, 110) lists the species as an occasional resident throughout the oil shale area. It is listed as an uncommon resident at the Ouray National Wildlife Refuge. Two specimens in the University of Utah collection were taken in the general region, one at two miles south of Pelican Lake on March 22, 1967, and 15 miles south of Ouray on July 15, 1966. Locations where the species was observed by University of Utah field parties are as follows: Ouray National Wildlife Refuge on March 20, 1967, at junction of White and Green rivers, one mile south of Ouray on March 22, 1967; Weaver Reservoir July 28, 1966. Michael Horton states that in his experience the species is common throughout the Uinta Basin.

Trends - Although still common in northeastern Utah their numbers are probably reduced as compared with former times by virtue of indiscriminate shooting and a higher incidence of road kills.

Ecology -

A. Habitat preference: The species occurs in many ecological situations such as rock-walled canyons, riparian bottomlands where the cottonwoods and willows are of large size, juniper-covered benchlands, washes and arroyos. The common feature required is shaded shelter for daytime perching and places for nesting that are out of reach of terrestrial marauders. Nesting sites chosen are niches in cliffs and other birds' nests in trees. The species ranges from the desert and riparian lowlands up to the coniferous forest of the nearby mountains. Michael Horton has found Great Horned Owls nesting in all habitats in the Uinta Basin. One nest of unspecified date was found along the Green River five miles south of Jensen. It was situated in a cottonwood tree.

B. Number of nest site locations: None

C. Food and food relations: Bent (1938) says that the Great Horned Owl is a ravenous feeder on a great variety of animal life. Almost any creature that walks, crawls, flies, or swims, except the larger mammals constitute its legitimate prey. It is not particular what it takes as food and will kill whatever is most available and most easily caught. It prefers to feed on smaller mammals, mainly those that are active at night, since these are easier to catch and more readily available in its favorite haunts than are poultry. The list of mammals taken includes hares and rabbits, grey, red, flying and fox squirrels, chipmunks, various rats and mice, including the destructive house species, muskrats, skunks, ground squirrels, pocket gophers, spermophiles, mink, weasels, woodchucks. opossums, porcupines, domestic cats, shrews, and bats. Most records indicate that the cottontail rabbit is the most prominent food item. The list of birds eaten is a very long one and contains many large

species, which are probably attacked under great stress of hunger. Included are pied-billed grebe, several species of wild duck, Canada goose, tame ducks and swans, American bittern, small herons, American coot, Florida gallinule, Virginia rails, red and northern phalarope, Wilson's snipe, yellow-legs, woodcock, various quail and grouse, pheasants, domestic poultry, mourning doves, marsh, Cooper's and red-tailed hawks, barn, barred, long-eared and screech owls, flickers, sapsuckers, and other woodpeckers, crow, starling, jays, blackbirds, meadowlarks, juneos, robins, and various sparrows. The list of miscellaneous food includes snakes, frogs, dace, goldfish, bullheads, crayfish, grasshoppers, katydids, crickets, and scorpions. One item of note is that the horned owl is often known to attack porcupines with adverse results. To illustrate its good points, however, H. A. Surface published the following results of a nest where he found and captured young horned owls. He found a total of 113 Norway rats at the nest within a ten-day period.

D. Feeding sites and foraging areas in terms of habitat preference: No special localities; they forage all through the oil shale area.

E. Food availability: High



Figure 20. Map showing locations in the Utah oil shale area where the Great Horned Owl has been observed

Snowy Owl

Nyctea scandiaca (Linnaeus)

Status - Rare winter visitant and only during some winters when ecological conditions in their more northern range force them further south than usual. Only about half a dozen records for the entire state of Utah.

Inventory of present abundance: records of occurrence -

A. From White River Area (Zone 1): None

B. From peripheral area: One bird observed by Michael Horton on February 7, 1967, along Brush Creek on State Highway 149 in Dinosaur National Monument.

C. Data from literature and other sources: No further records of occurrence.

Trends - None evident for Utah. The species is of sporadic occurrence depending on conditions elsewhere in its geographic range.

Ecology -

A. Habitat preference: Usually marshy areas or open expanses that resemble the habitat of the species in its normal habitat in the arctic zone. In the Uinta Basin probably fields with haystacks. However, ranges widely in its quest for food which carries it to brushy areas where prey species take cover.

B. Number of nest site locations: None since the species only breeds in the far north.

C. Food and food relations: Nearly all observers agree (Bent 1938) that in its arctic summer home the main food supply of the Snowy Owl consists of lemmings and other small rodents. Besides lemmings and mice, Snowy Owls have been known to kill and eat the following, especially on migration: hares, rabbits, ground squirrels, rats, moles, and shrews. When hard pressed for food, they will take whatever dead animals or birds they find, or will attack animals caught in traps. The birds taken usually are ptarmigan which are used to feed

the young. If mice and other rodents are not plentiful, they will turn to more birds in lieu of scarce mammals.

D. Feeding sites and foraging areas in terms of habitat preference: Open fields, areas of haystacks, clumps of brush.

E. Food availability: Moderate



Figure 21. Map showing the location in the Utah oil shale area where the Snowy Owl has been observed

Pygmy Owl

Glaucidium gnoma californicum Sclater

Status - Uncommon permanent resident.

Inventory of present abundance: records of occurrence -

A. From White River area (Zone 1): None.

B. From peripheral area: We obtained no records.

C. Data from literature and other sources: Twomey (1942:399) suggested the occurrence of this species in the region on the basis of a report by a rancher on Hill Creek who stated that every summer he observed several very small owls in dense thickets of willows along Hill Creek. Hayward (1967:33) recorded a specimen in the Brigham Young University collection taken near Roosevelt on June 22, 1957.

Trends - No evidence to suggest any.

Ecology -

A. Habitat preference: Hayward (loc. cit.) commented that the species occurs primarily in deciduous woodlands along river flood plains. This agrees with our experience with the species elsewhere in the state. Others have reported its occurrence in wooded canyon bottoms, mixed or broken woodlands and the edges of coniferous forests. Breeding is dependent upon the presence of cavities in trees excavated by woodpeckers. The kinds of trees thus most frequented in our experience are cottonwoods, willows and boxelders.

B. Number of nest site locations: None.

C. Food and food relations: Probably the pygmy owl feeds on all the smaller mammals, birds, reptiles, amphibians, and larger insects living within its range (Bent, 1938). All varieties are attacked at times and eaten.

Still it is quite likely that mice and large insects are more often eaten than any other items. Aside from the variety of its food, the most astonishing thing about its diet is the comparatively large size of some of its captives, both mammalian and avian. It is in part a diurnal bird of prey and its victims reflect this fact. It occasionally lives on grasshoppers and other insects, only when small mammals are not available. Meadow mice, deer mice, shrews, house mice, various sparrows (especially house) seem to be the bill of fare for this owl. Also utilized as prey species are grasshoppers, quail, lizards, robins, ground squirrels, beetles, toads, frogs and small snakes.

D. Feeding sites and foraging areas in terms of habitat preference: River bottomlands, especially groves of cottonwoods.

E. Food availability: Moderate.



Figure 22. Map showing the location in the Utah oil shale area where the Pygmy Owl has been observed

Burrowing Owl

Speotyto cunicularia hypugaea (Bonaparte)

Status - Uncommon permanent resident.

Inventory of present abundance: records of occurrence -

A. From White River area (Zone 1): None.

B. From peripheral area: Mike Horton observed a pair at a burrow mound on Deadman Bench north of Bonanza on May 15, 1975 but he did not ascertain whether there were eggs or young present. He also saw one in mid-May, 1975 in Coyote Basin near Deadman Bench. The previous year (1974) he saw several at a site three miles north of Pelican Lake and located one nest. Mike Perry checked out the Deadman Bench site on July 16,1975, and ascertained that the pair was definitely nesting. Phil Wagner reported seeing the species at three locations during 1974-1975, namely near La Point, at Pariette, Wash and along the south Myton Bench.

C. Data from literature and other sources: Twomey (1942:399) obtained ten specimens, including young, from three localities, namely 20 miles east of Vernal, 17 miles south of Vernal and 2 miles south of Jensen. He stated that the species was not numerous in the region and they occurred in only a few scattered colonies in the mixed desert shrub environment where they build their nests in deserted prairie dog burrows. He excavated one nest on June 23, 1937 to expose the young and found that kangaroo rats and spade foot toads had been utilized as food. He stated that these owls had dispersed from their breeding grounds by September 1 and had departed from the region by September 20. Hayward (1967:33) reported a specimen from Roosevelt taken on September 19, 1953 and a sight record of one at Pelican Lake on May 18, 1963. He stated that the species inhabits open plains country, being frequently stationed at abandoned holes of ground squirrels and prairie

dogs. There is one specimen in the University of Utah collection from the general region, taken at Arcadia, Duchesne County on October 3, 1971.

Trends - None evident.

Ecology -

A. Habitat preference: Open, dry, level or nearly level grassland, prairie or desert terrain. Since there is a dependence on the larger burrowing mammals for nest sites, they occur in areas where ground squirrel or prairie mammals occur which is indicative of abandoned burrows.

B. Number of nest site locations: One, on Deadman Bench, seven miles north of Bonanza, R24E T4S Sec. 17 on May 15, 1975.

C. Food and food relations: According to Bent (1938), the burrowing owl is unquestionably one of our most beneficial birds of prey. It destroys very few small birds, and when these are taken it is mainly to feed its young. It subsists almost entirely on insects and injurious rodents which it destroys in enormous numbers. Its insect food includes grasshoppers, locusts, beetles, crickets, caterpillers, dragonflies and others which it catches on the wing. A. K. Fisher reports that in the 32 stomachs he examined, three contained small mammals, three lizards, three scorpions, one centipede, 30 insects, while one was empty. In his table of stomach contents he records seven stomaches that contained between 40 and 50 locusts and other insects each. Next in importance come the mammals including mice, rats, and ground squirrels of various species, young prairie dogs, young cottontails, pocket gophers, chipmunks, shrews and even bats.

One burrow in Washington state contained the following: 25 mice, three sage rats, 12 ground squirrels, 10 horned larks, one Bell's vireo and three various sparrows. Various miscellaneous items enter into the food of burrowing owls, such as lizards, snakes, frogs, toads, salamanders, fishes, scorpions, centipedes and myriapods.

D. Feeding sites and foraging areas in terms of habitat preference: The immediate vicinity of the nest, thus in the oil shale area in Deadman Bench north of Bonanza and a site north of Pelican Lake in the Ouray area.

E. Food availability: Moderate.



Figure 23. Map showing the locations in the Utah oil shale area where the Burrowing Owl has been observed. Round spots indicate locations where the species was seen; triangle indicates a nesting site.

Spotted Owl

Strix occidentalis (Xantus)

Status - Uncommon permanent resident.

Inventory of present abundance: records of occurrence -

A. From White River area (Zone 1): None.

B. From peripheral area: We obtained no records in our field work.

C. Data from literature and other sources: One was observed at P. R. Spring, 49 miles southeast of Ouray on September 6, 1958 by Merlin L. Killpack. It was in a dense grove of aspen. Hayward (1967:34) mentions this record without specifying the locaity or date. He indicates that the habitat of the species is dense pinyon-juniper woodland or other tall shrubby vegetation.

Trends - None to our knowledge. The species is probably more common in Utah than the few records indicate.

Ecology -

A. Habitat preference: Generally dense forest or woodland, especially where adjacent to cliffs. Shaded ravines and wooded canyons are favored areas for daytime roosting. Forages widely at night. Trees may be used for nesting but mostly the species utilizes holes in rock walls. Locally, as Hayward (loc. cit.) indicates they frequent dense pinyon-juniper woodland or other tall shrubby vegetation. The one record was of a lone bird perched in a clump of aspens and Douglas Fir.

B. Number of nest site locations: None.

C. Food and food relations: Bent (1938) reports that rats and mice of various species seem to be the favorite prey of the spotted owl, the kinds being wood rats, white-footed mice and red tree mice. It also probably eats some chipmunks and other small squirrels, and rodents, and a few birds.

D. Feeding sites and foraging areas in terms of habitat preference: Steep sided, wooded canyons such as along the White River; elsewhere copses of trees. Forage widely at night.

E. Food availability: Moderate.

Long-Eared Owl

Asia wilsonianus (Lesson)

Status - Uncommon resident.

Inventory of present abundance: records of occurrence -

A. From White River area (Zone 1): Two records: Two birds at Bitter Creek Corral, R22E T11S Sec. 26, September 14, 1974; two adults, White River at Cowboy Canyon, R25E T9S Sec. 27 (nest with three young) June 9-10, 1975.

B. From peripheral area: In our field work we did not obtain additional records. Michael Horton reported an active nest in May, 1974 at the south end of Stewart Lake. It was situated midway up in a dead tree. The same nest was occupied in May, 1975 and had young in the nest. In 1973 he saw numerous Long-eared Owls along Ashley Creek northeast of Vernal but none then in 1974 or 1975.

C. Data from literature and other sources: Twomey (1942:400) did not see any of these owls in his field work in the region in 1937 but he reported that A. C. Lloyd collected two males and two females near Jensen on April 24 and May 15, 1935. Behle and Ghiselin (1958:5) reported a specimen taken three miles south of Vernal on June 20, 1949.

Hayward (1967:34) says of the Long-eared Owl: "Numerous records indicate the Long-eared Owl is rather common in suitable habitats everywhere within the upper basin. They inhabit dense growths of woodlands along the stream and are also frequently found in pinyon-juniper and oak thickets where they occupy the abandoned nests of magpies ...".

Trends - None apparent.

Ecology -

A. Habitat preference: This species typically occurs in river or creek

bottomlands where there are cottonwoods and willows, but also may be found in in drier terrain such as stands of pinyon-junipers. From their diurnal, concealed roosting sites, they forage widely at night, not only along the riparian areas but also out over open terrain adjacent to the woodland areas, seeking their prey species of rodents, principally mice. The two seen at the Bitter Creek corral, which is about seven miles south of the White River bottomlands, were in a flat, desert scrub consisting of greasewood and shadscale. The one next discovered was located in a cottonwood about 40 feet above ground and two feet below the top. The owls seemingly had utilized an abandoned Cooper's Hawk's nest.

B. Number of nest site locations: one, near the junction of Cowboy Canyon and the White River, R25E T9S Sec. 27. It was visited on June 5, 1975 and contained three young.

C. Food and food relations: Twomey (1942:400) noted that one of the stomachs of the birds taken by A. C. Lloyd, a female taken on April 24, 1935, contained the remains of a kangaroo rat which indicates foraging out over the shrub desert.

Bent (1938) comments that unquestionably the Long-eared Owl is one of our most beneficial birds of prey, since a very large proportion of its food (probably close to 80 or 90% on a seasonal average) consists of injurious rodents. A. K. Fisher's stomach content analysis reveals that out of 107 stomachs examined one contained a game bird (Valley Quail), 15 other birds, 84 contained mice, five other mammals, one insects, while 15 were empty. Quoting another source, Bent said that 176 skulls were found below a roost and only 13 were those of birds. B. H. Warren reports 22 out of 23 Long-eared Owl's stomachs examined contained nothing but mice.

Bent further summarizes that of a total of 3,273 items retrieved from pellets and stomachs of this species, 2,732 were remnants of meadow mice, 497

were deer mice, 14 were shrews, three Norway rat, one juvenile cottontail and birds 26. A listing by species taken is as follows: Mammals were Norway rat, shrews, moles, squirrels, chipmunks, podeet gophers, bats and young rabbits. The birds most often taken are various species of sparrows, red-winged blackbirds, horned larks, meadow lark, towhees, junios, goldfinches, warblers, kinglets, thrushes and tanagers. Beetles and various insects, frogs and an occasional small snake have been eaten by this owl. The list of birds, although long in aggregate, makes up but a small portion of this owl's diet.

D. Feeding sites and foraging areas in terms of habitat preference: No special areas. They cruise widely in the vicinity of nesting and roosting areas.

E. Food availability: Moderate.



Figure 24. Map showing the locations in the Utah oil shale area where the Long-eared Owl has been observed. Round spots indicate locations where the species was seen; triangles indicate nesting sites.

Short-eared Owl

Asio flammeus flammeus (Pontoppidan)

Status - Uncommon permanent resident of northeastern Utah. Probably occurs only as a transient in the oil shale area.

Inventory of present abundance: records of occurrence -

A. From White River Area (Zone 1): Two records: Michael Horton found an active nest in late May, 1973 along the White River near the mouth of Cowboy Canyon, R25E T9S Sec. 27. The nest contained four young. It was situated in a pile of dead tumbleweeds and sagebrush. Several remnants of cattail stalks were worked into the nest. Phil Wagner and Jeff Grandison observed a single individual one fourth mile south of the White River at Asphalt Wash, R24E T10S Sec. 7 in May, 1975 in a cliff area.

B. From peripheral area: Michael Horton states that he has observed the species near marshy areas along Ashley Creek near Jensen and Phil Wagner reports that the species is common in Pleasant Valley south of Myton. Mimi Sangster saw occasional Short-eared Owls at the Ouray National Wildlife Refuge during the summer and fall of 1974 but none there in 1975. One was seen repeatedly by David Condon near Naples in the fall of 1974.

C. Data from literature and other sources: Twomey (1942: 401) saw this type of owl only once at the Ashley Creek marshes on September 21, 1937. Hayward (1967: 34) cites Twomey's record near Jensen and a few others for the general region but none from the oil shale area. One specimen in the University of Utah collection was obtained two miles south of Myton on June 20, 1966, and one was seen by a field party at Pelican Lake on July 22, 1966.

Trends - No indications of any.

Ecology -

A. Habitat preference: Open country at marshy areas, wet lowland meadows, and alfalfa fields in irrigated country. Nests in tule patches or tall grass in damp situations and generally uses this type of habitat for daytime

roosting, but forages widely out over dry prairie country. This is the most diurnal of the owls and is occasionally seen abroad during the day.

B. Number of nest site locations: None.

C. Food and food relations: Bent (1938) reports rodents of various kinds eaten, particularly meadow or field mice. A. K. Fisher's analysis of stomach contents revealed the following: Total examined 101: 1 contained a small bird, 77 mice, 7 other mammals, 7 insects, and 14 were empty. The species list consisted of meadow mice, white-footed mice, shrews, cotton rat, rabbit, pocket gopher, and a mole. The grackle, red-winged blackbird, juncos, various sparrows and one robin made up the birds. Junius Henderson in 1927 reported that 75% of the food of this owl consists of mice. It occasionally eats insects with one stomach containing over 50 grasshoppers. Cahn and Kemp's report of 1930 on 254 stomachs that they examined revealed that 15% contained birds, and there were 137 small mammals. Errington in 1932 from a study of pellets found 68 meadow mice, 115 deer mice, 1 snow bunting, and 1 meadowlark.

D. Feeding sites and foraging areas in terms of habitat preference: None in Zone 1 but in the peripheral area at the Ashley marshes and Stewart Lake near Jensen and the Ouray National Wildlife Refuge.

E. Food availability: High in appropriate areas.



Figure 25. Map showing the locations in the Utah oil shale area where the Short-eared Owl has been observed. Round spots indicate locations where the species was seen; triangle indicates a nesting site.

Saw-whet Owl

Aegolius acadicus acadicus (Gmelin)

Status - Uncommon permanent resident.

Inventory of present abundance: records of occurrence -

A. From White River area (Zone 1): None.

B. From peripheral area: Michael Horton reported that he found a nest with three young in May, 1967, about 10 miles east of Ouray. It was situated in a woodpecker hole in a dead tree. By the following year the tree had been cut down. This is his only observation of this species for the region over a several year period. When we expressed surprise at the nesting site since the species usually breeds at higher elevations in conifers, he stated that he too was amazed, but that his was a positive identification.

C. Data from literature and other sources: Twomey (1942) makes no mention of this owl occurring in the region. Hayward (1967:34) notes two specimens in the collection at Brigham Young University, one taken at Vernal on December 29, 1957, the other at Neola, Duchesne County, on February 4, 1959. They occurred in thickets and wooded areas along lowland streams, and he thought they may have descended from their summer habitat of montane coniferous forest. However, Horton's observation indicates nesting in the lowlands. Three specimens in the University of Utah collection were taken on the periphery of the oil shale area. Two are from Pine Springs, 40 miles southeast of Ouray, July 9, 1966 and one from South Canyon, 46 miles southeast of Ouray July 2, 1967. All were males.

Trends - None evident.

Ecology -

A. Habitat preference: Usually in woodland areas or broken forest land, upon occasion in brushland.

B. Number of nest site locations: None.

C. Food and food relations: Bent (1938) reports that the food of the Saw-whet Owl consists mainly of mice (especially woodland mice), small rats, young red and flying squirrels, chipmunks, shrews, bats and other small mammals. A few small birds, such as sparrows, juncos, and warblers were eaten. A. K. Fisher reports the following stomach analysis results. Total examined 22; 17 contained mice, one a bird, one an insect, and three were empty.

D. Feeding sites and foraging areas in terms of habitat preference: No special areas. Potentially all along the White and Green Rivers and up into the brushy and forested areas throughout the region.

E. Food availability: Moderate.



Figure 26. Map showing the locations in the Utah oil shale area where the Saw-whet Owl has been observed. Round spots indicate locations where the species was seen; triangle indicates a nesting site.

Endangered and Threatened Species Occurring in the Area

The oil shale area in Utah is not very important in terms of endangered and threatened species. Only about five kinds fall in these two categories. All are of wide distribution throughout western North America and occur in the oil shales area only sparsely.

A. Endangered species -

1. Peregrine Falcon - As noted in the species account the evidence is that this species was more abundant in the region 35-40 years ago than today, but it still occurs as a sparse migrant and rare breeder. Its density of population for the region is very low (see table 5). There are many variables such as attachment to nesting territories, maintaining alternate resting and nesting sites, etc. Ratcliffe (1962, and see also Porter and White, 1973) gives the population density for his study area in England in propitious areas and indicates how far apart nesting pairs occur.

2. Bald Eagle - This species is listed under this category with some reservations. In pristine wilderness times the species probably nested in the region and a few pairs may do so today. If the species nests in the region, the breeding population probably represents the southern race which is the subspecies presently designated as an endangered form. However, the status of the species in the region today is primarily that of a winter visitant since individuals migrate in from the far north.

B. Species threatened with endangerment - This category was set up in 1974 with modification of the original Endangered Species legislation. As of the date of this writing the revised official list of species so cataloged had not been released. However the indications are that the following four kinds of raptors that occur in the Utah oil shale area will be on this list (see species accounts for details of occurrence of each in the region).

1. Ferruginous Hawk - A sparse summer resident in the region and casual visitant to the oil shale area.

2. Osprey - Also a sparse summer resident in the region, occurring mostly to the north in the Flaming Gorge area, but a casual migrant through the oil shale area.

3. Prairie Falcon - An uncommon summer resident with a few eyries known from the oil shale area. This is the most important species in this category for the oil shale area in Utah.

4. Burrowing Owl - An uncommon resident species with a few records of occurrence and nest sites discovered, mostly in peripheral areas.

Impact of Development of Oil Shale Resources on Raptors

The extraction of kerogen from the oil shales will create serious environmental side effects such as disruption of some land, a decrease in air quality, destruction of vegetation, lowered water tables and a decrease in the quality of surface water in a region already improverished in water resources. Hordes of people will be attracted to the area and many will inhabit this presently remote and sparsely populated region. There will undoubtedly be adverse effects on the raptors of the environmental perturbations and the human disturbance factor. We envision that the impact on raptors will be both direct and indirect.

A. Direct effects - There will probably be an increased rate of mortality from such factors as road kills, shooting and interference with nests causing exposure and perhaps death of young. With the present remoteness of the region and low density of human population, disturbance by humans is at a very low level. Ironically the disturbance by humans has already started by virtue of the activities of various research teams studying raptors. In addition to our study commissioned by contract No. 74 2753 we have become aware of a

similar study being conducted by personnel of the Division of Wildlife Resources apparently under contact with or at least supported by V.T.N. which in turn is receiving funds from the oil companies that hold the leases to the federal oil shale lands in Utah. The personnel involved in this duplicate study did not seem to know of our study. We happened to meet in the field. As a consequence there was no attempt initially to coordinate efforts. We hear rumors that yet another group from Utah State University has been contacted by V.T.N. and presumably are to launch yet another study. We take a dim view of the utilization of helicopters making repeated visits to eyrie sites, especially early in the season when there may be eggs or small young present and when the likelihood of desertion by adults is enhanced. Incidentally a recent article by White and Sherrod (1973) weighs the advantages and disadvantages of the use of rotor-winged aircraft in raptor surveys and Fyfe and Olendorf (1975) have warned of certain dangers in investigative procedures.

B. Indirect effects - These will arise from disruption of habitat and food, depending on the attributes of the several species. They will probably not be very evident, but in the long run will probably constitute a more serious threat to the birds of prey than the direct effects. Some of the developments that may be expected are as follows.

In connection with impoundment of water along the White River there will be inundation of riparian growths of cottonwoods, willows and tamarix. This will constitute habitat destruction for tree nesting forms such as Cooper's Hawks, Red-tailed Hawks and Great Horned Owls. This may not be as serious as would seem at first glance, for some species, at least, such as the Great Horned Owl will be able to adjust by utilizing alternate cliff-nesting sites, but the overall effect will probably be a lowering of the density of the raptors in the region.

Two species may even be benefited by the changes, namely the Turkey Vulture and Osprey. Vultures are carrion eaters and so might be expected to have more than the normal amount of food in the nature of carcasses from people shooting rodents and lagomorphs and from road kills. Conceivably a reservoir would eventually become stocked with fish which would attract the Osprey, a fish-eating hawk. While presently a transient through the region, a pair or two Ospreys might become summer residents if a permanent and ample food supply comes into being. Possibly the Bald Eagle may be similarly affected since it is both a carrion eater and a fish eater.

As regards food supply this too works both ways. On the one hand indiscriminate shooting of rodents and lagomorphs, especially the more conspicuous diurnal forms such as ground squirrels, may deprive some raptors of potential food since most are meat eaters. On the other hand, the carrion eaters such as the vultures and bald eagles may receive a benefit through increased availability of carrion.

We are not aware of any special localized problem of pesticide usage that would affect the reproductive capacity of raptors in the Utah oil shale areas. However, one may develop in the Uinta Basin. If a city of about 6,000 people is established in the oil shale area, this might be an incentive for increased crop and food production in the Vernal-Jensen area and it is conceivable that pesticides and rodenticides might be used extensively to destroy crop pests, using chemical agents that would enter the food chain. Then with biological magnification, concentrations would be reached in end links such as ospreys, bald eagles and peregrines that would affect egg formation. The abundant presence of mosquitoes along the Green River bottomlands around Ouray is well known to all who visit the area in spring and summer. Eventually there will be mosquito control. Depending on what is used there may or may not be a pesticide problem for birds from mosquito control activity.

The most subtle of all the indirect effects will probably be the intangible effect of more humans and their activities in the region on the raptors. The effects will pertain not so much to the actual mining of the oil shale as it will to the increased traffic from trucks and automobiles and recreational activities such as hiking, picnicking, camping, canoeing and boating. How much of this raptors will tolerate and how much they will adjust is uncertain. This can only be ascertained by long-range follow-up studies involving keeping known eyries and nests under constant surveillance.

Although the intangible effects will be difficult to assess we feel that they will occur. It is a matter of weighing the values of vegetative and wildlife resources against the economic benefits to be gained from development of the oil shale resource. Some suggestions follow concerning further research on raptors and action to mitigate the effect of development on the birds of prey.

Recommendations

From our study we have the following suggestions to offer.

1. As regards the time factor, the present study, with limited funds available and only one full season and part of another, has nevertheless provided an initial inventory of the kinds present and at least a partial assessment of the population density of the kinds known to occur in the oil shale area. What is needed as a sequel is a long-range follow-up study, especially on population densities. Environmental conditions change quickly at times. For example the principal prey species in the region for the Golden Eagle seems to be cottontail rabbits. Rabbits undergo cycles. It will take several years of field studies to determine the relationship between the density of eagles and that of rabbits. It appears to us that during the interval of our study in 1974-75 the rabbit population was low.

Long-term residents of the area expressed the opinion that there are fewer eagles present in the region now than there were a few years ago. Golden eagles may have been more numerous when the density of lagomorphs was up.

2. Our results show that the greatest density of raptor populations occurs along the White River. In all probability, since much water will be needed for the kerogen extraction and development of townsites, there will be dams constructed along the river. We are not sufficiently acquainted with the geological and engineering factors involved in determining suitable dam sites, but we suggest that in the decision-making process the wildlife values, in this case that of the raptors, be taken into account. If there are alternate sites, one should be chosen that would result in the least habitat destruction and interference with the ecological requirements of the raptors involved.

3. For minimizing the direct, lethal effects on the raptors we suggest an early and vigorous educational campaign for new and old residents of the area informing them, through such approaches as posters, lectures and the presence of conservation officers, of the legal protection afforded the raptors under federal and state law along with stringent law enforcement. The economic and esthetic value of birds should be stressed and an appeal made for the public to desist from disturbing nests and young. Certain critical areas could even be closed to the general public. We have learned that canoeing parties of falconers discharge firearms periodically in the hope of flushing raptors from high cliff eyries. This should be discouraged.

Acknowledgments

In our study we have been helped by many individuals several of whom represent various state and federal agencies. We should like to express our appreciation to all of the following for their help. First we gratefully acknowledge the aid of personnel of the two sponsoring agencies, the Utah Division of Wildlife Resources and the Bureau of Land Management. For the

former, Earl A. Sparks, Environmental Specialist, has acted in a supervisory capacity. Field observations were furnished by Phil Wagner, Raptor Biologist and Jeffrey Grandison, formerly Conservation Officer for the District, who is presently engaged in environmental impact studies in the area. For the Bureau of Land Management, Don Duff of the Salt Lake Regional Office has aided in an advisory capacity. Personnel of the Vernal Office, particularly James Zumbo, Wildlife Biologist, have been especially helpful, furnishing transportation when needed, accompanying Mike Perry in the field and contributing various records of raptors they observed. Barry Betts of the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service has helped in many ways. For the Utah Parks and Recreation Division we acknowledge the help of the Director, Gordon E. Harmston, who sanctioned Michael Perry's participation in the study during his off time when not engaged in his duties at the Natural History State Museum in Vernal; to Ivan Hall and Ernest Untermann who furnished much information on roads and topography of the region. Ivan helped on the canoe trips by dropping the parties off at the launching point and picking the men up at the termination point at the appointed times. Howard Mc Cowan, ranger at Steincker Reservoir, rescued us on one occasion when we suffered a breakdown far out in the remote back country.

Several students from the University of Utah helped in the field work from time to time. These were Jim Blanchard, Tom Boner, Bill Pingree and Dave Prowse. The canoe trips were the special responsibility of Tom Boner. Two falconers, James Hackett and Michael Horton, contributed observations of raptors in the oil shale area as did Becky Surdick who was an observer on certain Division of Wildlife Resources field trips studying the raptors of the area. Bill Pingree spent many hours extracting from the literature data on food habits for the various kinds of raptors.

LITERATURE CITED

Behle, W. H. and J. Ghiselin, 1958. Additional data on the birds of the Uinta Mountains and Basin of northeastern Utah. Great Basin Nat. 18:1-22.

Behle, W. H. and M. L. Perry, 1975. Utah birds: check-list, seasonal and ecological occurrence charts and guides to bird finding. Utah Museum Nat. Hist. vii + 1-142.

Bent, A. C., 1937. Life histories of North American birds of prey. Pt. 1. U. S. Nat. Mus. Bull. 167: viii + 1-409.

Bent, A. C., 1938. Life histories of North American birds of prey. Pt. 2. U. S. Nat. Mus. Bull. 170: viii + 1-482.

Craighead, J. J. and F. C. Craighead, 1956. Hawks, owls and wildlife. Wildlife Mgmt. Inst., Washington, D. C.: xix + 1-443.

Eyre, L. and D. Paul, 1973. Raptors of Utah. Utah Division of Wildlife Resources: iv + 1-76.

Fyfe, R. W. and Olendorff, R. R., 1975. Minimizing the dangers of nesting studies to raptors and other similar species. B.L.M. Draft MS.: 1-20.

Hayward, C. L., 1967. Birds of the Upper Colorado River Basin. Brigham Young Univ. Sci. Bull., Biol. Ser. 9(2):1-64.

Hayward, C. L., D. E. Beck, and W. W. Tanner, 1958. Zoology of the Upper Colorado River Basin. I. The biotic communites. Brigham Young Univ. Sci. Bull., Biol. Ser. 1:1-74.

May, J. B., 1935. The hawks of North America. Nat'l. Audubon Soc., New York: xxxii + 1-140.

McAtee, W. L., 1935. Food habits of common hawks. U. S. Dept. Agr. Circ. No. 370. Washington, D. C.: 1-36.

Murphy, J. R., F. J. Caminzind, D. G. Smith and J. B. Weston, 1969. Nesting ecology of raptorial birds in Central Utah. Brigham Young Univ. Sci. Bull., Biol. Ser. 10:1-36.

Olsen, P. F., 1973. Wildlife resources of the Utah oil shale area. Utah State Dept. Natural Resources Publ. No. 74-2:2:x + 1-147.

Porter, R. D. and C. M. White, 1973. The peregrine falcon in Utah, emphasizing ecology and competition with the prairie falcon. Brigham Young Univ. Sci. Bull., Biol. Ser. 18(1):1-74.

Ratcliffe, D. A., 1962. Breeding density in the peregrine Falco peregrinus and raven Corvus corax. Ibis 104:13-39.

Smith, D. G. and J. R. Murphy, 1973. Breeding ecology of raptors in the eastern Great Basin of Utah. Brigham Young Univ. Sci. Bull., Biol. Ser. 18(3):1-76.

Twomey, A. C., 1942. Birds of the Uinta Basin, Utah. Ann. Carnegie Mus. 28, Art. 17:341-490.

White, C. M. and S. K. Sherrod, 1973. Advantages and disadvantages of the use of rotor-winged aircraft in raptor surveys. Raptor Res. 7(314):97-104, 4 figs.

Woffinden, N. D. and J. R. Murphy, 1975. Population dynamics of wintering bald eagles in central Utah. Utah Acad. Sci., Arts and Lett. 52 (Pt. 1): 67-68.

APPENDIX I

Birds Other Than Raptors Observed in The Oil Shale Areas in Utah

Although no interest was expressed in the contract in birds other than raptors that occur in the oil shale areas, we nevertheless made incidental note of those kinds encountered in the field work on raptors. Our list will supplement the summary of birds occurring in the region given by Olsen (1973). Some of the species doubtless serve as food for raptors, especially the bird hawks (occipiters) and falcons. Small mammals, mostly rodents, which form a large part of the diet of the raptors are treated by Perry as a separate part of the contract.

Great Blue Heron (Ardea herodias): Uncommon summer resident.

Canada Goose (Branta canadensis): Common resident, nesting along White and Green Rivers, more common in migration.

Mallard (Anas platyrhynchos): Uncommon permanent resident and migrant.

Gadwell (Anas strepera): Uncommon transient.

Green-winged Teal (Anas crecca): Common transient.

Cinnamon Teal (Anas cyanoptera): Common transient.

Northern Shoveler (Anas clypeata): Uncommon transient.

Common Merganser (Mergus merganser): Uncommon transient.

Ring-necked Pheasant (Phasianus colchicus): Uncommon permanent resident.

Chukar (Alectoris chuker): Common permanent resident.

Sandhill Crane (Grus canadensis): Common transient.

Killdeer (Charadrius vociferus): Common permanent resident.

Spotted Sandpiper (Actitus macularia): Common summer resident.

Black-necked Stilt (Himantopus mexicanus): Uncommon summer resident.

Mourning Dove (Zenaida macroura): Common summer resident.

Common Nighthawk (Chordeiles minor): Common summer resident and transient.

White-throated Swift (Aeronautes saxatalis): Common summer resident.

Broad-tailed Hummingbird (Selasphorus platycercus): Common summer resident.

Common Flicker (Colaptes auratus): Common permanent resident.

Western Kingbird (Tyrannus verticalis): Common summer resident.

Ash-throated Flycatcher (Myiarchus cinceraeus): Common summer resident.

Say's Phoebe (Sayornis saya): Common summer resident.

Willow (Traill's) Flycatcher (Empidonax traillii): Common summer resident.

Horned Lark (Eremophilus alpestris): Common permanent resident.

Violet-green Swallow (Tachycineta thalassina): Common summer resident.

Tree Swallow (Iridoprocne bicolor): Uncommon transient.

Rough-winged Swallow (Stelgidopteryx ruficollis): Uncommon summer resident.

Barn Swallow (Hirundo rustica): Uncommon summer resident.

Cliff Swallow (Petrochelidon pyrrhonota): Uncommon summer resident.

Black-billed Magpie (Pica pica): Uncommon permanent resident.

Common Raven (Corus corax): Common permanent resident.

Pinon Jay (Gymnorhinus cyanocephalus): Common permanent resident.

Black-capped chickadee (Parus atricapillus): Common permanent resident.

Brown Creeper (Certhia familiaris): Uncommon winter visitant.

House Wren (Troglodytes aedon): Uncommon winter visitant.

Rock Wren (Salpinctes obsoletus): Common summer resident.

Mockingbird (Mimus polyglottis): Uncommon summer resident.

Sage Thrasher (Oreoscoptes montanus): Common summer resident.

American Robin (Turdus migratorius): Common permanent resident.

Mountain Bluebird (Sialia currucoides): Common summer resident.

Blue-gray Gnatcatcher (Polioptila caerulea): Common summer resident.

Ruby-crowned Kinglet (Regulus calendula): Common winter visitant.

Loggerhead Shrike (Lanius ludovicianus): Common summer resident.

Starling (Sturnus vulgaris): Common permanent resident.

Warbling Vireo (Vireo gilvus): Common summer resident.

Yellow Warbler (Dendroica petechia): Common summer resident.

Bay-breasted Warbler (Dendroica castanea): Accidental.

Common Yellow Throat (Geothlypis trichas): Uncommon summer resident.

Yellow-breasted Chat (Icteria virens): Uncommon summer resident.

American Redstart (Setophaga ruticilla): Uncommon summer resident.

House Sparrow (Passer domesticus): Common permanent resident.

Western Meadowlark (Sturnella neglecta): Uncommon permanent resident.

Red-winged Blackbird (Agelaius phoeniceus): Uncommon permanent resident.

Northern (Bullock's) Oriole (Icterus galbula): Common summer resident.

Brewer's Blackbird (Euphagus cyanocephalus): Common permanent resident.

Brown-headed Cowbird (Molothrus ater): Common summer resident.

Western Tanager (Piranga ludoviciana): Common transient.

Black-headed Grosbeck (Pheucticus melanocephalus): Common summer resident.

Lazuli Bunting (Passerima amoena): Common summer resident.

House Finch (Carpodacus mexicanus): Common permanent resident.

American Goldfinch (Spinus tristis): Common permanent resident.

Green-tailed Towhee (Chlorura chlorura): Common summer resident.

Rufous-sided Towhee (Pipilo erythrophthalmus): Common permanent resident.

Vesper Sparrow (*Pooecetes gramineus*): Common summer resident.

Lark Sparrow (*Chondestes grammacus*): Common summer resident.

Black-throated Sparrow (*Amphispiza bilineata*): Uncommon summer resident.

Sage Sparrow (*Amphispiza belli*): Uncommon summer resident.

Dark-eyed (Oregon) Junco (*Junco hyemalis*): Common winter visitant.

Chipping Sparrow (*Spizella passerina*): Common summer resident.

Brewer's Sparrow (*Spizella breweri*): Common summer resident.

White-crowned Sparrow (*Zonotrichia leucophrys*): Common transient.

Song Sparrow (*Melospiza melodia*): Common permanent resident.

Nongame Mammal Inventory of the Utah Oil Shale Area

by

Michael L. Perry

(Consulting Biologist, Natural History State Museum)

Prepared for

Utah State Division of Wildlife Resources

and

The U.S. Bureau of Land Management

1975

Acknowledgements

Appreciation is extended to David Prouse who assisted in the field work during the fall of 1974 and without whose help this report would not have been possible.

To Earl Sparks, Environmental Specialist, Utah State Division of Wildlife Resources, for technical assistance and serving as liason for the Division and the Bureau of Land Management. Clair Huff, Regional Director, Northeast District, Division of Wildlife Resources, and Jeffrey Grandison, Oil Shale Biologist, Division of Wildlife Resources, were helpful in providing information of mammals of the area.

Jim Zumbo, Bureau of Land Management, Wildlife Biologist, provided transportation to the area on many occasions and contributed to the collection of the data.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgements ii

List of Figures IV

List of Tables V

Abstract VI

Introduction 1

Contract Agreement 1

Technical Objectives 1

Location of the Study Area 2

Physical Features of the Area 2

Methods and Materials 2

Literature Survey 3

Summary of Field Work 4

Vegetative Associations 5

Habitat Utilization 15

Species Accounts 25

Population Densities and Habitat Preferences 43

Threatened and Endangered Species 47

Harvest and Trapping of Carnivores and Furbearers 48

Impact and Recommendations 49

Literature Cited 50

List of Figures

1. Census localities of Nongame Mammals of Utah Oil Shale Lands 8

List of Tables

1. Gazetteer of Collecting Localities Within the Oil Shale Study Area 7

2. Checklist of Nongame Mammals of the Utah Oil Shale Area 9

3. Species and Number of Small Nongame Mammals Collected in Zone 2 of the Utah Oil Shale Area 1974 - 1975 11

4. Habitat Utilization of Small Nongame Mammals on Utah Oil Shale Area 16

5. Comparison of Numbers of Mammals Collected by Olsen, 1973, and Perry, 1974 - 75 17

6. Numbers and Percentages of Occurrence of Small Mammals Collected in Each Vegetative Type of the Utah Oil Shale Area 1974 - 1975 44

SELECTED WATER RESOURCES ABSTRACTS
INPUT TRANSACTION FORM

3. *Accession No.* W

4. *Title*
Nongame Mammal Inventory of the Utah Oil Shale Area

7. *Author(s)*
Perry, Michael L.

10. *Project No.*

9. *Organization*
Natural History State Museum
Vernal, Utah

11. *Contract/Grant No.*
52500-CT4-450-BLM

15. *Supplementary Notes*
Project conducted for: The State of Utah Division of Wildlife Resources
1596 West North Temple
Salt Lake City, Utah 84116

16. *Abstract* During the spring and summer of 1974 and 1975 a field inventory of nongame mammals was conducted on Utah's oil shale lands in northeastern Utah. The area, located south of Bonanza, Utah, is characterized as a dry, semi-arid plateau sloping gently to the north where it meets the Uinta Basin. Mammal studies conducted by previous researchers revealed a diversity of sixty-three different kinds. In 1973 Olsen collected and studied small nongame mammals of the oil shale area and identified abundance and densities. The present study is a continuation of Olsen's small mammal investigation and thus serves as a "monitor" to his study.

Six vegetative types were sampled during the survey: 1) Cottonwood, willow, and tamarix 2) desert shrub 3) salt desert 4) greasewood 5) sagebrush and 6) pinyon-juniper. The ecological preference, relative abundance, and density were determined for each of the species.

Specimens were collected with snap traps set at 30 foot intervals for two to three consecutive nights at each site. Twenty-five localities were sampled in the study area. Eighteen kinds of small mammals were found to occur in the area. The most common species were in order of their abundance, Deer Mouse (Peromyscus maniculatus), Colorado Chipmunk (Eutamias quadrivittatus), Apache Pocket Mouse (Perognathus apache), Ord's Kangaroo Rat (Dipodomys ordii), Desert Woodrat (Neotoma lepida), and the Pinyon Mouse (Peromyscus trueii).

17a. *Descriptors*
*Nongame mammals, abundance, densities, vegetative types, snap traps, small mammals, predators.

17b. *Identifiers*
*Oil shale area, Uintah County, Uinta Basin, White River, Evacuation Creek, *Utah

17c. *COWRR Field & Group* 06G, 05C

18. *Availability*

Send To:
WATER RESOURCES SCIENTIFIC INFORMATION CENTER
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20240

Abstractor Michael L. Perry *Institution* Natural History State Museum

Introduction

Contract Agreement - An agreement between the Utah State Division of Wildlife Resources and the Contractors, Drs. William H. Behle, Arden R. Gaufin, and Michael L. Perry was entered into on July 1, 1974 for studies of Wildlife on the Utah Oil Shale Area. This study was made for the U.S. Bureau of Land Management. The contractor Michael L. Perry, is thus responsible for the studies of nongame mammals which is covered in this report. The provisions of the contract originally required a draft final report on April 15, 1975 and the final report 45 days after receiving the Division's comments. An amendment to this granted extension to September 15, 1975 for the draft final report and the final report no later than November 30, 1975.

A progress report was submitted on January 1, 1975 summarizing field work and forecasting studies to be completed the following spring and summer.

This report constitutes the total information obtained during the study.

Technical Objectives - The purposes of the nongame mammal study were:
1) to establish relative numbers and abundance of each nongame mammal species (common, uncommon, or rare occurrence) by habitat preference or area. (This was to be a continuation of Dr. P.F. Olsen's 1973 small mammal study.)
2) to gather hunting and trapping harvest data on carnivores and furbearers.
From the information obtained through field studies and examination of data in the literature, the existing faunistic situation could be assessed and trends and recommendations regarding management could be identified.

Location of the Study Area - The general description of Utah's Oil Shale development lands, as given in attachment A of the contract, is "the White River Basin and Green River within the area containing oil shale yields of 25 gallons per ton". Within that area two zones of importance occur. Zone 1 is the area designated for the aquatic studies and includes the White River and its perrenial tributaries. Zone 2, or the land designated for the terrestial wildlife studies, is the area within the boundaries of: the White River from the Utah-Colorado border west to Bitter Creek; south along Bitter Creek to the junction of township 12 and 13, then east to the Utah Colorado border, and north along the state border to the White River.

Physical Features of the Area - The oil shale region may be characterized as a barren, semi-arid country dissected by precipitous canyons along the White River and its drainages. Throughout the region are numerous buttes and mesas. Along the floodplains of the White River are riparian woodlands which support the greatest variety and number of mammals. South of the White River the East Tavaputs Plateau rises gently to an elevation of 8,000 feet and breaks off sharply to a region of east-west cliffs known as the Book Cliffs. North of the White River the Uinta Basin Constitutes the drainage of Green River.

Methods and Materials

The initial emphasis was to survey the literature and extract material pertaining to the area. Several preliminary trips were made (in conjunc-

tion with the initial raptor survey work) to investigate road conditions and accessibility to collecting sites. As specified in the contract the small mammal portion of the study was to be a continuation of the study conducted by Dr. Pete Olsen in 1973. It was therefore suggested by the DWR to follow the exact methods and proceedures in censusing as those used by Olsen. In essence the study became a "monitor" to Olsen's work. The collecting sites were then identified (Olsen 1973) and censused in the following manner: "A line of 50 Museum Special or Victor -4- Way mouse-size snap traps with single traps were set at 30 foot intervals and baited with a mixture of peanut butter and oatmeal" (Olsen 1973:21) and set at each of the 25 different sites in the study area, and run for two to three consecutive nights. Additional collections were made with large rat traps and live traps in different areas to run a comparison. Additional specimens were also collected with a 4.10 shotgun or recorded by sight observation when possible. David Prouse, a University of Utah graduate in Biology, assisted in the collection of the data and spent 36 man days during August and September 1974, in the field.

During June and the first part of July 1975 additional censusing was conducted along the riparian woodlands of the White River. These areas were not collected previously by Olsen but it was felt the data would compliment and constitute a more complete picture of the area.

Harvest information on hunting and trapping of nongame mammals was obtained and included within this report.

Literature Survey

A thorough search of the literature and prior studies was made by Olsen

and included in his report on Wildlife Resources of Utah Oil Shale Lands (1973: 20,21). By way of summary the findings were that in the 1930's some 500 specimens were collected by the Carnegie Museum. In 1952 Durrant published "Mammals of Utah" in which 200 specimens were examined from the oil shale area. Kelson (1951) collected 145 specimens from the area and Hansen (1954) obtained six ground squirrels from the Willow Creek area. Of primary importance was the study Ranck (1961) made of the East Tavaputs Plateau and from which Olsen based much of his work from of the oil shale area. Olsen (1973) updated Ranck's work and collected during August and September 1973 from each of the representative habitats in the oil shale area. He obtained 717 records of 20 species. Inasmuch as that report contained records and data of all mammals in the region, this report is restricted soley to the nongame species.

Summary of Field Work

To maintain consistency with the methodology initiated by Olsen field work commenced in late August through September 1974 or a total of 36 man days. Prior to this time field observations were conducted by flush techniques. The following spring 14 man days were spent in late June and July collecting along the White River and Repeated stops at those censused the previous year.

Vegetative Associations

Six vegetative communities were recognized in the oil shale environmental impact statement (USDI, 1973) and again used by Olsen (1973). To maintain consistency with those reports and the data available for those specific areas the same vegetative classifications will be used.

1) Cottonwood, willow, tamarix - This association occurs primarily along the White River, also called riparian woodland, and occurs somewhat sparsly in the major canyon tributaries with intermittent or permanent streams. Intermixed throughout is greasewood, squawbush and salt grass. This area is perhaps the most propitious in terms of numbers of small mammals. The thick understory provides not only protection but an abundant source of food.

2) Desert shrub - This occurs extensively north of the White River and to a lesser extent in the southern areas where xeric conditions prevail. Vegetation is sparse and consists of greasewood, shadscale, big sage, four-wing saltbush and rabbitbrush. Other plants are horsebrush, black sage, ricegrass and cheatgrass.

3) Salt desert shrub - These plants are associated with drier, more saline soils and are represented by mat saltbush and Nuttall's saltbush. Depending upon edaphic conditions other plants such as shadscale, big sage, winterfat, and horsebrush will be found.

4) Greasewood - This is found in most of the drainages and river bottoms that are subject to periodic flooding or high water tables. On the drier benchlands its growth is more stunted and sparse. Plants of lesser

importance are rabbitbrush, big sage, alkali sacaton, and saltgrass.

5) Sagebrush - This is the dominant plant on higher benchlands where more fertile soils exist and occupies the transition zone with the pinyon-juniper. At the lower elevation the transition between the salt desert scrub types is gradual and is represented by black sage, rabbitbrush, and shadscale. In association with this community throughout is snakeweed, bluestem wheatgrass and, Indian ricegrass.

6) Pinyon-Juniper - This area dominates the vegetative types throughout the east Taviputts Plateau from 5000 feet to 7,500 feet in elevation. At the lower elevations it is transitional with sagebrush and forms more open stands frequently extending into projections onto the buttes, mesas, and rocky slopes. In these areas often where poorer soils prevail the trees are frequently more stunted and more sparse.

Table 1 - Gazetteer of Collecting Localities Within the Oil Shale Study Area

Site Number	Vegetative Association	Elevation	Location (Range, Township, Section)
1	S	5750	SW¼ 20, T 10S, R 25E
2	G	5100	NE¼ 13, T 10S, R 24E
3	SDS	5250	NW¼ 13, T 10S, R 24E
4	SDS	5150	NE¼ 12, T 10S, R 24E
5	PJ	5350	NE¼ 23, T 10S, R 24E
6	CWT	5250	SW¼ 21, T 10S, R 24E
7	S	5350	SE¼ 28, T 10S, R 24E
8	S	5550	NW¼ 34, T 10S, R 24E
9	PJ	5550	NW¼ 35, T 10S, R 24E
10	PJ	5450	SE¼ 23, T 10S, R 24E
11	DS	5550	NW¼ 20, T 10S, R 25E
12	S	5550	SW¼ 31, T 10S, R 25E
13	S	5700	NW¼ 18, T 11S, R 25E
14	PJ	6100	SE¼ 23, T 11S, R 24E
15	PJ	6100	SE¼ 31, T 11S, R 24E
16	PJ	5675	NE¼ 23, T 11S, R 23E
17	S	5400	NW¼ 18, T 11S, R 24E
18	G	5500	NW¼ 7, T 11S, R 24E
19	PJ	6275	NW¼ 20, T 12S, R 24E
20	S	6075	SW¼ 2, T 12S, R 23E
21	CWT	5875	SE¼ 32, T 11S, R 23E
22	CWT	5325	SE¼ 23, T 11S, R 22E
23	S	5175	NW¼ 11, T 11S, R 22E
24	G	5050	NW¼ 27, T 10S, R 22E
25	G	5575	SW¼ 21, T 12S, R 23E

Figure 1. Census localities of Nongame Mammals of Utah Oil Shale Lands



TABLE 2

Checklist of Nongame Mammals of the Utah Oil Shale Area

Legend

A - Abundant
C - Common
U - Uncommon
R - Rare

*Occurrence in Zone 2 of Utah Oil Shale Area

Common and Scientific Name	Relative Abundance
Vagrant Shrew - Sorex vagrans obscurus	R
*Big Myotis - Myotis lucifugus carrissimal	U
Yuma Myotis - Myotis yumanensis yumanensis	U
*Long-eared Myotis - Myotis evotis evotis	U
California Myotis - Myotis californicus stephensi	U
*Small-footed Myotis - Myotis subulatus melanorhimus	U
Silver-haired Bat- Lasionycteris noctivigans	U
*Western Pipistrelle - Pipistrellus hesperus hesperus	C
*Big Brown Bat - Eptesicus fuscus pallidus	C
Townsend's Big-eared Bat - Corynorhinus townsendii Pallescens	U
*Pallid Bat - Anthrozous pallidus pallidus	C
Brazilian Free-tailed Bat - Tadarida brasiliensis mexicana	R
*White-tailed Jack Rabbit - Lepus townsendii townsendii	U-R
*Black-tailed Jack Rabbit - Lepus californicus deserticola	U-R
*Least Chipmunk - Eutamias minimus operarius	C
*Colorado Chipmunk - Eutamias quadrivittatus hopiensis	C
*Yellow-bellied Marmot - Marmota flaviventris nosophora	U

TABLE 2

Continued

Common and Scientific Name	Relative Abundance
*White-tailed Antelope Squirrel - Ammospermosphilus lecurus pennipes	C
Thirteen-lined Ground Squirrel - Spermophilus tridecemlineatus parvus	U
*Rock Squirrel - Spermophilus variegatus utah	R
*Golden-mantled Ground Squirrel - Spermophilus lateralis lateralis	C
White-tailed Prairie Dog - Cynomys lecurus	U
*Northern Pocket Gopher - Thomomys talpoides ocius	U
*Olive-backed Pocket Mouse - Perognathus fasciatus callistus	U
*Apache Pocket Mouse - Perognathus apache caryi	C
*Ord's Kangaroo Rat - Dipodomys ordii uintensis	C
*Western Harvest Mouse - Reithrodontomys megalotis megalotis	U
*Canyon Mouse - Peromyscus crinitus auripectus	U
*Deer Mouse - Peromyscus maniculatus nebrascensis	A
Brush Mouse - Peromyscus boylii rowleyi	R
*Pinyon Mouse - Peromyscus truei truei	C
*Northern Grasshopper Mouse - Onychomys leucogaster pallescens	U
*Desert Wood Rat - Neotoma lepida sanrafaeli	C
*Bushy-tailed Wood Rat - Neotoma cinerea macrodon	C

TABLE 3

Species and Number of Small Nongame Mammals

Collected in Zone 2 of the

Utah Oil Shale Area

1974 - 1975

Site No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Habitat	S	G	SDS	SDS	PJ	CWT	S	S
Species								
Eutamias minimus operarius								
Eutamias quadrivittatus hopiensis				4				
Ammospermophilus leucurus pennipes			1	3				1
Spermophilus variegatus utah								
Spermophilus lateralis lateralis								
Thomomys talpoides ocius						1		
Perognathus fasciatus callistus					1			
Perognathus apache caryi		3	7	3			1	3
Dipodomys ordii uintensis			8		10			
Peromyscus crinitus auripectus		1					1	3
Peromyscus maniculatus nebrascensis	11	7	20	24	32	27	41	30
Peromyscus truei truei				1				
Onychomys leucogaster pallescens								
Neotoma lepida sanrafaeli				4				
Neotoma cinerea macrodon								

TABLE 3

Continued

Site No.	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
Habitat	PJ	PJ	DS	S	S	PJ	PJ	PJ
Species								
Eutamias minimus operarius								
Eutamias quadrivittatus hopiensis							13	9
Ammospermophilus leucurus pennipes			1					
Spermophilus variegatus utah								
Spermophilus lateralis lateralis		2						
Thomomys talpoides ocius								
Perognathus fasciatus callistus								
Perognathus apache caryi	2							
Dipodomys ordii uintensis			1					1
Peromyscus crinitus auripectus								
Peromyscus maniculatus nebrascensis	53	21	30	62	38	60	21	21
Peromyscus truei truei		1						2
Onychomys leucogaster pallescens				1				
Neotoma lepida sanrafaeli		1		1				3
Neotoma cinerea macrodon	1							1

TABLE 3

Continued

Site No.	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
Habitat	S	G	PJ	S	CWT	CWT	S	G
Species								
Eutamias minimus operarius					1			1
Eutamias quadrivittatus hopiensis	7	3					2	1
Ammospermophilus leucurus pennipes							1	
Spermophilus variegatus utah	1							
Spermophilus lateralis lateralis								
Thomomys talpoides ocius								
Perognathus fasciatus callistus								
Perognathus apache caryi			1	1				
Dipodomys ordii uintensis		1					1	
Peromyscus crinitus auripectus								
Peromyscus maniculatus nebrascensis	33	32	14	25	19	26	17	13
Peromyscus truei truei		2						
Onychomys leucogaster pallescens								
Neotoma lepida sanrafaeli	1		1					
Neotoma cinerea macrodon	1						1	

TABLE 3

Continued

Site No.	25	TOTAL
Habitat	G	
Species		
Eutamias minimus operarius		2
Eutamias quadrivittatus hopiensis	1	40
Ammospermophilus leucurus pennipes		9
Spermophilus variegatus utah		1
Spermophilus lateralis lateralis	1	2
Thomomys talpoides ocius		1
Perognathus fasciatus callistus		1
Perognathus apache caryi		21
Dipodomys ordii uintensis		22
Peromyscus crinitus auripectus		5
Peromyscus maniculatus nebrascensis	21	698
Peromyscus truei truei	1	7
Onychomys leucogaster pallescens		1
Neotoma lepida sanrafaeli	1	12
Neotoma cinerea macrodon		4
	Total	827

Habitat Utilization

Habitat preference by species was determined on the basis of the number of individuals captured both by Olsen (1973:63) and Perry. A species having a high frequency of occurrence (Table 6, and Olsen 1973:63) is represented with a "+", and lower percentages with an "X", and "-" respectively.

The salt desert shrub supports the largest amount of "high usage" mammals namely, white-tailed antelope squirrel, Apache pocket mouse, Ord's kangaroo rat, and deer mouse, while the pinyon-juniper association contains the largest number of species with "high and moderate" usage combined. The cottonwood-willow-tamarix type supports the lowest number of species although the deer mice occur in large numbers.

TABLE 4

Habitat Utilization of Small Nongame Mammals on Utah Oil Shale Area

Usage: + = High
X = Moderate
- = Low

Habitat	Cottonwood Willow-Tamarix	Desert Shrub	Salt Desert Shrub	Greasewood	Sagebrush	Pinyon-Juniper
Species						
Eutamias minimus operarius	X	X	-	X	X	X
Eutamias quadrivittatus hopiensis	-	X	X	X	X	+
Ammospermophilus leucurus pennipes	-	X	+	-	X	X
Spermophilus variegatus utah	-	-	-	-	-	-
Spermophilus lateralis lateralis	-	-	-	X	X	X
Thomomys talpoides ocius	X	-	-	-	-	-
Perognathus fasciatus callistus	-	X	-	-	X	-
Perognathus apache caryi	-	-	+	X	+	X
Dipodomys ordii uintensis	-	X	+	X	+	-
Peromyscus crinitus auripectus	-	X	-	X	X	-
Peromyscus maniculatus nebrascensis	+	+	+	+	+	+
Peromyscus truei truei	-	-	X	X	-	+
Onychomys leucogaster pallescens	-	X	-	-	-	-
Neotoma lepida sanrafaeli	X	-	X	X	X	X
Neotoma cinerea macrodon	-	-	-	-	X	X

TABLE 5

Comparison of Numbers of Mammals

Collected by

Olsen, 1973 and Perry, 1974-75



Eutamias minimus operarius



Eutanias quadrivittatus hopiensis

TABLE 5

Continued



Ammospermophilus leucurus pennipes



Spermophilus variegatus utah

TABLE 5

Continued



Spermophilus lateralis lateralis



Thomomys talpoides ocius

TABLE 5

Continued



Perognathus fasciatus callistus



Perognathus apache caryi

TABLE 5

Continued



Dipodomys ordii uintensis



Peromyscus crinitus auripectus

TABLE 5

Continued



Peromyscus maniculatus nebrascensis



Peromyscus truei truei

TABLE 5

Continued



Onychomys leucogaster pallescens



Neotoma lepida sanrafaeli

TABLE 5

Continued



Neotoma cinera macrodon

Species Accounts

Vagrant Shrew
Sorex vagrans obscurus

Relative abundance - Rare

Range in general oil shale area - Found sparsely throughout the area in high mountains and adjacent valleys, generally above 7,000 feet.

Habitat - Ecotones bordering permanent streams, lakes, and ponds.

Occurrence in White River study area (zone 2) - No records.

Extralimital records - Ranck (1961) collected two specimens near Hatch Cabin, South Canyon, north of P.R. Springs, 7,200 feet. Durrant (1952) examined specimens from Smith and Morehouse Canyon, 7,000 feet, Summit County; Mirror Lake, 10,000 feet, Duchesne County; junction of Deep and Carter Creeks, 7,900 feet, Daggett County; and Paradise Park, 10,000 feet, Uinta Mountains, and junction of Trout and Ashley Creeks, 9,700 feet, Uintah County.

Big Myotis - Myotis lucifugus carissimal

Yuma Myotis - Myotis yumanensis yumanensis

Long-eared Myotis - Myotis evotis evotis

California Myotis - Myotis californicus stephensi

Small-footed Myotis - Myotis subulatus melanorhimus

Silver-haired Bat - Lasionycteris noctivigans

Western Pipistrelle - Pipistrellus hesperus hesperus

Big Brown Bat - Eptesicus fuscus pallidus

Townsend's Big-eared Bat - Corynorhinus townsendii pallescens

Pallid Bat - Anthrozous pallidus pallidus

Brazilian Free-tailed Bat - Tadarida brasiliensis mexicana

Relative abundance - Little study has been done with bats and the records of occurrence are substanstiated by Ranck's observations and Durrant (1952). All of the above mentioned species have been either seen or collected in the oil shale study area. The big brown bat and the western pipestrelle are common in the area. Durrant (1952) indicates the Yuma myotis, California myotis, small-footed myotis, pallid bat and the Brazilian free-tailed bats, are probably near their northern limits of distribution, thus their occurrence would tend to be uncommon to rare.

Range in general oil shale area - Found throughout the area.

Habitat - Semi-arid desert frequenting areas with rocky outcrops, cliffs, and rock overhangs.

Occurrence in White River study area (zone 2) - Two pallid bats and one big brown bat were collected by Perry along Evacuation Creek five miles south of Ignatio Stage Stop. Confirmed records of occurrence were made by Ranck (1961) for all other species.

Extralimital records of occurrence - Durrant (1952:41) examined: a big myotis, Myotis lucifugus, collected at Willow Creek, Uintah County, 5,250 feet

25 miles south of Ouray; a long-eared Myotis, Myotis evotis evotis, from Willow Creek, Uintah County, 5,250 feet, 25 miles south of Ouray; a long-eared bat, Corynorhinus townsendii pallescens, east side of confluence of the Green and White Rivers, one mile southeast of Ouray, 4,700 feet; pallid bat, Antrozous pallidus, Willow Creek, Uintah County, 5,250 feet, 25 miles south of Ouray; record obtained of Brazilian free-tailed bat, Tadarida brasiliensis mexicana, at Jensen, Uintah County (Hardy 1941:294).

White-tailed Jack Rabbit
Lepus townsendii townsendii

Relative abundance - Uncommon

Range in general oil shale area - Found throughout region concentrating in areas of permanent water.

Habitat - Brushy areas in close proximity to streams or ponds. Near farmlands, grassy foothills and mountains.

Occurrence in White River study area (zone 2) - Ranck states that he frequently observed white-tails in the vicinity of the Green and White Rivers. Three individuals were observed near Bitter Creek and the White River by Perry and Prouse, August 1974.

Extralimital records - Ranck found road-kills near Ouray at the Green and White Rivers. Durrant (1952:76) reports specimens from: 18 miles east of Mountain Home, Duchesne County; 17 miles northeast of Vernal, three miles southwest of Vernal, and 18 miles east of Vernal, Uintah County.

Black-tailed Jack Rabbit
Lepus californicus deserticola

Relative abundance - Uncommon - Rare

Range in general oil shale area - Throughout

Habitat - Desert shrub, pinyon-juniper, and open prairies.

Occurrence in White River study area (zone 2) - At peak years (1969, 1970) the black-tail has been observed commonly by personnel of the D.W.R. and oil field workers (Olsen 1973:54). Prior to and after those years occurrence has been rare. Ranck (1961) and Olsen (1973) both state their abundance as rare. Perry and Prouse add three more observations, two from Hell Hole Canyon and one from Asphalt Wash.

Extralimital records - Olsen (1973:54) recorded two specimens, one, one mile south of Bonanza, and one, ten miles west of Bonanza.

Least Chipmunk - Eutamias minimus operarius

Colorado Chipmunk - Eutamias Quadrivittatus hopiensis

Relative abundance - Common

Range in general oil shale area - Throughout

Habitat - From dry semi-arid desert shrub to high elevation aspens and conifers. The Colorado chipmunk was found more commonly in the lower elevation xeric conditions while the least chipmunk was observed more frequently at higher elevation pinyon-junipers, south of the study area.

Occurrence in White River study area (zone 2) - The Colorado chipmunk was the commoner of the two species in the oil shale area. Ranck and Olsen found both species inhabiting the region but the Colorado with a higher frequency in the lower regions of zone 2. Thirty-eight specimens of the Colorado chipmunk were collected by Perry in the area and only two least chipmunks from the same region (Table 2).

Extralimital records - Ranck found the least chipmunk commonly in the higher elevations of the East Tavaputs Plateau from pinyon-juniper to the conifers, 8,000 feet. Durrant (1952:144) records three specimens for E. quadrivittatus: east side of the confluence of the Green and White Rivers, one mile southeast of Ouray, 4,700 feet, Uintah County. For E. minimus he examined: three specimens, Brown's Corral, 20 miles south of Ouray, 6,250 feet; three specimens, P.R. Springs, 43 miles south of Ouray, Uintah-Grand County line, 7,950 feet; and from Howell (1929:48,51) the Uncompahgre Indian Reservation, Uintah County.

Yellow-bellied Marmot
Mormota flaviventris nosophora

Relative abundance - Uncommon

Range in general oil shale area - Throughout, in areas of rocky outcrops and talus slopes.

Habitat - Rocky slopes, outcrops, ledges, talus slopes, valleys and foothills up to 12,000 feet.

Occurrence in White River study area (zone 2) - Ranck reported individuals occurring along the rocky outcrops of Bitter Creek. No specimens were observed by Perry.

Extralimital records - Ranck found them frequently in the margins of Hill Creek and Willow Creek at the bases of steep, precipitous, sandstone cliffs. He also noted their close proximity to cultivated fields.

White-tailed Antelope Squirrel
Ammospermoshilus lecurus pennipes

Relative abundance - Common

Range in general oil shale area - Throughout

Habitat - Desert shrub, low elevation pinyon-juniper generally occurring below 6,250 feet.

Occurrence in White River study area (zone 2) - Common throughout the desert shrub. Olsen (1973:55) found them to be more abundant in "areas of marked aridity". They were difficult to trap with the small museum special snap traps and their numbers are much higher then reflected in Table 2. Perry found them to occur abundantly in all areas of the oil shale region excepting the higher elevation pinyon-juniper south of the prototype area.

Extralimital records - Durrant (1952:124) examined specimens from Willow Creek, 29 miles south of Ouray, 5,400 feet, Uintah County and additional records from Howell (1938:176) from Vernal; junction of Green and White Rivers; Uncompahgre Indian Reservation; eight miles south of Ouray; 15 miles

southwest of Ouray, Uintah County.

Thirteen-lined Ground Squirrel
Spermophilus tridecemlineatus parvus

Relative abundance - Uncommon

Range in general oil shale area - Primarily confined to region west of the Green River but also found as far north as Diamond Mountain, Uintah County.

Habitat - Shortgrass prairies and sparsely scattered in mid-elevation desert shrub.

Occurrence in White River study area (zone 2) - No records.

Extralimital records - Ranck examined four specimens from Pariette Bench, 12 miles southwest of Ouray and west of the Green River. He suggested their presence north of the White River in the upper-sonoran habitat. Durrant (1952:117) examined three specimens from Red Creek, two miles north of Fruitland, 6,700 feet, Duchesne County; and Diamond Mountain, and Pariette Bench south of Ouray, Uintah County.

Rock Squirrel
Spermophilus variegatus utah

Relative abundance - Rare

Range in general oil shale area - Sparsely scattered primarily in agricultural

and rocky areas.

Habitat - Rocky canyon, boulder strewn slopes, frequently near farms and agricultural areas.

Occurrence in White River study area (zone 2) - One individual was observed by Prouse near a bulldozed rock pile near Atchee Wash R23, ET11S, Sec. 23 NE¼, on September 9, 1974.

Extralimital records - Ranck's only record for the area was an individual observed by Mrs. Jean Stevens of the Steven's Ranch on Willow Creek, Uintah County. Durrant does not include the rock squirrel in the region of Eastern Utah.

Golden-mantled Ground Squirrel
Spermophilus lateralis lateralis

Relative abundance - Common

Range in general oil shale area - Throughout

Habitat - Found in all habitats but more commonly in the upper elevation pinyon-juniper desert shrub.

Occurrence in White River study area (zone 2) - Ranck (1961) and Olsen (1973) concur that the golden-mantled ground squirrel is ubiquitous in distribution throughout the oil shale area. Our findings reveal the same although only two specimens were collected. This again reflects the inefficiency of the museum special traps in obtaining larger rodents.

Extralimital records - Six specimens, junction of Deep and Carter Creek, Daggett County; Paradise Park, Uinta Mountains, 10,000 feet; junction Trout Creek and Ashley Creek, 9,700 feet; Vernal; P.R. Springs, 7,950 feet; 43 miles south of Ouray; Uintah-Grand County line, Uintah County, Durrant (1952:130). Additional records were also recorded (Durrant 1952:130) for: Whiterocks; junction of Green and White Rivers, Uintah County; Mt. Delano; Petty Mountain, 15 miles north of Mountain Home; Currant Creek, Uinta National Forest, Duchesne County.

White-tailed Prairie Dog
Cynomys lecurus

Relative abundance - Uncommon

Range in general oil shale area - Only active colonies located outside of zone 2.

Habitat - Mountain valleys between 5,200 and 8,400 feet; generally in open or slightly brushy country; also occasionally in pinyon-juniper.

Occurrence in White River study area (zone 2) - No records.

Extralimital records - Large colonies were observed by Olsen (1973:56) north of the White River in the open deserts. Perry also observed colonies there and southeast of Ouray 25 miles. Specimens recorded by Durrant (1952:107) were: One specimen, Duchesne, Duchesne County; Vernal; four miles west of Vernal; one and one half miles north of Tridell, 6,700 feet, Uintah County; Uncompahgre Indian Reservation, Uintah County.

Northern Pocket Gopher
Thomomys talpoides ocius

Relative abundance - Uncommon

Range in general oil shale area - Occurs sporadically in areas of lowland shrubs where soil conditi ons favor burrowing.

Habitat - Xeric habitats between 5,600 feet and 7,500 feet. Found primarily in desert shrub and pinyon-juniper.

Occurrence in White River study area (zone 2) - Ranck obtained three specimens from the southern end of Asphalt Wash. One specimen was collected by Prouse in Asphalt Wash, about four miles east of Ranck's location.

Extralimital records - Ranck collected three specimens near Sand Wash, four miles northeast of Sunday School Canyon. Durrant (1952:170) lists specimens examined from: Vernal; Uncompahgre Indian Reservation; Brown's Corral, 20 miles south of Ouray, 6,250 feet; P.R. Springs, 43 miles south of Ouray, 7,950 feet, Uintah-Grand County line; Uintah County.

Olive-backed Pocket Mouse
Perognathus fasciatus callistus

Relative abundance - Uncommon

Range in general oil shale area - Restricted to semi-arid desert shrub lands.

Habitat - Grassy slopes, sandy soils and in pinyon-juniper.

Occurrence in White River study area (zone 2) - Olsen (1973:51) stated that this

pocket mouse is not found south of the White River. One specimen was collected by Prouse, however, in Southam Canyon, five miles southwest of Ignatio Stage Stop.

Extralimital records - Ranck (1961) indicated that their distribution was north of the White River. Olsen (1973:26) collected one specimen west of Bonanza 33 miles.

Apache Pocket Mouse
Perognathus apache caryi

Relative abundance - Common

Range in general oil shale area - Throughout

Habitat - Sagebrush; greasewood, shadscale, salt desert shrub and pinyon-juniper.

Occurrence in White River study area (zone 2) - Ranck collected five specimens within the area and Olsen (1973:26) obtained 11. Twenty-one individuals were obtained by Perry from all representative habitat types.

Extralimital records - Twenty-three specimens were obtained by Ranck (Olsen 1973:24). Durrant (1952:235) recorded: two specimens, Brown's Corral, 20 miles south of Ouray, 6,250 feet; and two specimens from Willow Creek, 25 miles south of Ouray, 5,250 feet, Uintah County.

Ord's Kangaroo Rat
Dipodomys ordii uintensis

Relative abundance - Common

Range in general oil shale area - Throughout in lower elevation xeric conditions.

Habitat - Desert shrub, predominantly in loose sandy soils at elevations below 6,500 feet. More common near Green and White Rivers.

Occurrence in White River study area (zone 2) - Ranck collected 17 specimens from the area (Olsen 1973:24), and Olsen 16 (Olsen 1973:26). Perry and Prouse obtained 21 individuals predominantly in desert shrub near the White River (Table 2).

Extralimital records - Ranck found them abundantly throughout the region and collected 77 specimens (Olsen 1973:24). The following were examined by Durrant (1952:261): (1), 15 miles west of Vernal; (1), four miles west of Vernal; (4), Vernal; (5), Jensen; (4), east side of Green River, three miles south of Jensen; (25), west side of Green River, three miles north of Ouray, 4,800 feet; (1), east side confluence of Green and White Rivers, one mile southeast of Ouray, 4,700 feet; (5), junction of Green and White Rivers, 4,800 feet, two miles south of Ouray; (8), Pariette Bench, 5,000 feet, eight miles south of Ouray; (4), Desert Springs, 10 miles south of Ouray; (2), Pariette Bench, 12 miles south of Ouray; (10), Brown's Corral, 20 miles south of Ouray, 6,250 feet, Uintah County. From Duchesne County: (4), Red Creek, 6,700 feet, two miles north of Fruitland; (1), 10 miles south of Myton; and (1), 20 miles south of Myton.

Western Harvest Mouse
Reithrodontomys megalotis megalotis

Relative abundance - Uncommon

Range in general oil shale area - Restricted to areas near water.

Habitat - Streamsides, with grassy banks. Occasionally found in desert shrub and pinyon-juniper.

Occurrence in White River study area (zone 2) - Eleven specimens were collected by Ranck (1961) while Olsen trapped only one (1973:26). No specimens were obtained by Perry.

Extralimital records - Ranck collected 29 individuals outside the zone 2 and Olsen four (Olsen 1973:24,26). From Uintah County, Durrant (1952:297) examined five specimens from Willow Creek, 25 miles south of Ouray, 5,250 feet.

Canyon Mouse
Peromyscus crinitus auripectus

Relative abundance - Uncommon

Range in general oil shale area - Restricted to localized areas.

Habitat - Rocky outcrops, ledges and talus.

Occurrence in White River study area (zone 2) - Ranck collected three individuals and Olsen one (Olsen 1973:24,26,57). Both observed their natural preference for rocky slopes and rock ledges. Perry collected five from similar habitats from Southam Canyon, and Asphalt Wash.

Extralimital records - A total of 15 animals were trapped by Ranck (1961). Durrant (1952:304) reports from Goin (1944:191) a specimen from Hill Creek, 40 miles south of Ouray, 6,000 feet, Uintah County.

Deer Mouse
Peromyscus maniculatus nebrascensis

Relative abundance - Abundant

Range in general oil shale area - Ubiquitous distribution throughout all major habitat types.

Habitat - Desert shrub, greasewood-shadscale, pinyon-juniper, and salt desert scrub.

Occurrence in White River study area (zone 2) - The deer mouse is the most abundant mammal in the study area and represented 87 percent of the total small mammals collected by Olsen (1973:58). Perry collected 687 specimens representing 84 percent of the total mammals caught. Ranck similarly records them as the most abundant in the entire region occurring in all habitats and elevations.

Extralimital records - Durrant (1952:316) reports from Uintah County: (9), Paradise Park, 45 miles northwest of Vernal, 10,500 feet; (1), Taylor Mountain, 16 miles northwest of Vernal; (5), junction Trout Creek and Ashley Creek, 9,700 feet; (1), Ashley Creek 10 miles northwest of Vernal; (3) four miles west of Vernal, 5,600 feet; (3), Powder Springs, 25 miles east of Vernal; (2), Powder Wash 15 miles southeast of Jensen; (27), east side con-

fluence of the Green and White Rivers, one mile southeast of Ouray, 4,700 feet; (26), Brown's Corral 20 miles south of Ouray, 6,250 feet; (14), Willow Creek, 25 miles south of Ouray, 5,250 feet; (5), Willow Creek, 29 miles south of Ouray, 5,400 feet; (36), P.R. Springs, 43 miles south of Ouray, Uintah-Grand County line, 7,950 feet. From Duchesne County: (5), Red Creek, two miles north of Fruitland, 6,700 feet.

Eighty-eight specimens were collected by Ranck from peripheral areas or 67 percent of the total P. maniculatus trapped.

Brush Mouse
Peromyscus boyliii rowleyi

Relative abundance - Rare

Range in general oil shale area - Restricted to isolated areas outside of zone 2.

Habitat - Brushy areas of the upper-sonoran, frequently found in ledgerock.

Occurrence in White River study area (zone 2) - No records.

Extralimital records - Ranck collected one specimen in Willow Creek Canyon (Olsen 1973:58).

Pinyon Mouse
Peromyscus truei truei

Relative abundance - Common

Range in general oil shale area - Throughout

Habitat - Rocky terrain in pinyon-juniper forests; may also be found in desert shrub and salt desert scrub in oil shale area.

Occurrence in White River study area (zone 2) - Ranck obtained 11 specimens from the study area and Olsen, 18 (Olsen 1973:24,26). Six animals were trapped by Perry and Prouse, 1974.

Extralimital records - Ninteen specimens were trapped by Ranck for the outlying areas of the East Tavaputs Plateau. One animal was caught by Olsen on the perimeter of zone 2 (Olsen 1973:24,26). Durrant (1952:323) noted a record for Uintah County in Brown's Park (Osgood, 1909b:169).

Northern Grasshopper Mouse
Onychomys leucogaster pallescens

Relative abundance - Uncommon

Range in general oil shale area - Restricted to localized areas.

Habitat - Open country; grass, greasewood-shadscale, sagebrush; generally in sandy or gravelly soils.

Occurrence in White River study area (zone 2) - One specimen collected by Prouse near the head of Hell Hole Canyon.

Extralimital records - A total of four specimens were collected by Ranck for the East Tavaputs Plateau. It was obtained south of Ouray near the Green River. Olsen (1973:27) obtained five individuals north and west of Bonanza.

Desert Wood Rat
Neotoma lepida sanrafaeli

Relative abundance - Common

Range in general oil shale area - Common throughout entire region.

Habitat - Desert valleys, sagebrush, desert scrub; particularly rocky areas in pinyon-juniper.

Occurrence in White River study area (zone 2) - It is found commonly throughout the area although the numbers caught do not reflect their true abundance. Ranck trapped five specimens, Olsen 10, and Perry 11.

Extralimital records - Found commonly throughout region by both Ranck and Olsen (Olsen 1973:59).

Bushy-tailed Wood Rat
Neotoma cinerea macrodon

Relative abundance - Common

Range in general oil shale area - Throughout in most habitats.

Habitat - Low-elevation desert floors to high mountains; prefer rock slides, talus strewn slopes, and rocky overhangs.

Occurrence in White River study area (zone 2) - Again difficulty was encountered with the small museum special traps and the numbers are not indicative of their true abundance. Specimens have been collected by Ranck, Olsen and Perry. They have been found in all habitat types in the study

area but are infrequently found in areas lacking at least an exposure of rocks or strewn boulders.

Extralimital records - Ranck collected eight specimens outside zone 2 (Olsen 1973:24). Durrant (1952:350) reports from Uintah County: (1), Moore's Ranch, Ashley Creek, five miles north of Vernal; (2), Vernal; Uncompahgre Indian Reservation. Duchesne County: (1), Myton. Daggett County: (4), junction of Deep and Carter Creeks, 8,000 feet; Hideout; Beaver Creek; Granite Park.

Population Densities and Habitat Preferences

Species and numbers of individuals obtained during the study were analyzed per vegetative type (Table 6) and assigned a percentage as to their frequency of occurrence in each area. Table 6 gives the numbers collected in each area but as was discussed by Olsen (1973:62) these figures may be misleading as each vegetative type was not sampled the same number of times. More accurately the percentage figures, constitute a more precise analysis of habitat preferences.

Olsen (1973:62-89) details the inacuracies and conclusions obtained through the use of the small snap traps in determining population densities and it should be kept in mind this study "monitors" Olsen's findings and does not attempt to reconstruct density numbers or methods into another format.

Perry found deer mice, as did Olsen, the most abundant and ubiquitous rodent in the oil shale area. Percentages ranged from 58 percent in the salt desert shrub to 99 percent in the cottonwood-willow-tamarix with an overall average of 84 percent of the total mammals collected. Olsen similarly reflects ranges from 79.9 to 100 percent with an average of 88.6 percent.

In the pinyon-juniper belt deer mice comprised 82 percent of the total mammals obtained, the Colorado chipmunk eight percent, and Ord's kangaroo rat four percent. Nine species were captured from this area. Olsen (1973: 63) obtained seven species from that habitat and adds to the list with the least chipmunk.

TABLE 6

Numbers and Percentages of Occurrence of Small Mammals Collected in Each Vegetative Type of the Utah Oil Shale Area - 1974-1975

SPECIES / HABITAT	Desert Shrub	Salt Desert Shrub	Sagebrush	Greasewood	Pinyon-Juniper	Cottonwood-Willow-Tamarix	Total	% of Total
Eutamias minimus				(1) 1		(1) .5	2	.2
Eutamias quadrivittatus		(4) 5	(9) 3	(5) 6	(22) 8		40	5
Ammospermophilus leucurus	(1) 3	(4) 5	(4) 1				9	1
Spermophilus variegatus			(1) .4				1	.1
Spermophilus lateralis				(1) 1	(2) .7		3	.4
Thomomys talpoides						(1) .5	1	.1
Perognathus apache		(10) 13	(5) 2	(3) 3	(3) 1		21	2.5
Perognathus fasciatus					(1) .3		1	.1
Dipodomys ordii	(1) 3	(8) 11	(1) .4	(1) 1	(11) 4		22	2.7
Peromyscus crinitus			(4) 1	(1) .1			5	.6
Peromyscus maniculatus	(30) 91	(44) 58	(257) 91	(73) 83	(222) 82	(72) 99	698	84
Peromyscus truei		(1) 1		(3) 3	(3) 1		7	.8
Onychomys leucogaster	(1) 3						1	.1
Neotoma lepida		(5) 7	(1) .4	(1) 1	(5) 2		12	1.4
Neotoma cinerea			(2) .7		(2) .7		4	.5
Total Number Obtained	33	76	284	89	271	74	827	100%
Percent of Gross Trap-Nights	4	8	32	16	28	12		

*Numbers below figures in parentheses indicate percentages of total individuals obtained per vegetative type.

Deer mice contributed 91 percent to mammlas of the desert shrub, the white-tailed antelope squirrel three percent, Ord's kangaroo rat three percent, and the northern grasshopper mouse three percent. Olsen obtained specimens of the olive-backed pocket mouse and western harvest mouse from the same area but had 20 percent trap nights in the area as compared to four percent by Perry.

The salt desert shrub had the lowest proportional number of deer mice (58 percent) than the other types. Olsen, however, captured 46 or 80.7 percent. The fact that two more species were caught, each represented by several specimens, would account for the lower percentage, taking into account all other factors equal with Olsen's data. Thirteen percent of the total collected by Perry were Apache pocket mice and seven percent desert wood rats.

Nine species were obtained in the sagebrush community. Eight of the species each contributed less than three percent. Deer mice comprised 91 percent of the total captured.

The greasewood yielded nine kinds. The Colorado chipmunk was captured six percent of the time, Apache pocket mouse three percent, and pinyon mouse three percent. Deer mice made up 83 percent and all others one percent. Olsen obtained five species from the greasewood, but lacking the least chipmunk, Colorado chipmunk, canyon mouse, and pinyon mouse collected by Perry and Prouse. One explanati on for the difference might be a closer proximity of collecting sites to the pinyon-juniper as the above listed species are more indiginous to that habitat.

The cottonwood-willow-tamarix received 12 percent of the total percent

of gross trap nights while Olsen sampled the area two percent of the total. Perry adds to his list with the northern pocket gopher, and the least chipmunk. Each, however, comprised only .5 percent of the total density.

Threatened and Endangered Species

Only two species, the black-footed ferret, Mustela nigripes, and the spotted bat, Euderma maculatum, have probable occurrence in the oil shale area. Olsen (1973: 40-41) summarizes field observations of ferrets from Northeastern Utah. Five sightings were made from 1966 to 1970 at Moore, Emery County, 90 miles from the oil shale area, two from Green River, Utah, 60 miles distant, one from Price, Utah 60 miles southwest of the region, and 10 miles northeast of Vernal at Brush Creel. Their occurrence is related directly with the association of white-tailed prairie dog, Cynomys lecurus. In zone 2 of the oil shale area the white-tailed prairie dog is rare but is found commonly in "towns" north of the White River and an area west of Bitter Creek between Cottonwood Wash and Sand Wash.

Durrant (1952) includes the spotted bat in his report on "Mammals of Utah" and substaniates it with records from Salt Lake County, and San Juan County. The U.S. Department of the Interior (1973) records its presence in Bryce Canyon area, Utah. Little information is available on this species and further studies would be necessary to determine its probable occurrence in the oil shale area.

Harvest and Trapping of Carnivores and Furbearers

The list of carnivores and furbearers of the oil shale area are: Beaver, castor canadensis duchesnei, Muskrat, Ondatra zibethicus osoyoosensis, Coyote, Canis latrans lestes, Gray Fox, Urocyon anereoargenteus scottii, Black Bear, Ursus americanus annamomuna, Ringtail cat, Bassariscus astutus arizonensis, Raccoon, Procyon lotor, Badger, Taxidea taxus taxus, Spotted Skunk, Spilogale putorius gracilis, Striped Skunk, Mephitis mephitis estor, Mountain Lion, Felis concolor hippolestes, and Bobcat, Lynx rufus pallescens.

All of the species listed have been documented by personnel from the Divison of Wildlife Resources, Ranck (1961) and Olsen (1973) but quantitative information in regard to harvesting is only available for the Coyote, Mountain Lion, and Bobcat. Olsen (1973) dates through 1972 the numbers of species obtained in the general region but does not define specific areas within the oil shale area. Similarly quantitative information in specific areas of zone 2 was unobtainable through Division of Wildlife Resources and further investigation will be necessary to monitor the trapping and harvesting of carivores and furbearers in that region of the oil shale area.

Impact and Recommendations

The impact of the oil shale development is somewhat difficult to asses at the present time because of the various plans that have been presented both for development of the region and the mining process. A city, near Southam Canyon, of 5,000 to 10,000 people has been proposed and would present the greatest impact upon the flora and fauna of the region. Though secondary, people would affect the resident mammal populations the greatest by poisoning, shooting, trapping, or providing suitable habitat for more cosmopolitan species (often considered "pest" species) such as the house mouse, Norway rat, pocket gopher, meadow mice (Microtus), striped skunk, and porcupine. Generally the larger species, i. e. badger, coyote, fox, ringtail cat, bobcat, mountain lion, and bear, will become targets of hunters and shooters and their numbers will decline considerably. The small nongame mammals will probably receive slight disturbance because of their size, nocturnal habits, and greater abundance.

Literature Cited

Durrant, S.D. 1952. Mammals of Utah, taxonomy and distribution. Univ. Kansas Publ., Museum Natural History, 6:1-549.

Durrant, S.D., M.R. Lee and R.M. Hansen, 1955. Additional records and extensions of known ranges of mammals from Utah. Univ. Kansas Publ., Museum Natural History, 9:69-80.

Fletcher, K. and M.F. Baldwin. 1973. A scientific and policy review of the prototype oil shale leasing program final environmental impact statement of the U.S. Department of the Interior. Prepared by the Environmental Impact Assessment Project of the Institute of Ecology, Washington, D.C., October 29, 1973, 197p.

Hall, E.R. and K.R. Kelson. 1959 The Mammals of North America. Vol I, II. Ronald Press, New York, 1,083p.

Hansen, R.M. 1954. Ground squirrels (Citellus) of Utah, Unpubl. Ph.D. dissertation, Univ. Utah, 197p.

Kelson, K.R. 1951. Speciation is rodents of the Colorado River drainage of eastern Utah. Univ. of Utah Biol. Series, 11:1-125.

Kelson, K.R. 1949. Two new woodrats from eastern Utah. J. Wash. Acad. Sci. 39:417-418.

Olsen, P.F. 1973 Wildlife resources of the Utah oil shale area. Pub. No. 74-2 Utah State Division of Wildlife Resources, December 1973, 1-147.

Ranck, G.L. 1961. Mammals of the East Tavaputs Plateau. Unpubl. M.S. thesis, Univ. Utah, 229p.

STUDIES ON THE LEAST CHUB (*IOTICHTHYS PHLEGETHONTIS* - COPE) IN GEOTHERMAL ACTIVITIES AREA OF SNAKE AND TULE VALLEYS, UTAH

by

William F. Sigler and Gar W. Workman

Prepared for

The State of Utah Division of Wildlife Resources
1596 West North Temple
Salt Lake City, Utah 84116

1975

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Objectives of the Study	1
Introduction	1
Description	1
Fish Identification	6
Range	6
Importance	6
Food Habits	7
Reproduction	7
Behavior	7
Potential Habitat	7
Age and Growth	12
Predators	12
Bottom Types	19
Pollution	19
Discussion	19
Recommendations	20
Summary	21
Literature Cited	22
Abstract	23

LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 1.	Fish species observed or collected in survey of least chub range in Millard and Juab counties, Utah (1974-75) . .	2
Table 2.	Least chub water survey sites, giving Utah location and collection dates	3
Table 3.	Aquatic plant collections from study sites in least chub survey in Juab and Millard counties, Utah (1974-75)	8
Table 4.	Physical and chemical characteristics of waters surveyed in least chub investigations in Juab and Millard counties, Utah (1974-75)	9
Table 5.	Calculated standard lengths in millimeters at the end of each year of life for least chub collected in Leland Harris Spring, Juab County, Utah	13
Table 6.	Calculated standard length in millimeters at the end of each year of life for least chub collected in Leland Harris pond, north of main spring in Juab County, Utah . .	14

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 1.	Map of least chub study area in Snake and Tule Valleys of Juab and Millard counties, Utah	4
Figure 2.	Length-weight relationship for least chub collected from Leland Harris Spring, Juab County, Utah	15
Figure 3.	Length-weight relationship for least chub collected from small pond next to Leland Harris Spring (north), Juab County, Utah	16
Figure 4.	Body-scale relationship for least chub collected from Leland Harris Spring, Juab County, Utah	17
Figure 5.	Body-scale relationship for least chub collected from small pond next to Leland Harris Spring (north), Juab County, Utah	18

Objectives of the Study

To assemble the existing zoologic data and to inventory the present zoologic resources concerning the least chub in areas of geothermal activities in Snake and Tule Valleys, Utah.

Introduction

The least chub (Iotichthys phlegethontis - Cope) (Bailey et al. 1960) was common in some areas of the Bonneville Basin (Sigler and Miller 1963; Jordan 1891; Holden et al. 1974). However, due to the changing aquatic environment in those areas, distribution of this fish is now thought to be limited to small springs of Snake Valley in Juab county, Utah (Tables 1 and 2). Subsequently, this survey was initiated to inventory known least chub populations in Juab and Millard counties of Utah.

Description

The following description is from Sigler and Miller (1963):

> "The small size, very oblique mouth, large scales (34 to 38 along the side) and absence of a lateral line (rarely with one or two pored scales) readily distinguish this singular species. The pharyngeal teeth are in two rows, 2,5-4,2; the dorsal origin lies behind the insertion of the pelvics; the dorsal fin rays number eight or rarely nine, and there are eight anal rays.
>
> In life the males are olive green above, steel-blue on the sides, with a golden stripe behind the upper end of the gill opening. The lower sides are golden, becoming reddish-gold in the brightest males, in a band running from the bright red pectoral-fin axil to above the front of the anal fin; the fins are lemon-amber, and sometimes the paired fins are bright golden-amber. In some males the entire sides are suffused with brassy yellow. The females and young are pale

Table 1. Fish species observed or collected in survey of least chub range in Millard and Juab counties, Utah (1974 - 75).

Location	Least chub (*Iotichthys phlegethontis*)	Fathead minnow (*Pimephales* sp.)	Utah chub (*Gila atraria*)	Dace (*Rhinichthys osculus*)	Carp (*Cyprinus carpio*)	Largemouth bass (*Micropterus salmoides*)	Rainbow trout (*Salmo gairdneri*)
Leland Harris Springs	X		X				
Leland Harris Pond	X						
Foote Reservoir		X			X	X	
North Tule Springs		(two small fish were observed here, none captured)					
East Twin Springs			X			X	
West Twin Springs			X			X	
Coyote Springs			(no fish observed)				
Warm Springs				X			
Miller Springs							X

Table 2. Least chub water survey sites, giving Utah location and collection dates.

Location	County	Township	Range	Section	Drainage	Collection Date
Leland Harris* Springs	Juab	14 S	18 W	32	Snake Valley	Nov. 12 1974
Leland Harris Pond	Juab	14 S	18 W	32	Snake Valley	Nov. 12 1974
Foote Reservoir	Millard	16 S	18 W	16	Snake Valley	Nov. 13 1974
North Tule Springs	Millard	17 S	15 W	10	Tule Valley	Nov. 14 1974
East Twin Springs	Millard	16 S	18 W	22	Snake Valley	Mar. 21 1975
West Twin Springs	Millard	16 S	18 W	22	Snake Valley	Mar. 21 1975
Coyote Springs	Millard	16 S	14 W	13	Tule Valley	Mar. 22 1975
Warm Springs	Millard	15 S	19 W	19	Snake Valley	Mar. 21 1975
Miller Springs	Juab	14 S	18 W	22	Snake Valley	Mar. 23 1975

*Also - collections made on March 23, 1975 and August 27, 1975

Note - See Figure 1 for map of area



Figure 1. Map of least chub study area in Snake and Tule Valleys of Juab and Millard counties, Utah.

A least chub (Iotichthys phlegethontis - Cope) collected from Leland Harris Springs, Juab County, Utah.



Utah chub (Gila atraria) in upper part of photograph, and least chub (lower) collected from the Leland Harris Springs area of Juab County, Utah. The fish shown are normal size.

> olive above, silvery on the sides, and have watery-white fins; the eyes are silvery, with only a little gold, rather than definitely golden as in the males"

Fish Identification

Dr. Robert J. Behnke, Department of Fishery and Wildlife Biology, Colorado State University, and Dr. William F. Sigler, Department of Wildlife Science, Utah State University examined and identified the specimens that were collected.

Range

Unpublished records indicate that the least chub was found in various streams in the Salt Lake area and in ponds and swamps around the Great Salt Lake (Sigler and Miller 1963). Pendleton and Smart (1954) collected least chub for experimental work from Big Cottonwood Creek near Salt Lake City. It was also known to occur in Utah Lake, Beaver River, Parowan Creek, Clear Creek and the Provo River (all of Utah). In addition, Carl L. Hubbs collected specimens of the least chub from springs in Snake Valle, Millard County, Utah. Jordan (1891) in his fish explorations of Utah, found this fish to be abundant in water at the mouth of the Provo River and in small ponds near there. Miller (1970) and Trogstad (1972) found least chub in the Leland Harris Spring area.

Importance

The least chub undoubtedly makes an excellent forage fish as it seldom reaches a size of two and one-half inches in length. Also, it is known that they will readily eat mosquito larvae if given the opportunity (Rees 1945; Pendleton and Smart 1954). Sigler and Miller (1963) indicate that this fish is able to with-

stand cold temperatures and therefore it may be able to survive where the mosquitofish (Gambusia affinis - Baird and Girard) cannot.

Food Habits

In addition to the mosquito larvae mentioned previously as a food item, least chub are known to eat microcrustacea (Sigler and Miller 1963).

Reproduction

Spawning is thought to occur in the summer, but this part of the least chub's life history has not been studied (Sigler and Miller 1963).

Behavior

The least chub stays close to cover and tends to be a very shy fish. The least amount of disturbance sends it fleeing for cover. In the area surveyed, aquatic plants were plentiful and provided excellent cover in most potential locations (Table 3).

Potential Habitat

Although the least chub may exist in several specific locations not surveyed, the present study is limited to Juab and Millard counties. The location of each of the sites surveyed is presented in Table 2. The size of each body of water is presented in Table 4, along with other pertinent physical and chemical data. Each of these sites, with the exception of Coyote Springs, is thought to represent suitable habitat for the least chub.

Table 3. Aquatic plant collections from study sites in least chub survey in Juab and Millard counties, Utah (1974-75)

Collection Number	Location	Date	Scientific Name, Common Name
S-74-1	Leland Harris Springs	11-12-74	Polypogon monspeliensis (Rabbitfoot grass) Berula erecta (Water parsnip) Chara (Muskgrass) Juncus balticus (Wire rush) Leonurus cardiaca (Motherwort) Typha latifolia (Cattail) Algae
S-74-2	Leland Harris Pond	11-12-74	Scirpus paludosis (Marsh bulrush) Juncus balticus (Wire rush) Berula erecta (Water parsnip) Algae
S-74-3	Foote Reservoir	11-13-74	Berula erecta (Water parsnip) Algae
S-74-4	North Tule	11-14-74	Scirpus paludosis (Marsh bulrush) Chara (Muskgrass) Berula erecta
S-75-1	East Twin Pond	3-21-75	Ruppia maritima (Widgeongrass) Mimulus gattatus (Monkey flower) Ambrosia psilostachya (Ragweed) Algae
S-75-2	West Twin Pond	3-20-75	Mimulus gattatus (Monkey flower) Chara (Muskgrass) Berula erecta (Water parsnip) Juncus balticus (Wire rush) Algae
S-75-3	Coyote Springs	3-22-75	Scirpus paludosis (Marsh bulrush)
S-75-4	Warm Springs	3-22-75	Berula erecta (Water parsnip) Mimulus gattatus (Monkey flower) Lemna minor (Duckweed) Algae

Table 4. Physical and chemical characteristics of waters surveyed in least chub investigations in Juab and Millard counties, Utah (1974-75).

Physical or Chemical Property	Unit of Measurement	Location						
		Leland Harris Springs	Foote Reservoir	North Tule Springs	East Twin Springs	West Twin Springs	Warm Springs	Coyote Springs
		11-12-74	11-13-74	11-14-74	3-21-75	3-21-75	3-22-75	3-22-75
Alkalinity	mg/l	150	200	140	250	250	210	220
Chloride	mg/l	17	50	185	70	70	30	440
Color	unit	9	5	1	0	0	70	0
Conductivity	m	280	495	1060	600	600	475	2200+
Copper	mg/l	0.17	0.12	0.09	0.15	0.18	0.14	0.19
Fluoride	mg/l	0.17	1.03	1.20	0.62	0.56	0.72	1.16
Hardness,Calcium	mg/l	63	50	120	170	165	130	200
Hardness,Total	mg/l	120	170	230	280	270	180	360
Iron	mg/l	0.08	0.03	0.01	0.15	0.11	0.16	0.20
Manganese	mg/l	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.70	0.70	0	0.70
Nitrate	mg/l	4.4	11	10.12	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.07
Nitrite	mg/l	0.002	0.008	0.008	0.002	0.005	0.004	0.018
Oxygen	ppm	4.5	5	2	6.5	5	8	1
pH	unit	8.0	8.2	8.2	8.6	8.2	7.2	8.0
Phosphate,Ortho	mg/l	0.013	0.011	0.01	0.03	0.17	0.18	0.02
Phosphate,Meta	mg/l	1.79	3.99	2.29	7.97	1.18	0.09	1.78
Silica	mg/l	5.8	1.8	5.4	2.3	3.4	15.0	4.0
Sulfate	mg/l	16	47	17	88	96	19	450
Temperature,Water	°F	55	70	82	65	65	79	82
Temperature,Air	°F	57	67	60	43	43	50	60
Turbidity	FTU	0	0	0	11	23	0	0
Water Area	sq.ft.	150	40,000	15	7,560	5,625	225	15
Water Depth	feet	15	10	6	8	8	3	6
Water Flow	CFS	0.1	7.0	0.5	3.0	2.25	12.0	0.5

Other:
1. Connecting Leland Harris Pond was 2 feet deep. 1500 square feet, oxygen = 13 ppm, temperature = 53° F.
2. Additional sample taken at Foote Reservoir on 3-23-75, gave the following: conductivity 610 mu, oxygen 3.5 ppm, water temperature 46°F, air temperature 60°F, water flow from South East outlet shut off, and water from North West outlet low.
3. Each spring in the Leland Harris spring area has approximately 150 square feet of surface area. There are 12-15 of these springs in this area. Numerous connecting waterways contain least chub also.



Relative size of the adult least chub from Leland Harris Springs, Juab County, Utah.

Crew collecting least chub in one of the Leland Harris Springs, Juab County, Utah.



One of the Leland Harris Springs, Juab County, Utah.

A pond in the Leland Harris Springs area, Juab County, Utah.

Age and Growth

Only a few least chub were collected for age and growth studies due to their scarcity. However, information presented in Tables 5 and 6 is a general indication of growth rate and size of these fish. In the main Leland Harris Spring and in the small shallow pond north of this spring least chub had the same average standard lengths (32 millimeters). Rate of growth is similar enough to suggest that they may be part of the same population, being separated at times of low water. Conversion of standard length to total length can be obtained by multiplying the standard length by 1.2.

Length-weight relationships are presented graphically in Figures 2 and 3. As indicated above, information is not as accurate as it would have been if a larger sample was available. Nevertheless, the length-weight curves indicate that these fish are probably from the same population.

Body-scale relationships are presented in Figures 4 and 5. Again, almost identical information is available from each group of least chub.

Predators

Potential predators of the least chub in the areas surveyed would include the following:

largemouth bass (*Micropterus salmoides*)
Utah chub (*Gila atraria*)
sunfish (*Lepomis* sp.) (reported, not observed)
bullfrogs (*Rana catesbeiana*)

Apparently, local agency personnel and residents have moved largemouth bass, sunfish and bullfrogs to various springs in the area in an attempt to create new fishing waters. This probably has

Table 5. Calculated standard lengths in millimeters at the end of each year of life for least chub collected in Leland Harris Spring, Juab county, Utah.

Age group	Number of fish	Standard Length (mm)	Calculated standard lengths at each annulus 1	2	3	4
I	1	26	15			
II	18	30	16	27		
III	8	36	17	28	45	
Average			16	27	45	
Increment			16	11	17	
Number			27	26	8	
Average Length		32				

Table 6. Calculated standard lengths in millimeters at the end of each year of life for least chub collected in Leland Harris pond, north of main spring in Juab county, Utah.

Age group	Number of fish	Standard Length (mm)	Calculated standard lengths at each annulus 1	2	3	4
I	0					
II	18	31	16	27		
III	10	33	17	26	36	
IV	1	40	17	28	50	64
Average			17	27	43	64
Increment			17	10	11	14
Number			29	29	11	1
Average Length		32				



Figure 2. Length-weight relationship for least chub collected from Leland Harris Spring, Juab county, Utah.



Figure 3. Length-weight relationship for least chub collected from small pond next to Leland Harris Spring (north), Juab county, Utah.



Figure 4. Body-scale relationship for least chub collected from Leland Harris Spring, Juab county, Utah.



Figure 5. Body-scale relationship for least chub collected from small pond next to Leland Harris Spring (north), Juab county, Utah.

worked against the least chub and is thought to be the cause of their low numbers in this area.

Various fish eating ducks and gulls may also prey on the least chub during certain times of the year. This is especially important due to the proximity of these springs to waterfowl areas.

Bottom Types

The bottom material in each pond or spring examined is very similar. All of the collection sites have silt bottoms except Foote Reservoir which has sand and silt; East Twin Springs which is mostly sand with a small amount of silt; and Warm Springs which contains sand, silt and small rocks (due to water current).

Pollution

Shore areas have been trampled by livestock and may cause some minor problems to fish at most of the sites. Organic matter from cattle may also reach these waters, but is not considered to be serious.

Discussion

The least chub of Juab and Millard counties appears to be very limited in distribution. Of the nine potential habitat spring sites examined, only the Leland Harris springs contained least chub. It is possible that fish of this species may be present in these waters, although the present survey would suggest that they must be very rare.

Reasons for the limited distribution and abundance of this species is probably dependent on several factors. Water chemistry and visual inspections of each site show that some pollution and

habitat damage is taking place although this appears to be minor. The most apparent limiting factor of least chub would probably be introduced aquatic predators. The most serious of these appears to be largemouth bass and sunfish. These species have apparently been introduced or transplanted, by local residents and various agency personnel in an attempt to create additional fishing spots in the area.

With the least chub being as small as it is, larger predator fish would undoubtedly suppress or eliminate any population of this fish. Subsequently, the present status of the least chub is very critical, as these predator fish may soon be found in all waters of this area.

Least chub that were collected were healthy and appeared to be doing well even though some of the water temperatures were very cold at the time of the survey. The size of the fish collected was comparable to least chub measurements taken from other sites in years past by various fisheries investigators.

Least chub may be found in other areas of the Leland Harris Spring marsh. However, locating these fish will require additional survey time and equipment. Other waters in Weber, Salt Lake and Utah counties may also still contain some least chub.

Recommendations

1. It is recommended that we conduct additional studies as soon as funding is available, to determine the complete status of the least chub in Utah.

2. A program should be developed to protect the existing (known) population of least chub in Leland Harris Springs, Juab county, Utah.

3. Also, in the event that the Leland Harris Spring contains the only remaining population of least chub, efforts should be made to relocate some of these fish in suitable (reclaimed) waters, so that the least chub will have a better chance for survival in the event something did happen to them at Leland Harris Springs in Juab county, Utah.

Summary

1. The least chub appears to be confined to the Leland Harris Spring area of Juab county, Utah.

2. Fish predators appear to be limiting least chub populations in Juab and Millard counties, Utah.

3. Additional investigations are needed to determine the distribution and abundance of least chub in Utah.

Literature Cited

Bailey, Reeve M. (Chairman), E.A. Lachner, C.C. Lindsey, C.R. Robins, P. M. Roedel, W. B. Scott, L. P. Woods. 1960. A list of common and scientific names of fishes from United States and Canada (Second Edition). American Fisheries Society, Special Publication No. 2, Ann Arbor, Michigan, 102 pp.

Holden, Paul (Chairman), W. White, G. Somerville, D. Duff, R. Gervais, and S. Gloss. 1974. Threatened fishes of Utah. Utah Academy of Sciences, Arts, and Letters, (2): Part 2, 46-65.

Jordan, David Starr. 1891. Report of explorations in Colorado and Utah during the summer of 1889, with an account of the fishes found in each of the river basins examined. Bull. U. S. Fish Comm., 9 (1889): 1-40, pls. 1-5.

Miller, Robert R. 1970. Correspondence to Mayo Call, Bureau of Land Management covering survey in Snake Valley, Juab county, Utah, July 4, 1970.

Pendleton, Robert C., and E. W. Smart. 1954. A study of the food relations of the least chub, Iotichthys phlegethontis (Cope), using radioactive phosphorus. Jour. Wildlife Mgt., 18 (2): 226-228.

Rees, Don M. 1945. Supplemental notes on mosquitofish in Utah, Gambusia affinis (Baird and Girard). Copeia, (4): 236.

Sigler, William F. and R. R. Miller. 1963. Fishes of Utah. Utah State Department of Fish and Game, Salt Lake City, Utah. 203 pp.

Trogstad, Ronald S., L. Decker, J. White, B. Dabb, and B. Tasker. 1972. Fisheries inventory Salt Marsh area, Juab county, Utah, January 18, 19, 1972.

SELECTED WATER RESOURCES ABSTRACTS

INPUT TRANSACTION FORM

3. *Accession No.* W 23

4. *Title* STUDIES ON THE LEAST CHUB (IOTICHTHYS PHLEGETHONTIS-COPE) IN GEOTHERMAL ACTIVITIES AREA OF SNAKE AND TULE VALLEYS, UTAH

7. *Author(s)*
Sigler, William F., and Workman, Gar W.

9. *Organization* Utah State University
Logan, Utah

10. *Project No.*

11. *Contract/Grant No.*
5250-CT4-450-BLM

15. *Supplementary Notes*
Project conducted for: The State of Utah Division of Wildlife Resources
1596 West North Temple, Salt Lake City, Utah 84116

16. *Abstract*

Least chub, an endangered fish species is apparently limited to one water source (LeLand Harris Springs area) in Juab and Millard counties. Information relative to habitat requirements, potential habitat, predators and pollution were studied. Recommendations for future management of this species is also presented.

17a. *Descriptors*
*Fish, endangered, growth predators, *habitat, management, distribution, pollution, *geothermal area

17b. *Identifiers*
*Least chub, *Utah, *Spring water, endangered

17c. *COWRR Field & Group* 06G, 05C

18. *Availability*

Send To:
WATER RESOURCES SCIENTIFIC INFORMATION CENTER
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20240

Abstractor Gar W. Workman | *Institution* Utah State University, Logan, Utah

WRSIC 102 (REV. JUNE 1971)

3825

ER'S CARD

fe on

	OFFICE	DATE RETURNED

(Continued on reverse)